

$$\int d\varphi \cos(2\sigma + s) = \frac{\sin(2\sigma + s)}{3 - \alpha - 2\beta} + \frac{\int d\varphi (2Q + P) \cos(2\sigma + s)}{3 - \alpha - 2\beta},$$

per  $2Q + P$  complectentem terminum  $(\frac{3}{2}nk \cos^2 \varepsilon - \frac{3n(2-3kk)}{8k} \sin^2 \varepsilon) \cos(2\sigma + s)$ , nascetur angulus absolutus  $(\frac{1}{4}nk \cos^2 \varepsilon - \frac{n(2-3kk)}{16k} \sin^2 \varepsilon) \varphi$ . Cum deinde in motu lineae absidum hi anguli denuo per  $\frac{3n(2-kk)}{8k} \sin^2 \varepsilon$  et  $-\frac{3n(2-3kk)}{8k} \sin^2 \varepsilon$  multiplicari debeant, fieri potest, ut inde motus medius non parum afficiatur. Verum si hi termini alicujus sint momenti, etiam ipsas formulas principales accuratius evolvi oporteret, quod autem negotium hic suscipi non convenit, cum nondum satis constet, quibusnam casibus id utilitatem esset habiturum. Quod denique ad integrationem formulae

$$\int \frac{pp d\varphi \cos \omega}{\cos \varepsilon (1 + q \cos s)^2} = t \sqrt{2fgL}$$

attinet, in ea vires analyseos experiri oportet, ac tutissima quidem methodus videtur, postquam loco valor  $ds + ad\varphi + P d\varphi$  est positus, formulam  $\frac{pp ds \cos \omega}{\cos \varepsilon (1 + q \cos s)^2}$  ita integrare, quasi  $p$ ,  $q$  et  $\omega$  essent constantes, tum vero invento integrali correctiones ex harum quantitatum variabilitate oriundas investigare. Atque haec de motu duorum corporum se mutuo attrahentium sufficere videntur, ex quo ad considerationem trium corporum progrediamur.

## Caput VI.

### De motu trium corporum sphaericorum, se mutuo attrahentium in genere.

180. **Problema.** (Fig. 185.) Si tria corpora sphaerica  $L, M, N$ , se mutuo attrahentia moveantur in eodem plano, eorum motum per calculum definire.

**Solutio.** Elapso tempore  $= t$  versentur corpora in  $L, M, N$  in plano tabulae, in quo sumta recta fixa  $OV$ , ad quam eorum situs referatur, per puncta  $L, M, N$  agantur rectae  $l\lambda, m\mu, n\nu$ , sive  $OV$  parallelae, simulque ad eam perpendiculara  $LP, MQ, NR$ . Quodsi jam longitudinem cujusque corporis ex altero spectati per angulum a recta  $OV$  in sensum  $V\sigma$  sumtum aestimemus, statuamus

$$\text{longitudinem corporis } M \text{ ex } L \text{ spectati } lLM = \zeta$$

$$\text{longitudinem corporis } N \text{ ex } M \text{ spectati } mMN = \eta$$

$$\text{longitudinem corporis } L \text{ ex } N \text{ spectati } nNL = \vartheta,$$

quod postremus angulus  $\vartheta$  in figura duobus rectis major est intelligendus. Atque iidem anguli duobus vel aucti vel minuti exhibebunt longitudinem corporum  $L, M, N$  ex  $M, N, L$  spectatorum. Ponamus nunc distantias  $LM = x$ ,  $MN = y$  et  $NL = z$ , erunt coordinatae

$$\begin{aligned} OQ &= OP + x \cos \zeta, & QM &= PL + x \sin \zeta \\ OR &= OQ + y \cos \eta, & RN &= QM + y \sin \eta \\ OP &= OR + z \cos \vartheta, & PL &= RN + z \sin \vartheta \end{aligned}$$

hincque colligimus

$$x \cos \zeta + y \cos \eta + z \cos \vartheta = 0 \quad \text{et} \quad x \sin \zeta + y \sin \eta + z \sin \vartheta = 0$$

ac porro

$$\begin{aligned} x \sin (\zeta - \vartheta) + y \sin (\eta - \vartheta) &= 0, & x \sin (\zeta - \eta) + z \sin (\vartheta - \eta) &= 0, \\ y \sin (\eta - \zeta) + z \sin (\vartheta - \zeta) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{ideoque} \quad x : y : z = \sin (\eta - \vartheta) : \sin (\vartheta - \zeta) : \sin (\zeta - \eta),$$

unde relatio inter distantias et angulos ita commodissime exhibetur, ut sit

$$x = \rho \sin (\eta - \vartheta), \quad y = \rho \sin (\vartheta - \zeta), \quad z = \rho \sin (\zeta - \eta),$$

ubi  $\rho$  denotat diametrum circuli triangulo  $LMN$  circumscripti. Si jam massae corporum litteris cognominibus  $L, M, N$  exprimantur, corpus  $L$  a reliquis sollicitatur

$$\text{sec. } OP \text{ vi} = \frac{LM \cos \zeta}{xx} - \frac{LN \cos \vartheta}{zz} \quad \text{et} \quad \text{sec. } PL \text{ vi} = \frac{LM \sin \zeta}{xx} - \frac{LN \sin \vartheta}{zz},$$

corpus vero  $M$  a reliquis sollicitatur

$$\text{sec. } OQ \text{ vi} = \frac{MN \cos \eta}{yy} - \frac{LM \cos \zeta}{xx} \quad \text{et} \quad \text{sec. } QM \text{ vi} = \frac{MN \sin \eta}{yy} - \frac{LM \sin \zeta}{xx}$$

et corpus  $N$  a reliquis sollicitatur

$$\text{sec. } OR \text{ vi} = \frac{LN \cos \vartheta}{zz} - \frac{MN \cos \eta}{yy} \quad \text{et} \quad \text{sec. } RN \text{ vi} = \frac{LN \sin \vartheta}{zz} - \frac{MN \sin \eta}{yy},$$

unde sequentes aequationes adipiscimur

$$dd . OP = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{M \cos \zeta}{xx} - \frac{N \cos \vartheta}{zz} \right), \quad dd . PL = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{M \sin \zeta}{xx} - \frac{N \sin \vartheta}{zz} \right),$$

$$dd . OQ = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{N \cos \eta}{yy} - \frac{L \cos \zeta}{xx} \right), \quad dd . QM = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{N \sin \eta}{yy} - \frac{L \sin \zeta}{xx} \right),$$

$$dd . OR = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{L \cos \vartheta}{zz} - \frac{M \cos \eta}{yy} \right), \quad dd . RN = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{L \sin \vartheta}{zz} - \frac{M \sin \eta}{yy} \right),$$

ex quibus colligimus sequentes

$$dd . x \cos \zeta = 2gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(L+M) \cos \zeta}{xx} + \frac{N \cos \eta}{yy} + \frac{N \cos \vartheta}{zz} \right), \quad dd . x \sin \zeta = 2gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(L+M) \sin \zeta}{xx} + \frac{N \sin \eta}{yy} + \frac{N \sin \vartheta}{zz} \right),$$

$$dd . y \cos \eta = 2gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(M+N) \cos \eta}{yy} + \frac{L \cos \vartheta}{zz} + \frac{L \cos \zeta}{xx} \right), \quad dd . y \sin \eta = 2gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(M+N) \sin \eta}{yy} + \frac{L \sin \vartheta}{zz} + \frac{L \sin \zeta}{xx} \right),$$

$$dd . z \cos \vartheta = 2gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(L+N) \cos \vartheta}{zz} + \frac{M \cos \zeta}{xx} + \frac{M \cos \eta}{yy} \right), \quad dd . z \sin \vartheta = 2gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(L+N) \sin \vartheta}{zz} + \frac{M \sin \zeta}{xx} + \frac{M \sin \eta}{yy} \right),$$

quae porro transformantur in has

$$I. \quad 2dxd\zeta + xdd\zeta = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{N \sin(\eta - \xi)}{yy} + \frac{N \sin(\vartheta - \xi)}{zz} \right),$$

$$II. \quad ddx - xdd\zeta^2 = 2gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(L+M)}{xx} + \frac{N \cos(\eta - \xi)}{yy} + \frac{N \cos(\vartheta - \xi)}{zz} \right),$$

$$III. \quad 2dyd\eta + ydd\eta = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{L \sin(\vartheta - \eta)}{zz} + \frac{L \sin(\xi - \eta)}{xx} \right),$$

$$IV. \quad ddy - ydd\eta^2 = 2gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(M+N)}{yy} + \frac{L \cos(\vartheta - \eta)}{zz} + \frac{L \cos(\xi - \eta)}{xx} \right),$$

$$V. \quad 2dzd\vartheta + zdd\vartheta = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{M \sin(\xi - \vartheta)}{xx} + \frac{M \sin(\eta - \vartheta)}{yy} \right),$$

$$VI. \quad ddz - zdd\vartheta^2 = 2gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(L+N)}{zz} + \frac{M \cos(\xi - \vartheta)}{xx} + \frac{M \cos(\eta - \vartheta)}{yy} \right),$$

