Functoriality of quantization: a KK-theoretic approach

Klaas Landsman

Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics Radboud University Nijmegen Faculty of Science landsman@math.ru.nl

ECOAS, Dartmouth College, 23 October 2010

Literature

- V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations, Invent. Math. 67, 515-538 (1982)
- P. Baum, A. Connes, and N. Higson, Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C*-algebras, Contemp. Math. 167, 240-291 (1994)
- Y. Tian and W. Zhang, An analytic proof of the geometric quantization conjecture of Guillemin-Sternberg, Invent. Math. 132, 229-259 (1998)
- N.P. Landsman, Functorial quantization and the Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture, Twenty Years of Bialowieza (2005), arXiv:math-ph/030705
- P. Hochs and N.P. Landsman, The Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture for noncompact groups and spaces, J. of K-Theory 1, 473-533 (2008), arXiv:math-ph/0512022
- P. Hochs, Quantisation commutes with reduction for cocompact Hamiltonian group actions, PhD Thesis, Radboud University Nijmegen (2008)
- V. Mathai and W. Zhang, with an appendix by U. Bunke, Geometric quantization for proper actions, Adv. Math. 225, 1224-1247 (2010), arXiv:0806.3138

Paths that cross

1. Symplectic geometry and geometric quantization: Guillemin–Sternberg (-Dirac) conjecture $[Q, R_G] = 0$

'Geometric quantization commutes with symplectic reduction' Reformulation in terms of equivariant index theory (Bott) Defined and proved for compact groups and manifolds

2. Operator algebras and equivariant K-theory: Baum–Connes conjecture $\mu_r : K^G_{\bullet}(\underline{E}G) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{\bullet}(C^*_r(G))$

Interesting for noncompact groups G (and proper actions)

3. Functoriality of quantization

Can symplectic data 'neatly' be mapped into operator data? Are geometric and deformation quantization perhaps related?

The Janus faces of quantization

- 1. Heisenberg (1925): classical observables \rightsquigarrow matrices
- 2. Schrödinger (1926): classical states \rightsquigarrow wave functions
- 3. von Neumann (1932): unification through Hilbert space matrices \rightarrow operators, wave functions \rightarrow vectors
- Classical observables form Poisson algebra: commutative algebra/C and also Lie algebra with Leibniz rule [fg, h] = f[g, h] + [f, h]g
 Quantum observables form C*-algebra ⇒ first face:
 Deformation quantization: Poisson algebra ~→ C*-algebra
- 2. Classical states form Symplectic manifold (M, ω) ⇒ 2nd face: Geometric quantization: symplectic manifold → Hilbert space
 Symplectic form makes C[∞](M) Poisson algebra w.r.t. fg(x) = f(x)g(x) and {f,g} = ω(ξ_f, ξ_g), Hamiltonian vector field ξ_f: ω(ξ_f, η) = ηf
 Poisson manifold has Poisson algebra structure on C[∞](M), ibid.

Key examples of quantization

1. Deformation quantization (Rieffel)

Lie group G, Lie algebra g, Poisson mfd g*: for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\hat{X} \in C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ defined by $\hat{X}(\theta) = \theta(X)$, $\{\hat{X}, \hat{Y}\} = [X, Y]$ Quantization of Poisson algebra $C^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is C*-algebra $C^*(G)$

- 2. Traditional geometric quantization (Kostant, Souriau) compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) such that $[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ $\Rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ -line bundle $L \to M$ plus connection ∇^L with $F(\nabla^L) = 2\pi i \omega$ \Rightarrow almost complex structure J s.t. $g(\xi, \eta) = \omega(\xi, J\eta)$ is metric \Rightarrow Hilbert space $Q(M, \omega, J) = \{s \in \Gamma(L) \mid \nabla^L_{J\xi - i\xi} s = 0, \xi \in \mathbf{X}(M)\}$
- 3. Postmodern geometric quantization (Bott)

 $Q_B(M, \omega, J)$ is integer $\operatorname{index}(\mathbb{D}^L) := \dim(\ker(\mathbb{D}^L_+)) - \dim(\ker(\mathbb{D}^L_-))$ \mathbb{D}^L is Spin^c Dirac operator on M defined by J coupled to L

