Graphs

Formal definition: a graph is a set V of vertices equipped with a set E of size 2 subsets of V called edges.

$$Ex: V = [4]$$

$$E = \{\{1, 24, 11, 44\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}\}$$



We write i~j if {i,j} E.

Sometimes we...

- · allow loops,
- · direct the edges,
- · allow multiple edges between
- two vertices,
- · put weights on the edges.

But usually we study simple graphs.

An independent set is maximal (an MIS) if it is not properly contained in another independent set.

Ex: Let Cn denote the cycle on the vertices [n] where

$$i \sim j \iff i = j \pm 1 \pmod{n}$$

How many MISES does Cn have?

An independent set in a graph is a subset IEV of vertices, no two adjacent.

A clique is a subset CEV of vertices, where there is a edge connecting every pair of vertices.

Ex: 1ndependent set: {2,3}, {1,3}
clique: {1,2,4}, {3,4}.

Ramsey's Theorem: Fix K. Every sufficiently large graph contains either a clique or an independent Set with at least k vertices.

Define an = #MISes in Cn, so:

a₂ = 2

a, = 3

ay = 2

Proposition: For n ≥ 5, an = an-2 + an-3.

Proof: Consider an MIS ISCn. Since n > 5, III > 2. Let i < j denote the greatest two elements of I.

clearly j-i is either Z or 3. If j-i = 2 then removing j gives an MIS of Cn-2. If j-i=3, then removing j gives an MIS of Cn-3.

Inverting this map is easy. For every MIS I = Cn-2, let i denote it greatest entry and add i+2. For I & Cn-3, add i+3.

These are the Perrin numbers.

Perrin's Theorem: If p is prime, then plap.

Proof: Midterm.

The least non-prime n such that $n|a_n$ was found in 1982; it is $521^2 = 271441$.

Proposition: For all graphs G and H, we have M(GUH) = M(G)M(H).

Proof: For any MIS I = GUH,

ING must be an MIS of G, and

INH must be an MIS of H.

Conversely, if I is an MIS of G and J is an MIS of

H then IUJ is an MIS of

GUH.

OF GUH.

Erdss in 1965: How many MISes can a graph on n vertices have?

Define

$$Ex: G = A$$
, $m(G) = 3$.

$$H = [m(H) = 2.$$

Conjecture: For all n 22,

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} 3^{k} & n = 3k \\ 4 \cdot 3^{k-1} & n = 3k+1 \\ 2 \cdot 3^{k} & n = 3k+2 \end{cases}$$

Achieved by disjoint unions of

Moon-Moser: This is correct.

First, we need a technical lemma.

For any vertex v of G, its (open) neighborhood is

N(v) = { u : u~v}.

Its closed neighborhood is $N[v] = \{u\} \cup N(u)$.

<u>Proposition</u>: For any vertex $v \in G$, $m(G) \leq m(G-v) + m(G-N[v])$.

Proof: Take an MIS ISG. If veI, then I-v is an MIS of G-N[v], and conversely, if J is an MIS of G-N[v], then JU {v} is a MIS of G. Every MIS I of G which doesn't contain v is also an MIS of G-v. \square