Ex aequationum I, III et V colligimus hanc integralem

$$LMxaxd\zeta + MNyyd\eta + LNzzd\vartheta = Cdt,$$

Ex I et II deducimus

$$d(dx^2 + xxd\zeta^2) = 4gdt^2 \left( -\frac{(L+M)dx}{xx} + \frac{N(dx \cos(\eta - \xi) + x d\zeta \sin(\eta - \xi))}{yy} + \frac{N(dx \cos(\vartheta - \xi) + x d\zeta \sin(\vartheta - \xi))}{zz} \right),$$

quae ita representetur

$$\frac{d(dx^2 + xxd\zeta^2)}{4gNdt^2} = -\frac{(L+M)dx}{Nxx} + \frac{d \cdot x \cos(\eta - \xi) + x d\zeta \sin(\eta - \xi)}{yy} + \frac{d \cdot x \cos(\vartheta - \xi) + x d\zeta \sin(\vartheta - \xi)}{zz},$$

similesque ex reliquis ortae erunt

$$\frac{d(dy^2 + yyd\eta^2)}{4gLdt^2} = -\frac{(M+N)dy}{Lyy} + \frac{d \cdot y \cos(\vartheta - \eta) + y d\vartheta \sin(\vartheta - \eta)}{zz} + \frac{d \cdot y \cos(\xi - \eta) + y d\zeta \sin(\xi - \eta)}{xx},$$

$$\frac{d(dz^2 + zxd\vartheta^2)}{4gMdt^2} = -\frac{(L+N)dz}{Mzz} + \frac{d \cdot z \cos(\xi - \vartheta) + z d\zeta \sin(\xi - \vartheta)}{xx} + \frac{d \cdot z \cos(\eta - \vartheta) + z d\eta \sin(\eta - \vartheta)}{yy}.$$

Adhaec haec tres aequationes, et cum sit

$$x \sin(\eta - \zeta) + z \sin(\eta - \vartheta) = 0, \quad x \sin(\vartheta - \zeta) + y \sin(\vartheta - \eta) = 0,$$

$$y \sin(\zeta - \eta) + z \sin(\zeta - \vartheta) = 0,$$

Summa erit

$$\frac{(L+M)dx}{Nxx} - \frac{(M+N)dy}{Lyy} - \frac{(L+N)dz}{Mzz} + \frac{d(x \cos(\eta - \xi) + z \cos(\eta - \vartheta))}{yy} + \frac{d(x \cos(\vartheta - \xi) + y \cos(\vartheta - \eta))}{zz} + \frac{d(y \cos(\xi - \eta) + z \cos(\xi - \vartheta))}{xx}.$$

Ex aequationibus  $x \cos \zeta + y \cos \eta + z \cos \vartheta = 0$  et  $x \sin \zeta + y \sin \eta + z \sin \vartheta = 0$  colligimus

$$x \cos(\vartheta - \zeta) + y \cos(\vartheta - \eta) + z = 0, \quad x \cos(\eta - \zeta) + z \cos(\eta - \vartheta) + y = 0,$$

$$y \cos(\zeta - \eta) + z \cos(\zeta - \vartheta) + x = 0,$$

quibus valoribus inductis consequimur

$$\frac{d.(dx^2 + xx d\xi^2)}{4gNdt^2} + \frac{d.(dy^2 + yy d\eta^2)}{4gLdt^2} + \frac{d.(dz^2 + zz d\vartheta^2)}{4gMdt^2} = \frac{-(L+M+N) dx}{Nxx} - \frac{(L+M+N) dy}{Ly} - \frac{(L+M+N) dz}{Mz}$$

hincque integrando

$$\frac{dx^2 + xx d\xi^2}{N} + \frac{dy^2 + yy d\eta^2}{L} + \frac{dz^2 + zz d\vartheta^2}{M} = 4g(L+M+N) dt^2 \left( D + \frac{1}{Nx} + \frac{1}{Ly} + \frac{1}{Mz} \right),$$

$$LM(dx^2 + xx d\xi^2) + MN(dy^2 + yy d\eta^2) + LN(dz^2 + zz d\vartheta^2) =$$

$$4g(L+M+N) dt^2 \left( E + \frac{LM}{x} + \frac{MN}{y} + \frac{LN}{z} \right),$$

ita ut jam habeamus duas aequationes integrales. Praeterea autem notasse convenit esse

$$LM(xddx + dx^2) + MN(yddy + dy^2) + LN(zddz + dz^2) =$$

$$2g(L+M+N) dt^2 \left( 2E + \frac{LM}{x} + \frac{MN}{y} + \frac{LN}{z} \right),$$

etiamsi hinc nullā via ad novam integrationem aperitur. Cum igitur septem habeamus quantitates scilicet tres distantias  $x, y, z$ , tres angulos  $\zeta, \eta, \vartheta$  et tempus  $t$ , quarum relationem mutua definiti oportet, ad hoc opus est sex aequationibus, ad quarum numerum complendum habemus primo has duas aequationes finitas

$$\text{I. } x \cos \zeta + y \cos \eta + z \cos \vartheta = 0, \quad \text{II. } x \sin \zeta + y \sin \eta + z \sin \vartheta = 0,$$

deinde binas aequationes jam per integrationem erutas

$$\text{III. } LMxx d\xi + MNyy d\eta + LNzz d\vartheta = Cdt \quad \text{et}$$

$$\text{IV. } LM(dx^2 + xx d\xi^2) + MN(dy^2 + yy d\eta^2) + LN(dz^2 + zz d\vartheta^2) =$$

$$4g(L+M+N) dt^2 \left( E + \frac{LM}{x} + \frac{MN}{y} + \frac{LN}{z} \right).$$

Loco duarum reliquarum binae trium sequentium commodissime accipientur

$$\text{V. } 2dx d\xi + xdd\xi = 2gNdt^2 \left( \frac{\sin(\eta - \zeta)}{yy} + \frac{\sin(\vartheta - \zeta)}{zz} \right),$$

$$\text{VI. } 2dy d\eta + ydd\eta = 2gLdt^2 \left( \frac{\sin(\vartheta - \eta)}{zz} + \frac{\sin(\zeta - \eta)}{xx} \right),$$

$$\text{VII. } 2dz d\vartheta + zdd\vartheta = 2gMdt^2 \left( \frac{\sin(\zeta - \vartheta)}{xx} + \frac{\sin(\eta - \vartheta)}{yy} \right),$$

quarum resolutio hoc modo tentanda videtur. Multiplicentur hae tres postremae aequationes seorsim per certas formulas differentiales, ita ut membra posteriora fiant integrabilia seorsim, priorum autem summa talis efficiatur. Ob

$$x : y : z = \sin(\eta - \vartheta) : \sin(\vartheta - \zeta) : \sin(\zeta - \eta),$$

prior conditio impletur si multiplicetur

$$\text{aequatio V. per } \frac{yz \sin(\vartheta - \xi) \sin(\xi - \eta)}{\sin^3(\vartheta - \xi) - \sin^3(\xi - \eta)} dP,$$

$$\text{aequatio VI. per } \frac{xz \sin(\xi - \eta) \sin(\eta - \vartheta)}{\sin^3(\xi - \eta) - \sin^3(\eta - \vartheta)} dQ,$$

$$\text{aequatio VII. per } \frac{xy \sin(\eta - \vartheta) \sin(\vartheta - \xi)}{\sin^3(\eta - \vartheta) - \sin^3(\vartheta - \xi)} dR;$$

in quibus integralia posteriorum membrorum fiunt

$$2gNP dt^2, \quad 2gLQ dt^2, \quad 2gMR dt^2;$$

ergo, ut priorum membrorum aggregatum reddatur integrabile, quem in finem idoneos vires functionum  $P, Q, R$  investigari convenit. Verum hic calculi subsidiis destituti istud negotium deserere cogimur.