Guillemin-Sternberg conjecture

 $G \circlearrowright M$: Lie group action on symplectic M s.t. momentum map

 $\Phi: M \to \mathfrak{g}^*, \ \Phi_X(x) = \langle \Phi(x), X \rangle$ yields \mathfrak{g} -action: $\xi_X^M = \xi_{\Phi_X} \ (X \in \mathfrak{g})$

- 1. Symplectic reduction: $M//G = \Phi^{-1}(0)/G$ has symplectic form ω_G
- 2. Geometric quantization: $Q(M/\!/G, \omega_G)$ exist if $Q(M, \omega)$ exists; \exists line bundle $(L/\!/G \to M/\!/G, \nabla^{L/\!/G})$ with $F(\nabla^{L/\!/G}) = 2\pi i \omega_G$

'Quantization commutes with reduction': is this the same as

- 1. Equivariant unitary geometric quantization $G \circlearrowright Q(M, \omega)$ through Kostant's formula $Xs = (\nabla^L_{\xi^M_{\mathcal{V}}} - 2\pi i \Phi_X)s, \ s \in Q(M, \omega) \subset \Gamma(L)$
- 2. 'Quantum reduction': $Q(M,\omega)//G = Q(M,\omega)^G$ (Dirac) ?

In other words: $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{M}/\!/\mathbf{G}, \omega_{\mathbf{G}}) \stackrel{?}{\cong} \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{M}, \omega)^{\mathbf{G}}$ (as Hilbert spaces)

Proved for M compact Kähler and G compact by Guillemin&Sternberg More general symplectic manifolds require reformulation

Guillemin-Sternberg-Bott conjecture

- 1. Symplectic reduction: $(M//G, \omega_G)$, same as before
- 2. Bott's geometric quantization: $Q_B(M//G, \omega_G) = index(\not D^{L//G})$

Bott's reformulation of G-S conjecture: is this the same as

- 1. Equivariant geometric quantization (G&M compact!): $Q_B(M,\omega) = \operatorname{index}_G(\mathcal{D}^L) = [\ker(\mathcal{D}^L_+)] - [\ker(\mathcal{D}^L_-)] \in R(G)$
- 2. Quantum reduction: $Q_B(M,\omega)^G$, $([V]-[W])^G = \dim(V^G) \dim(W^G)$?

In other words, G-S-B conjecture: $(index_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{L}}))^{\mathbf{G}} = index(\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{L}/\!\!/\mathbf{G}})$

• Proved by many people in mid 1990s (Meinrenken, ...)

For noncompact G and M need substantial reformulation of G-S-B conjecture, under assumptions: $G \circlearrowright M$ proper and M/G compact

Noncompact groups and manifolds

Compact \rightsquigarrow **noncompact** dictionary (suggested by Baum-Connes):

- Representation ring $R(G) \rightsquigarrow K_0(C^*(G)) \cong KK_0(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G))$
- $\operatorname{index}_{G}(\not{\!\!D}) \in R(G) \rightsquigarrow \mu_{M}^{G}([\not{\!\!D}]) \in K_{0}(C^{*}(G))$ N.B. $C^{*}(G)$ not $C_{r}^{*}(G)$! $[\not{\!\!D}] \in K_{0}^{G}(M) \cong KK_{0}^{G}(C_{0}(M), \mathbb{C})$ equivariant K-homology of M $\mu_{M}^{G}: K_{0}^{G}(M) \to K_{0}(C^{*}(G))$ 'unreduced' analytic assembly map (Bunke)
- Quantum reduction $R(G) \to \mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow K_0(C^*(G)) \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^G} K_0(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ induced by map $C^*(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ determined by trivial rep of G

 $\Rightarrow \textbf{Generalized G-S-B conjecture:} \quad \mu_M^G \left(\left[\not\!\!D^L \right] \right)^G = \operatorname{index} \left(\not\!\!D^{L/\!/G} \right)$

Proved by Hochs-Landsman (2008) if G contains cocompact discrete normal subgroup, general proof by Mathai-Zhang (2010)