**181. Coroll. 1.** Posito  $\sin(\eta - \vartheta) = \frac{x}{v}$ ,  $\sin(\vartheta - \xi) = \frac{y}{v}$ ,  $\sin(\xi - \eta) = \frac{z}{v}$ , aequationes V. VI. VII. in has abeunt formas

$$\text{V. } d.(xx d\xi) = \frac{2gNx dt^2}{v} \left( \frac{y}{xz} - \frac{z}{yy} \right),$$

$$\text{VI. } d.(yy d\eta) = \frac{2gLy dt^2}{v} \left( \frac{z}{xx} - \frac{x}{zz} \right),$$

$$\text{VII. } d.(zz d\vartheta) = \frac{2gMz dt^2}{v} \left( \frac{x}{yy} - \frac{y}{xx} \right),$$

quae per  $x, y, z$  determinatur, ut sit

$$v = \frac{2xyz}{\sqrt{(2axy + 2axz + 2yyz - x^2 - y^2 - z^2)}}.$$

**182. Coroll. 2.** Si ex illis aequationibus eliminemus  $dt^2$ , obtinebimus

$$\frac{2g dt^2}{v} = \frac{yyz d.(xx d\xi)}{Nx(y^2 - z^2)} = \frac{xxz d.(yy d\eta)}{Ly(z^2 - x^2)} = \frac{xyy d.(zz d\vartheta)}{Mx(x^2 - y^2)}.$$

Quae etiam in plures alias formas has aequationes transfundere licet, neque tamen methodus patet hinc novam aequationem integram eliciendi.

**183. Scholion 1.** Hoc igitur problema, cui vera determinatio omnium motuum coelestium committitur, vires analyseos superat, etiamsi corpora se mutuo attrahentia sphaerica et in eodem plano moveri assumsimus; quae ergo conditiones, si secus se haberent, atque imprimis si numerus corporum ternarium excederet, multo minus de solutione cogitare liceret; ex quo intelligitur in subsidium astronomiae ingentem analyseos promotionem desiderari. Neque etiam in genere ulla via ad approximationes patet, quibus uti non licet, nisi vel unum trium corporum sit valde parvum, vel vis ad motum reliquorum perturbandum nata fuerit vehementer exigua. Si enim corpus  $N$  evanescat, nostrae aequationes tantum ad has binas redeunt

$$LMxx d\xi = Cdt \quad \text{et} \quad LM(dx^2 + xx d\xi^2) = 4g(L + M) dt^2 \left( E + \frac{LM}{x} \right),$$

quibus motus duorum corporum continetur, unde si massa  $N$  sit valde parva, hinc idoneae approxi-

mationes peti poterunt. Deinde si corpus  $N$  sit infinite remotum, ut distantiae  $y$  et  $z$  fiant aequationum differentio-differentialium primo expositarum binae priores jam totum negotium abeuntes in has formas:

$$2dx d\zeta + x dd\zeta = 0 \quad \text{et} \quad ddx - x d\zeta^2 = \frac{-2g(L+M) dx^2}{xx},$$

ita ut reliquas ne in computum quidem duci necesse sit, qui casus ex posterioribus aequationibus minus perspicitur, cum ibi reliquae quantitates praeter necessitatem calculo sint immixtae. in mundo ejusmodi casus existeret, ut trium corporum se mutuo attrahentium neque unius massa praeter reliquis valde parva, neque unius distantia a reliquis vehementer magna, fateri cogimur motum nobis fore imperscrutabilem: verum commode in mundo usu venit, ut hujusmodi nobis nusquam deprehendatur, qua in re nostrae imbecillitati non parum consultum videtur. obrem contenti simus in methodum inquisivisse, cujus beneficio proxime saltem motum trium corporum determinare valeamus, quando inter terna corpora se invicem attrahentia unum cujus vis in reliqua sive ob massae parvitatem, sive ob ejus enormem distantiam, quasi quippe qui solus casus relinquatur, in quo vires nostras experiri liceat.

184. **Scholion 2.** Cum igitur tam mundus alios motus non offerat, quam analysis ad investigandos non sit apta, nisi qui non multum a ratione motus in sectione conica recedant, operam in inventionem aberrationum ab hac motus lege collocari conveniet. Hanc ob rem motum regularem vocabimus, qui leges motus, quibus duo tantum corpora sphaerica se mutuo attrahentia sunt inventa, perfecte sequitur, cujusmodi motus, etiamsi forte nusquam in mundo locum habet, tamen, quoniam discrimen nusquam est valde magnum, aberrationes seu perturbationes motus regularis per approximationes definire conabimur. In proposito igitur problemate motum trium corporum  $L$ ,  $M$ ,  $N$  ita comparatum assumamus, ut bina  $M$  et  $N$  respectu tertii  $L$  motu fere regulari moveantur, unde hoc commode consequimur, ut dum perturbationes alterius definire studemus, motum tanquam regularem spectare queamus; cum enim perturbationes ab hoc in illo deductae per se sint valde parvae, sive hoc posterius regulariter moveatur, sive parumper a regulari recedat, nullum discrimen in perturbatione illius orietur. Ita quando in perturbationes motus a sole oriundas inquirere volumus, motum solis respectu terrae tanquam regularem spectabimus, vicissim, si errores in motu terrae ab actione lunae nati definiri debeant, qui terra ad quietem redacta in motum solis transferuntur, motum lunae tanquam regularem spectare licebit. Cum in propositis tribus corporibus unum semper in quiete considerari possit, problema ita tractabimus, binorum reliquorum unum motu regulari ferri censeatur, pro alteroque tantum perturbationes investigentur. Quod si praestiterimus, non amplius difficile erit, problemati pro corporibus quotcunque propositis satisfacere, quia enim perturbationes satis sunt exiguae, quantae a singulis seorsim deducantur, assignavisse sufficiat, quae deinceps conjunctae omnes perturbationes ab omnibus ortas exhibebunt.

185. **Problema.** (Fig. 186.) Si corpus  $N$  circa corpus  $L$ , quod in quiete spectamus, regulari feratur, tum vero in eodem plano corpus  $M$  circa  $L$  ita moveatur, ut ejus ab actione corporis  $N$  perturbetur, hujus motus perturbationes assignare.

Cum hic ad motum respectivum attendamus, corpore  $L$  in quiete spectato, ductis  
 $LN$  et  $MN$ , attractio mutua corporum  $L$  et  $M$  est  $= \frac{L.M}{LM^2}$ , corporum  $L$  et  $N = \frac{L.N}{LN^2}$ ,  
 corporum  $M$  et  $N = \frac{M.N}{MN^2}$ . Cum nunc corpus  $L$  sollicitetur secundum  $LM$  vi  $= \frac{L.M}{LM^2}$ , et  
 $LN$  vi  $= \frac{L.N}{LN^2}$ , hae vires in sensum oppositum et in ratione massarum mutatae binis  
 corporibus applicari debent. Ductis ergo rectis  $MT$  et  $NV$  ipsis  $NL$  et  $ML$  parallelis, corpus  
 vires secundum  $ML = \frac{L.M}{LM^2}$  et secundum  $MN = \frac{M.N}{MN^2}$  sollicitari censendum est viribus  
 $ML = \frac{M.M}{LM^2}$  et secundum  $MT = \frac{M.N}{LN^2}$ ; at corpus  $N$  praeter vires secundum  $NL = \frac{L.N}{LN^2}$  et  
 $NM = \frac{M.N}{MN^2}$ , a viribus secundum  $NL = \frac{N.N}{LN^2}$  et secundum  $NV = \frac{M.N}{LM^2}$ . Sumtis nunc dua-  
 bus directionibus fixis altera  $LA$ , altera ad hanc normali, corpus  $M$  sollicitabitur

$$\text{sec. } LQ \text{ vi} = \frac{-M(L+M)LQ}{LM^3} + \frac{M.N.MS}{MN^3} - \frac{M.N.LR}{LN^3},$$

$$\text{sec. } QM \text{ vi} = \frac{-M(L+M)QM}{LM^3} + \frac{M.N.SN}{MN^3} - \frac{M.N.RN}{LN^3}.$$