Epilogue: functorial quantization

- 'Explains' generalized Guillemin-Sternberg-Bott conjecture as a special instance of functoriality of quantization
- Unifies the Janus faces of quantization into a functor **Q**
- 1. Domain of Q: Weinstein's category of (quantizable) 'dual pairs'
 - (a) (integrable) Poisson manifolds as objects
 - (b) (regular) symplectic bimodules $[P_1 \leftarrow M \rightarrow P_2]_{\cong}$ as arrows
- **2.** Codomain of Q: Kasparov's category KK_0
 - (a) C*-algebras as objects
 - (b) [Graded Hilbert bimodules $A \circlearrowright \mathcal{E} \circlearrowright B$ with $\not\!\!D]_h$ as arrows
- 3. Hypothetical quantization functor (based on examples only)
 - (a) Deformation quantization: $P_i \rightsquigarrow C^*$ -algebra A_i
 - (b) Geometric quantization: $M \rightsquigarrow$ "[Spin^c Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^{L}_{?}$]?"
 - (c) Functorial quantization: $P_1 \leftarrow M \rightarrow P_2 \rightsquigarrow [\not\!D^L] \in KK_0(A_1, A_2)$

Examples of functorial quantization

- **1. Symplectic manifold** M yields dual pair $pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow pt$
 - (a) Deformation quantization: $pt \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - (b) Geometric quantization: $(M, \omega) \rightsquigarrow [\mathcal{D}^L]_?$
 - (c) Functorial quantization: $(pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow pt) \rightsquigarrow [\mathcal{D}^L] \in KK_0(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ Identification $KK_0(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ identifies $[\mathcal{D}^L] \cong index(\mathcal{D}^L)$
- 2. Hamiltonian group action $G \circlearrowright M$ generated by momentum map $\Phi: M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ yields dual pair $pt \leftarrow M \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathfrak{g}^*$ (assume G connected)
 - (a) Deformation quantization: $pt \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{C}, \ \mathfrak{g}^* \rightsquigarrow C^*(G)$
 - (b) Geometric quantization: $(M, \omega) \rightsquigarrow [\mathbb{D}^L]_?$
 - (c) Functorial quantization: $(pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*) \rightsquigarrow [\not\!D^L] \in KK_0(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G))$ $KK_0(\mathbb{C}, C^*(G)) \cong K_0(C^*(G))$ identifies $[\not\!D^L] \cong \mu^G_M([\not\!D^L]_{K^G_0(M)})$

3. $(\mathfrak{g}^* \leftrightarrow 0 \to pt) \rightsquigarrow [\not D = 0] \in KK_0(C^*(G), \mathbb{C}), \text{ with } [C^*(G) \circlearrowright \mathbb{C} \circlearrowright \mathbb{C}]$

Guillemin-Sternberg-Bott revisited

1. Composition \circ of dual pairs reproduces symplectic reduction:

$$(pt \leftarrow M \to \mathfrak{g}^*) \circ (\mathfrak{g}^* \hookleftarrow 0 \to pt) \cong pt \leftarrow M//G \to pt$$

General: $(P \to M \leftarrow Q) \circ (Q \to N \leftarrow R) = (P \to (M \times_Q N) / \mathcal{F}_0 \leftarrow R)$

2. Kasparov product reproduces quantum reduction:

 $x_{KK_0(\mathbb{C},C^*(G))} \times_{KK} [\not\!\!D = 0]_{KK_0(C^*(G),\mathbb{C})} = x^G \in KK_0(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{C})$

i.e. map $K_0(C^*(G)) \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^G} \mathbb{Z}$ given as product in category KK_0

3. Recall: $\mathbf{Q}(pt \leftarrow M//G \rightarrow pt) = \operatorname{index}(\not\!\!\!D^{L//G}) \\
\mathbf{Q}(pt \leftarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*) = \mu_M^G([\not\!\!\!D^L]_{K_0^G(M)}) \\
\mathbf{Q}(\mathfrak{g}^* \leftrightarrow 0 \rightarrow pt) = [\not\!\!\!D = 0]_{KK_0(C^*(G),\mathbb{C})}$

 \Rightarrow Functoriality of quantization map Q gives G-S-B conjecture:

$$\mathbf{Q}(pt \leftarrow M \to \mathfrak{g}^*) \circ \mathbf{Q}(\mathfrak{g}^* \leftrightarrow 0 \to pt) = \mathbf{Q}(pt \leftarrow M/\!/G \to pt)$$

is the same as $\mu_M^G \left(\left[\not\!\!D^L \right] \right)^G = \operatorname{index} \left(\not\!\!D^{L/\!/G} \right)$