Corpus vero  $N$  sollicitabitur

$$\text{sec. } LR \text{ vi} = \frac{-N(L+N)LR}{LN^3} - \frac{M.N.MS}{MN^3} - \frac{M.N.LQ}{LM^3},$$

$$\text{sec. } RN \text{ vi} = \frac{-N(L+N)RN}{LN^3} - \frac{M.N.SN}{MN^3} - \frac{M.N.QM}{LM^3}.$$

Ponamus jam coordinatas pro corpore  $M$

$$LQ = x, \quad QM = y, \quad LM = \sqrt{(xx + yy)} = v,$$

$$\text{pro corpore } N \text{ vero} \quad LR = x, \quad RN = y, \quad LN = \sqrt{(xx + yy)} = v,$$

et  $MN = \sqrt{(x-x)^2 + (y-y)^2} = w$ , et aequationes differentio-differentiales motum utriusque  
 corporis exprimentes, posito elemento temporis  $dt$  constante, erunt

$$\text{I. } ddx = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{-(L+M)x}{v^3} + \frac{N(x-x)}{w^3} - \frac{Ny}{y^3} \right),$$

$$\text{II. } ddy = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{-(L+M)y}{v^3} + \frac{N(y-y)}{w^3} - \frac{Nx}{x^3} \right),$$

$$\text{III. } ddx = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{-(L+N)x}{v^3} - \frac{M(x-x)}{w^3} - \frac{Mx}{v^3} \right),$$

$$\text{IV. } ddy = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{-(L+N)y}{v^3} - \frac{M(y-y)}{w^3} - \frac{My}{v^3} \right).$$

Quia autem motus corporis  $M$  non adeo perturbari sumatur, hypothesis nostra exigit, ut termini  
 $\frac{N}{v^3}$  et  $\frac{M}{v^3}$  sint prae  $\frac{L+M}{v^3}$  valde parvi, atque eodem jure termini  $\frac{M}{w^3}$  et  $\frac{M}{v^3}$  prae  $\frac{L+N}{v^3}$  valde exigui  
 debent; quia alioquin determinatio motus vires calculi superaret.

Quia igitur motus corporis  $N$  pro cognito habeatur, quantitates  $x$ ,  $y$  et  $v$  tanquam functiones  
 cognitae temporis  $t$  spectari possunt, sicque tantum duae aequationes priores relinquuntur, ex quibus  
 colligimus

$$y ddx - x ddy = 2gdt^2 \left( \frac{N(xy - xy)}{w^3} - \frac{N(xy - xy)}{y^3} \right), \quad \text{seu} = 2gN(xy - xy) dt^2 \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{y^3} \right)$$

$$\text{et} \quad 2dxdx + 2dydy = 4gdt^2 \left( \frac{-(L+M)dv}{vv} - \frac{Nvdv}{w^3} + N(xdx + ydy) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{y^3} \right) \right)$$

Ponamus nunc pro motu corporis  $M$  angulum  $ALM = \varphi$ , distantia existente  $LM = v$ ,  
 $x = v \cos \varphi$  et  $y = v \sin \varphi$ , hincque  $ydx - xdy = -vd\varphi$  et  $dx^2 + dy^2 = dv^2 + vv d\varphi^2$ ,  
 pro motu corporis  $N$  statuatur distantia  $LN = u$ , quae hactenus erat  $= w$ , et angulus  $ALN = \vartheta$   
 ut sit  $x = u \cos \vartheta$  et  $y = u \sin \vartheta$ , hincque

$$w = \sqrt{(u \cos \vartheta - v \cos \varphi)^2 + (u \sin \vartheta - v \sin \varphi)^2} = \sqrt{(uu - 2uv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + vv)}$$

$$\text{et} \quad xy - xy = uv \sin(\varphi - \vartheta), \quad \text{atque} \quad xdx + ydy = u dv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - uv d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)$$

Unde nostrae aequationes erunt

$$d.(vv d\varphi) = -2gNuv dt^2 \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

$$d.(dv^2 + vv d\varphi^2) = 4gdt^2 \left( \frac{-(L+M)dv}{vv} - \frac{Nvdv}{w^3} + N(u dv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - uv d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \right)$$

seu si differentialia secundi gradus non reformidemus,

$$2dv d\varphi + v dd\varphi = -2gNudt^2 \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \quad \text{et}$$

$$ddv - v d\varphi^2 = -2g(L+M) \frac{dt^2}{vv} - 2gNdt^2 \left( \frac{v}{w^3} - u \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \right),$$

ubi  $u$  et  $\vartheta$  tanquam quantitates per  $t$  datae sunt spectandae, terminique per  $N$  affecti tanquam valde parvi.

Verum illae aequationes ad integrationem magis sunt praeparatae, et posterior ob

$$vdx = vdv + udu - u dv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - v du \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + uv(d\varphi - d\vartheta) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)$$

transit in hanc formam

$$d.(dv^2 + vv d\varphi^2) = -4g(L+M) dt^2 \frac{dv}{vv} - 4gNdt^2 \left( \frac{dv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - v d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{uv} \right) \\ + 4gNdt^2 \left( \frac{udu - vdu \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - w d\vartheta \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) - w dv}{w^3} \right),$$

unde integrando quatenus licet obtinemus

$$dv^2 + vv d\varphi^2 = 4g(L+M) dt^2 \left( D + \frac{1}{v} \right) - 4gNdt^2 \left( \frac{v \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{uv} - \int \frac{v d\vartheta \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{uv} + 2 \int \frac{v du \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{uv} \right) \\ + 4gNdt^2 \left( \frac{1}{w} + \int \frac{du(u + v \cos(\varphi - \vartheta))}{w^3} - \int \frac{uv d\vartheta \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{w^3} \right),$$

$$\text{sive} \quad dv^2 + vv d\varphi^2 = 4g(L+M) dt^2 \left( D + \frac{1}{v} \right) + 4gNdt^2 \left( \frac{1}{w} - \frac{v \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{uv} \right)$$

$$+ 4gNdt^2 \int du \left( \frac{u - v \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{w^3} - \frac{2v \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{u^3} \right)$$

$$- 4gNdt^2 \int uv d\vartheta \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta).$$



vero aequatio per  $2v\varphi d\varphi$  multiplicata et integrata dat

$$v^4 d\varphi^2 = 4g(L+M)Cdt^2 - 4gNdt^2 \int uv^3 d\varphi \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta).$$

Ponamus brevitatis gratia

$$\int uv^3 d\varphi \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) = P, \quad \int uv d\vartheta \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) = Q,$$

$$\int du \left( \frac{u - v \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{w^3} - \frac{2v \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{u^3} \right) = R,$$

habeamus has aequationes

$$v^4 d\varphi^2 = 4gdt^2 (C(L+M) - NP)$$

$$dv^2 + v\varphi d\varphi^2 = 4gdt^2 \left( D(L+M) + \frac{L+M}{v} + \frac{N}{w} - \frac{Nv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{uw} + NR - NQ \right),$$

inde eliminando  $4gdt^2$  nanciscimur

$$(C(L+M) - NP) = v^4 d\varphi^2 \left( D(L+M) + \frac{L+M}{v} + \frac{N}{w} - \frac{Nv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{uw} - NQ + NR - \frac{C(L+M)}{vv} + \frac{NP}{vv} \right).$$

Statuamus porro  $\frac{N}{L+M} = n$ , fietque

$$\frac{dv \sqrt{C - nP}}{vv} = d\varphi \sqrt{\left( D + \frac{1}{v} + \frac{n}{w} - \frac{nv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{uw} - nQ + nR - \frac{C}{vv} + \frac{nP}{vv} \right)}$$

$$\text{et} \quad v\varphi d\varphi = 2dt \sqrt{g(L+M)(C - nP)},$$

termini littera  $n$  affecti ut minimi spectantur. Illa autem aequatio etiam hoc modo exhiberi potest

$$\frac{dv \sqrt{C - nP}}{vv} = d\varphi \sqrt{\left( D + \frac{1}{v} - \frac{C}{vv} - 2n \int \frac{Pdv}{v^3} - n \int \frac{v dv}{w^3} + n \int u dv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \right)}.$$

$$\text{Ponatur } \varphi = \frac{p}{1+q \cos s} \quad \text{et} \quad C = \frac{f}{2} \quad \text{atque} \quad D = \frac{kk-1}{2f}, \quad \text{fietque} \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{-1}{f} + \frac{npp \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{f(1-qq)uw} + \frac{2nP}{fp} \quad \text{et}$$

$$\frac{qq}{pp} = \frac{kk}{ff} - \frac{2np \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{f(1-qq)uw} + \frac{2n}{fw} - \frac{2nQ}{f} + \frac{2nR}{f} + \frac{2nP(1+qq)}{fpp}$$

$$\text{et} \quad \frac{dv \sqrt{f - 2nP}}{vv} = \frac{qd\varphi \sin s}{p} \sqrt{\left( f - 2nP + \frac{2np^3 \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1-qq)(1+q \cos s)uw} \right)},$$

$$\text{seu} \quad \frac{dv}{vv} = \frac{qd\varphi \sin s}{p} \sqrt{\left( 1 + \frac{2npp \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1-qq)(1+q \cos s)uw} \right)}.$$

Vel si nullam approximationem admittamus, erit

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{f} + \frac{npp \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{f(1-qq)uw} + \frac{2nP}{fp},$$

$$\frac{qq}{pp} = \frac{kk}{ff} + \frac{2n}{fw} - \frac{2nQ}{f} + \frac{2nR}{f} - \frac{3np \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{f(1-qq)uw} + \frac{npp \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{ff(1-qq)uw} + \frac{2nP}{ff} + \frac{2nPqq}{fpp}$$

hincque

$$\frac{dv \sqrt{f - 2nP}}{vv} = \frac{qd\varphi \sin s}{p} \sqrt{\left( f - 2nP + \frac{2np^3 \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1-qq)(1+q \cos s)uw} \right)}, \quad \text{seu}$$

$$\frac{dv}{vv} = \frac{qdp \sin s}{p} \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{2np^2 \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(f - 2n p) (1 - qq) (1 + q \cos s) u}\right)}$$

Est autem

$$\frac{dv}{vv} = \frac{dp}{pp} - \frac{pdq - qdp}{pp} \cos s + \frac{qds \sin s}{p}$$

Cum nunc sint  $p$  et  $q$  proxime constantes, erit

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp}{pp} &= \frac{nf(d\varphi - d\vartheta) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)uu} - \frac{2ndu \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)u^2} - \frac{2nu^2 dp}{w^3} \left(\frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3}\right) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta), \\ \frac{2q}{p} \cdot \frac{(pdq - qdp)}{pp} &= -\frac{2n}{fu^3} (vdv - u dv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + uv d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) - \frac{(1 + kk)uv d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 + k \cos s)^2}) \\ &\quad - \frac{2n(1 + kk)vdv \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{f(1 + k \cos s)^2 uu} + \frac{2ndd\vartheta \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{fuu} - \frac{4ny du \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{fu^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{2n(d\varphi - d\vartheta) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)uu} + \frac{4ndu \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)u^3} \end{aligned}$$

quibus valoribus substitutis, ob  $d\varphi = \frac{kvv dp \sin s}{f}$  proxime, fit

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv}{vv} &= \frac{qds \sin s}{p} + \frac{nv^3 dp \sin s \cos s}{fw^3} - \frac{nuv^3 dp \sin s}{fw^3} (2 \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) + \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + k \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) \\ &\quad + \frac{nv^3 dp \sin^2 s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{ff(1 - kk)uu} (3 + 3k \cos s - 2kk - kk \cos^2 s - k^3 \cos s) - \frac{nv d\vartheta \sin^2 s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)uu} + \frac{2nv du \sin^2 s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)u^3} \end{aligned}$$

Ex quibus colligimus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q(d\varphi - ds)}{p} &= \frac{nv^3 dp \cos s}{fw^3} - \frac{nuv^3 dp}{fw^3} (2 \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) + \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + k \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) \\ &\quad - \frac{nkvv dp \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)uu} + \frac{nv^3 dp \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{ff(1 - kk)uu} (3 + 3k \cos s - 2kk - kk \cos^2 s - k^3 \cos s) \\ &\quad + \frac{nv d\vartheta \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)uu} + \frac{2nv du \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)u^3} \end{aligned}$$

quae formula ita repraesentari potest:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q(d\varphi - ds)}{p} &= \frac{nv^3 dp \cos s}{fw^3} - \frac{nd \left( \frac{v \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{(1 - kk)uu} \right)}{\left( \frac{nu(2np^2 + 1)(v - 1)}{u} \right)} \sqrt{\frac{2niz(d\varphi - ds)}{u} = \frac{(v - 1) f w}{u}} \\ &\quad - \frac{nv^3 dp}{ff} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) (2 \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) + \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + k \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)) \end{aligned}$$

ita ut pro motu lineae absidum sit

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi - s = \text{Const.} &= \frac{nv \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{k(1 - kk)uu} + \frac{n}{k} \int \frac{v^3 dp \cos s}{w^3} \\ &= \frac{n}{fk} \int w^3 dp \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) (2 \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) + \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + k \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)) \end{aligned}$$

in quibus terminis minimis est  $\varphi = \frac{f}{u(2np^2 + 1)(1 + k \cos s)}$

Denique quo haec ad tempus revocari queant, erit  $v d\varphi = dt \sqrt{2g(L+M)(f-2nP)}$ , ita ut  
 $u d\varphi = dt \sqrt{2fg(L+M)}$  et  $ds = d\varphi$ .

186. **Coroll. 1.** Si corpus  $N$  motu regulari circa  $L$  circumferatur, in orbita, cujus semipara-  
 metrum  $= b$ , excentricitas  $= e$  et anomalia vera  $= r$ , ut sit  $u = \frac{b}{1+e \cos r}$ , erit

$$d\vartheta = dr, \quad u u d\vartheta = dt \sqrt{2bg(L+N)} \quad \text{et} \quad du = \frac{ev d\vartheta \sin r}{b}$$

posito  $\sqrt{\frac{b(L+N)}{f(L+M)}} = m$ , erit proxime

$$u u d\vartheta = m v d\varphi, \quad \text{seu} \quad d\vartheta = \frac{m v d\varphi}{u} = dr \quad \text{et} \quad du = \frac{m e v d\varphi \sin r}{b}$$

in superioribus formulis fractione  $n$  affectis omnia elementa ad  $d\varphi$  reducuntur.

187. **Coroll. 2.** His differentialibus introductis etiam differentiale  $d\omega$  ad  $d\varphi$  perducemus,

habebimus enim ob  $d\varphi = \frac{h v d\varphi \sin s}{b}$  proxime

$$d\omega = \frac{h v d\varphi \sin s}{f} (\varphi - u \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)) + \frac{m e v d\varphi \sin r}{u} (u - \varphi \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)) + \frac{v d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{u} (u - m v \varphi)$$

188. **Coroll. 3.** Ex relatione cognita, quae inter differentia  $d\varphi$ ,  $d\vartheta$ ,  $ds$ ,  $dr$ ,  $d\varphi$  et  $du$   
 horum habet, colligi poterunt valores formularum integralium  $P$ ,  $Q$  et  $R$ , unde semiparameter  
 variabilis  $p$  cum excentricitate  $q$  accuratius definientur.

189. **Scholion 1.** Haec solutio per approximationes instituenda isti innititur fundamento,  
 quod termini littera  $n$  affecti sint valde parvi; quod duplici modo evenire potest, vel si ipse nume-  
 rus  $n$  fuerit minimus, dum inter quantitates  $v$ ,  $u$ ,  $w$  non enormis inaequalitas versatur, vel si saltem  
 termini  $\frac{n}{w}$  et  $\frac{n}{u}$  prae  $\frac{1}{v}$  sint quam minimi, quod fieri potest, etiamsi  $n$  sit numerus valde magnus.  
 Si  $L$  sit terra,  $M$  luna, et  $N$  sol, fractio  $\frac{N}{L+M} = n$  quidem est maxima. Verum distantia terrae

a sole  $u$  tantopere superat distantiam lunae a terra  $v$ , ut termini  $\frac{n}{w}$  et  $\frac{n}{u}$  nihilominus sint perquam  
 exigui prae  $\frac{1}{v}$ . At si  $L$  sit terra,  $M$  sol et  $N$  luna, ut perturbationes motus solis apparentis a luna  
 orbitae investigentur, erit  $n$  fractio minima, et distantiae  $v$  et  $w$  praemagnae respectu distantiae  $u$ ;  
 interim tamen quantitas  $\frac{n}{u}$  prae  $\frac{1}{v}$  tanquam evanescentis est spectanda, hocque casu terminus  $\frac{1}{w}$   
 prae  $\frac{1}{u}$  rejici poterit. Quodsi porro  $L$  sit sol,  $M$  vero et  $N$  duo quicumque planetae primarii, erit  
 fractio minima, et quia distantiae  $u$ ,  $v$ ,  $w$  non adeo sunt inaequales, ut una prae reliquis contemni  
 queat, termini  $\frac{n}{w}$  et  $\frac{n}{u}$  utique prae  $\frac{1}{v}$  rejici poterunt.

190. **Scholion 2.** Terminos autem  $\frac{n}{w}$  et  $\frac{n}{u}$  tam parvos prae  $\frac{1}{v}$  esse oportet, ut termini inde  
 nati per  $nn$  affecti nullius futuri essent momenti, quemadmodum etiam in solutione hic exposita  
 omnes terminos, qui altiores ipsius  $n$  potestates essent complexuri, rejecimus. Sin autem, etiam ter-  
 mini per  $nn$  affecti attentionem mereantur, in solutione quidem omnia manerent, donec ad differen-

talia quantitatū  $p$  et  $q$  eruenda descendimus, quae accuratius usque ad terminos per  $nn$  evolvi deberent, hoc autem modo in ambages inextricabiles incideremus. Verum hic labor etiam necessarius videtur, quando termini per  $nn$  affecti per se spectati sunt minimi, quoniam integrationem interdum termini multo majores nasci possunt; ita si in formula differentiali hujusmodi terminus  $nn d\varphi \cos(\alpha + n\varphi)$ , is quidem ob factorem  $nn$  elidendus videri posset, integrationem inde emergit terminus  $n \sin(\alpha + n\varphi)$  ad eum ordinem pertinens, quem minime ne volebamus. Ex quo perspicuum est hunc modum approximandi, quatenus hujusmodi terminis ordinibus negligendis occurrunt, maxime esse lubricum, propterea quod termini haud levis momenti excludantur. Atque hoc potissimum in motus lunae investigatione observandum est, ubi causam ejusmodi termini ingrediuntur, quorum valores a terminis quadrato  $nn$  affectis vel altioribus potestatibus pendent, qui cum non nisi difficillime per theoriam eruantur, expedit valores ex observationibus definire.

191. **Scholion 3.** Formulae nostrae pro  $p$  et  $q$  inventae ideo non parum intricatae prodierunt quod in membro  $\frac{v \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{u}$  naturam quantitatis  $v$  spectavimus, ejusque loco valorem  $\frac{1}{1+q \cos s}$  stituimus, quod cum in formulis  $Q$  et  $R$  non fecerimus, etiamsi et hi ab  $v$  pendeant, etiam jure illi substitutioni supersedere poterimus. Statuamus ergo brevitatis gratia

$\frac{1}{w} = \frac{v \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{u}$  et posito  $C = \frac{f}{2}$  et  $D = \frac{kk-1}{2f}$ , sequentes aequationes resolvendae proponuntur

$v v d\varphi = dt \sqrt{2g(L+M)}(f-2nP)$  et  $\frac{dv \sqrt{f-2nP}}{v} = d\varphi \sqrt{\left(\frac{kk-1}{f} + \frac{2}{v} + 2nS - \frac{f}{vv} + \frac{2nP}{vv}\right)^{(*)}}$ .

Statuamus nunc  $v = \frac{p}{1+q \cos s}$ , et haec formula signo radicali implicata fit

$$\frac{kk-1}{f} + \frac{2}{p} + 2nS - \frac{f}{pp} + \frac{2nP}{pp} + \frac{2q \cos s}{p} - \frac{2fq \cos s}{pp} + \frac{4nPq \cos s}{pp} - \frac{fq \cos^2 s}{pp} + \frac{2nPq \cos^2 s}{pp}$$

Evanescant primo termini per  $\cos s$  affecti, eritque

$$1 - \frac{f}{p} + \frac{2nP}{p} = 0, \quad \text{seu} \quad p = f - 2nP;$$

hoc modo illa formula abit in

(\*) Si excentricitas  $k$  evanescat, alio modo calculum tractari oportet; erit enim

$\frac{1}{v} = A + B \cos \eta + C \cos^2 \eta + \text{etc.}$  et  $\frac{dv}{vv} = d\varphi \sqrt{(A + B \cos \eta + C \cos^2 \eta + D \cos^3 \eta + \text{etc.})}$   
 Cum nunc  $dv$  factorem obtineat  $\sin \eta$ , necesse est, ut sit  $A \pm B + C \pm D + E \text{ etc.} = 0$   
 $A + C + E + \text{etc.} = 0$  et  $B + D + \text{etc.} = 0$ . Simili modo poni debet  $P = \dots + \cos \eta + \cos^2 \eta$   
 et  $S = \dots + \cos \eta + \cos^2 \eta$ . Haec methodus aptior videtur illa, qua omnes termini ad sinus et cosinus angulorum multiplo- rum ipsius  $\eta = \varphi - \vartheta$  reducuntur.

$$\frac{kk-1}{f} + \frac{1}{p} + 2nS = \frac{qq}{p} \cos^2 s.$$

ergo  $\frac{qq}{p} = \frac{kk-1}{f} + \frac{1}{p} + 2nS$ , eritque

$$\frac{dv \sqrt{p}}{vv} = \frac{qd\varphi \sin s}{\sqrt{p}}, \quad \text{seu} \quad \frac{dv}{v} = \frac{qd\varphi \sin s}{p}.$$

$$p = f - 2nP \quad \text{et} \quad qq = 1 + \frac{(kk-1)p}{f} + 2nSp,$$

differentiando  $dp = -2ndP$  et  $2qdq = \frac{(kk-1)dp}{f} + 2nSdp + 2npdS$ , hincque

$$\frac{dv}{v} = \frac{dp}{pp} + \frac{qd\varphi \cos s}{pp} + \frac{(kk-1)dp \cos s}{2fpq} + \frac{nSdp \cos s}{pq} + \frac{ndS \cos s}{q} + \frac{qds \sin s}{p}.$$

$$\frac{q(d\varphi - ds) \sin s}{p} = \frac{dp}{p} \left( \frac{1}{2fq} + \frac{(kk-1) \cos s}{2fq} - \frac{nS \cos s}{q} \right) - \frac{ndS \cos s}{q},$$

$$\text{seu} \quad \frac{q(d\varphi - ds) \sin s}{p} = \frac{dp(\cos s + 2q + qq \cos s)}{2ppq} - \frac{ndS \cos s}{q}.$$

$$v dS = \frac{-v dv + u dv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - uv d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{w^3} - \frac{dv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{u} + \frac{vd\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{u},$$

$$\text{seu} \quad dS = \frac{-qv dv \sin s}{pw^3} (v - u \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)) - \frac{uv d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{w^3} - \frac{qv dv \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{pw^3} + \frac{vd\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{u}.$$

quo valore substituto orietur

$$\frac{q(d\varphi - ds) \sin s}{p} = \frac{nv^3 d\varphi \sin s \cos s}{pw^3} - \frac{nuv dv \sin s \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) - \frac{nuv d\varphi \sin^2 s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{w^3(1+q \cos s)^2} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) (2+q \cos s),$$

quae divisa per  $\frac{q \sin s}{p}$  praebebit

$$d\varphi - ds = \frac{nv dv}{q} \left( \frac{v \cos s}{w^3} - u \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) \right) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) - \frac{(2+q \cos s) u \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{1+q \cos s} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right);$$

hinc modo

$$d\varphi - ds = \frac{nv dv}{q} \left( \frac{v \cos s}{w^3} - u \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \right) (\cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)) - \frac{(2+q \cos s) \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{1+q \cos s},$$

in quibus plane approximationes sunt adhibitae. Tum vero erit

$$P = \int uv^3 d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \quad \text{et}$$

$$S = - \int \frac{qv^3 d\varphi \sin s}{pw^3} + \int \frac{quv dv d\varphi \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) - \int uv d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

$$S = - \int \frac{qv^3 d\varphi \sin s}{pw^3} + \int \frac{uv dv}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) (q \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta)) - (1+q \cos s) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta).$$

$$S = - \int \frac{qv^3 d\varphi \sin s}{pw^3} - \int \frac{uv dv}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) (\sin(\varphi - \vartheta) + q \sin(\varphi - \vartheta - s)).$$

Quibus valoribus integrabilibus definitis habebitur

$$p = f - 2nP, \quad q = \sqrt{\left(\frac{hkp}{f} + 1 - \frac{p}{f} + 2nSp\right)} \quad \text{et}$$

$$dt \sqrt{2g(L+M)(f-2nP)} = v \, d\varphi = dt \sqrt{2gp(L+M)},$$

$$\text{existente } \varphi = \frac{p}{1+q \cos s}, \quad d\varphi = \frac{q \, v \, d\varphi \sin s}{p} \quad \text{et} \quad \dot{\omega} = \sqrt{(v\dot{\varphi} - 2vu \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + uu)}.$$

Atque haec solutio praecedenti longe praeferranda videtur, cum quod nullis adhuc approximationibus sit restricta, tum vero quod ejus forma simplicior reperitur.

192. **Problema.** (Fig. 187.) Si corpus  $N$  circa corpus  $L$ , quod in quiete spectamus, motu regulari feratur, tum vero corpus  $M$  non in eodem plano circa  $L$  ita moveatur, ut motus ab actione corporis  $N$  perturbetur, definire has perturbationes.

**Solutio.** Ex corpore  $M$ , in planum orbitae a corpore  $N$  descriptae demittatur perpendicularum  $MP$ , et ex  $P$  ad rectam fixam  $LA$  agatur normalis  $PQ$ , vocenturque coordinatae pro corpore  $M$   $LQ = x$ ,  $QP = y$  et  $PM = z$ , sitque distantia  $LM = \rho = \sqrt{(xx + yy + zz)}$ . Tum vero pro corpore  $N$  sint coordinatae  $LR = r$ ,  $RN = y$  et distantia  $LN = u$ . Posito ergo angulo  $ALN = \vartheta$  sit  $r = u \cos \vartheta$  et  $y = u \sin \vartheta$ . Deinde ponatur distantia  $MN = w$ , ut sit  $w = \sqrt{(r-x)^2 + (y-y)^2 + z^2}$ . Jam secundum directiones ternarum coordinatarum vires corpus  $M$  sollicitantes resolvantur, et cum primo  $M$  ad  $L$  trahatur vi  $= \frac{M(L+M)}{\rho^3}$ , hinc nascitur vis

$$\text{sec. } LQ = \frac{-M(L+M)x}{\rho^3}, \quad \text{sec. } QP = \frac{-M(L+M)y}{\rho^3}, \quad \text{sec. } PM = \frac{M(L+M)z}{\rho^3}.$$

Deinde ad corpus  $N$  urgetur vi  $= \frac{MN}{w^3}$ , unde nascitur vis

$$\text{sec. } LQ = \frac{MN(r-x)}{w^3}, \quad \text{sec. } QP = \frac{MN(y-y)}{w^3}, \quad \text{sec. } PM = \frac{MNz}{w^3}.$$

Denique cum corpus  $L$  ad  $N$  sollicitetur vi  $= \frac{LN}{u^3}$ , hac rite in  $M$  translata prodit vis

$$\text{sec. } LQ = \frac{-MNr}{u^3} \quad \text{et} \quad \text{sec. } QP = \frac{-MNy}{u^3}.$$

Ex his viribus formulae motum continentes ita se habebunt

$$ddx = -2gdt^2 \left( \frac{(L+M)x}{\rho^3} - \frac{N(r-x)}{w^3} + \frac{Nr}{u^3} \right),$$

$$ddy = -2gdt^2 \left( \frac{(L+M)y}{\rho^3} - \frac{N(y-y)}{w^3} + \frac{Ny}{u^3} \right),$$

$$ddz = -2gdt^2 \left( \frac{(L+M)z}{\rho^3} - \frac{Nz}{w^3} \right).$$

Ponamus brevitate gratia  $\frac{N}{L+M} = n$ , ut habeamus

$$ddx = -2g(L+M) dt^2 \left( \frac{x}{v^3} + \frac{nx}{w^3} - ny \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \right),$$

$$ddy = -2g(L+M) dt^2 \left( \frac{y}{v^3} + \frac{ny}{w^3} - nx \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \right),$$

$$ddz = -2g(L+M) dt^2 \left( \frac{z}{v^3} + \frac{nz}{w^3} \right).$$

Hic cum solutione problematis § 169 comparatis, quod ibi erat  $L$  hic nobis est  $L+M$ , ac praeterea

$$X = \frac{nx}{w^3} - nu \cos \vartheta \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right), \quad Y = \frac{ny}{w^3} - nu \sin \vartheta \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right), \quad Z = \frac{nz}{w^3}.$$

Si nunc, solutionem secundum praecepta ibi data prosequendo, recta  $L\Omega$  linea nodorum et  $\Omega$  nodus ascendens, ponaturque angulus  $AL\Omega = \psi$  et inclinatio praesens orbitae a corpore  $M$  descriptae ad planum orbitae  $N = \omega$ ; tum vocetur angulus  $\Omega LM = \sigma$ , eritque

$$x = v (\cos \sigma \cos \psi - \sin \sigma \sin \psi \cos \omega), \quad y = v (\cos \sigma \sin \psi + \sin \sigma \cos \psi \cos \omega) \quad \text{et} \quad z = v \sin \sigma \sin \omega,$$

erit  $d\omega = \frac{d\psi \cos \sigma \sin \omega}{\sin \sigma}$ , atque fiat  $d\sigma + d\psi \cos \omega = d\varphi$ , ut sit  $\varphi$  longitudo corporis  $M$  in sua orbita.

Quibus positis erit

$$dv^2 + v dv^2 = 2g(L+M) dt^2 \left( \frac{v^2-1}{f} + \frac{2}{v} - 2f(Xdx + Ydy + Zdz) \right)$$

$$\text{et} \quad v^4 d\varphi^2 \cos^2 \omega = 4g(L+M) dt^2 f v d\varphi \cos \omega (Xy - Yx)$$

atque

$$d\psi = \frac{2g(L+M) dt^2 \sin \sigma}{v d\varphi} (Y \cos \psi + X \sin \psi - Z \cot \omega).$$

Cum autem sit

$$x dy - y dx = v^2 d\varphi \cos \omega, \quad x dz - z dx = v^2 d\varphi \cos \psi \sin \omega, \quad y dz - z dy = v^2 d\varphi \sin \psi \sin \omega,$$

erit

$$dx = \frac{x dz}{z} - \frac{v^2 d\varphi \cos \psi \sin \omega}{z}, \quad dy = \frac{y dz}{z} - \frac{v^2 d\varphi \sin \psi \sin \omega}{z}$$

$$\text{et} \quad \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{dv}{v} + \frac{d\sigma \cos \sigma}{\sin \sigma} + \frac{d\psi \cos \sigma \cos \omega}{\sin \sigma} = \frac{dv}{v} + \frac{d\varphi \cos \sigma}{\sin \sigma}.$$

Pro reductione formularum datarum habemus primo

$$Xy - Yx = nu (x \sin \vartheta - y \cos \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right), \quad \text{seu}$$

$$Xy - Yx = nuv (\cos \sigma \sin (\vartheta - \psi) - \sin \sigma \cos \omega \cos (\vartheta - \psi)) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right).$$

Deinde est

$$Xdx + Ydy + Zdz = \frac{nv dv}{w^3} - nu dv \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) (\cos \sigma \cos (\psi - \vartheta) - \sin \sigma \cos \omega \sin (\psi - \vartheta))$$

$$+ nuv d\varphi \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) (\sin \sigma \cos (\psi - \vartheta) + \cos \sigma \cos \omega \sin (\psi - \vartheta)),$$

atque

$$v^4 d\varphi^2 \sin^2 \omega = -4ng (L + M) dt^2 \int uv^3 d\varphi \sin \sigma \sin^2 \omega \cos(\psi - \vartheta) \left(\frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3}\right)$$

$$v^4 d\varphi^2 \cos^2 \omega = -4ng (L + M) dt^2 \int uv^3 d\varphi \cos \omega (\cos \sigma \sin(\psi - \vartheta) + \sin \sigma \cos \omega \cos(\psi - \vartheta)) \left(\frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3}\right)$$

unde colligendo fit

$$v^4 d\varphi^2 = -4ng (L + M) dt^2 \int uv^3 d\varphi (\sin \sigma \cos(\psi - \vartheta) + \cos \sigma \cos \omega \sin(\psi - \vartheta)) \left(\frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3}\right)$$

Ponamus jam brevitatis gratia

$$\int uv^3 d\varphi (\sin \sigma \cos(\psi - \vartheta) + \cos \sigma \cos \omega \sin(\psi - \vartheta)) \left(\frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3}\right) = P$$

$$\int uv^3 d\varphi (\sin \sigma \cos(\psi - \vartheta) - \cos \sigma \cos \omega \sin(\psi - \vartheta)) \left(\frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3}\right) = Q$$

eritque  $v^4 d\varphi^2 = 2g (L + M) dt^2 (f - 2nP)$

et  $dv^2 + v^2 d\varphi^2 = 2g (L + M) dt^2 \left(\frac{hk-1}{f} + \frac{2}{v} - 2nQ\right)$

unde fit  $dv^2 (f - 2nP) = v^4 d\varphi^2 \left(\frac{hk-1}{f} + \frac{2}{v} - 2nQ - \frac{f}{vv} + \frac{2nP}{vv}\right)$

et  $\frac{dv}{vv} \sqrt{f - 2nP} = d\varphi \sqrt{\left(\frac{hk-1}{f} + \frac{2}{v} - 2nQ - \frac{f}{vv} + \frac{2nP}{vv}\right)}$

Quare si ut supra ponamus  $v = \frac{p}{1 + q \cos s}$ , obtinebimus

$$p = f - 2nP, \quad qq = 1 + \frac{(hk-1)p}{f} - 2nQp \quad \text{et} \quad \frac{dv}{vv} = \frac{q d\varphi \sin s}{p}$$

ac porro  $\frac{q(d\varphi - ds) \sin s}{p} = \frac{dp(\cos s + 2q + qq \cos s)}{2ppq} + \frac{ndQ \cos s}{q}$

Postea vero reperimus

$$d\psi = \frac{2ng(L+M) dt^2 \sin \sigma \sin(\psi - \vartheta) \left(\frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3}\right)}{v d\varphi}$$

et ob  $2g(L+M) dt^2 = \frac{v^4 d\varphi^2}{p}$  erit

$$d\psi = \frac{nv^3 d\varphi \sin \sigma \sin(\psi - \vartheta) \left(\frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3}\right)}{p} \quad \text{et} \quad \frac{nd\omega}{\sin \omega} = \frac{nuv^3 d\varphi \cos \sigma \sin(\psi - \vartheta) \left(\frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3}\right)}{p}$$

Praeterea ex his valoribus nanciscimur

$$\omega = \sqrt{vv + uu - 2uv (\cos \sigma \cos(\psi - \vartheta) - \sin \sigma \cos \omega \sin(\psi - \vartheta))}$$

Ponamus nunc brevitatis gratia

$$\cos \sigma \cos(\psi - \vartheta) - \sin \sigma \cos \omega \sin(\psi - \vartheta) = \cos \lambda,$$

$$\sin \sigma \cos(\psi - \vartheta) + \cos \sigma \cos \omega \sin(\psi - \vartheta) = \sin \mu,$$



$$P = \int u v^3 d\varphi \sin \mu \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right), \quad Q = \int \frac{v^3 dv}{w^3} + \int (u u d\varphi \sin \mu - u dv \cos \lambda) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right).$$

jam sit  $dp = -2nuv^3 d\varphi \sin \mu \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right)$ , erit

$$\frac{g(ds - ds) \sin s}{p} = \frac{nuv^3 d\varphi \sin \mu (\cos s + 2q + qq \cos s)}{ppq} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) + \frac{nv dv \cos s}{qw^3} + \frac{nu dv \cos \lambda \cos s}{q} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

$$\frac{g(ds - ds)}{p} = \frac{nuv^3 d\varphi \sin s \sin \mu}{pp} (2 + q \cos s) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) + \frac{nv^3 d\varphi \cos s}{pw^3} - \frac{nuv dv \cos s \cos \lambda}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

$\omega = \sqrt{v^2 + uu - 2uv \cos \lambda}$ , unde patet  $\lambda$  denotare angulum  $MLN$ . Cum ergo sit

$d\omega = d\varphi - d\psi \cos \omega$ , erit

$$d\sigma = d\varphi - \frac{nuv^3 d\varphi \sin \sigma \cos \omega \sin(\psi - \vartheta)}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \quad \text{et}$$

$$d\delta = d\varphi - \frac{nv^3 d\varphi \cos s}{qw^3} + \frac{nuv^3 d\varphi \sin s \sin \mu}{pq} (2 + q \cos s) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) + \frac{nuv dv \cos s \cos \lambda}{q} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right);$$

jam vero ob  $dv = \frac{qv^2 d\varphi \sin s}{p}$  fit

$$dQ = \frac{qv^3 d\varphi \sin s}{pw^3} + uv d\varphi \left( \sin \mu - \frac{qv \cos \lambda \sin s}{p} \right) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

unde per integrationem valor ipsius  $Q$  colligi debet. Denique pro ratione temporis habemus

$$dt \sqrt{2g(L + M)} = \frac{uv d\varphi}{\sqrt{p}}.$$

Quodsi jam motus corporis  $N$  sit regularis ponaturque  $u = \frac{b}{1 + e \cos r}$ , erit

$$dt \sqrt{2g(L + N)} = \frac{u d\vartheta}{\sqrt{b}} \quad \text{et} \quad du = \frac{e u d\vartheta \sin r}{b};$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{L + M}{L + N}} = \frac{1}{m}, \quad \text{fit} \quad \frac{1}{m} = \frac{uv d\varphi \sqrt{b}}{u d\vartheta \sqrt{p}}, \quad \text{hinc} \quad d\vartheta = \frac{m uv d\varphi \sqrt{b}}{u d\varphi \sqrt{p}} \quad \text{et}$$

$$du = \frac{m e uv d\varphi \sin r \sqrt{b}}{b \sqrt{p}} = \frac{m e uv d\varphi \sin r}{\sqrt{b p}} \quad \text{et} \quad dr = d\vartheta.$$

193. **Coroll. 1.** Cum termini littera  $n$  affecti sint minimi, primo his terminis penitus neglectis habebimus  $p = f$ ,  $q = k$ ,  $ds = d\varphi$ ,  $v = \frac{f}{1 + k \cos s}$ ,  $d\sigma = d\varphi$  et  $d\psi = 0$ ,  $d\omega = 0$ , quibus valoribus corporis  $N$  motus regularis inducitur.

194. **Coroll. 2.** Deinde hi ipsi valores in terminis littera  $n$  affectis adhibeantur, ex quibus per integrationem primo quantitates  $P$  et  $Q$ , tum vero anguli  $s$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\psi$  et  $\omega$  investigentur, quibus inventis erit accuratius  $p = f - 2nP$  et  $q = \sqrt{\left( \frac{kp}{f} + \frac{2np}{f} - 2nQp \right)}$ , hincque  $v = \frac{f}{1 + q \cos s}$ .

195. **Coroll. 3.** Porro hi valores correcti in formulas integrales introducantur, ac deinceps quantitates  $P$  et  $Q$  quam anguli  $s$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\psi$  et  $\omega$  quaerantur, qui valores cum vero sint etiam quantitates  $p$ ,  $q$  et  $\nu$  indeque et  $\omega$  accuratius cognoscantur, unde similis operatio ad maiorem consensum cum veritate obtinendum suscipi poterit.

196. **Scholion 1.** Hinc intelligitur istum calculum ob formularum complicationem non solum esse operosissimum, sed etiam alia via singulas harum formularum partes integrandi non patet, ut eae in simplices sinus vel cosinus evolvantur, et integrationes omnes ad hujusmodi terminos  $\int d\varphi \cos \xi$  perducantur, ubi relatio inter  $d\varphi$  et  $d\xi$  proxime saltem detur. Quodsi enim  $d\xi = d\varphi(\alpha + \beta \cos x + \text{etc.})$ , ubi terminus  $\alpha$  sequentes plurimum superet, ob

$$d\varphi = \frac{d\xi}{\alpha} - \frac{\beta d\varphi \cos x}{\alpha} \text{ etc.}, \quad \text{fit} \quad \int d\varphi \cos \xi = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int d\xi \cos \xi - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \int d\varphi \cos x \cos \xi \text{ etc.}$$

$$\text{at} \quad \int d\varphi \cos x \cos \xi = \frac{1}{2} \int d\varphi \cos(\xi - x) + \frac{1}{2} \int d\varphi \cos(\xi + x),$$

ita ut hic similis ratio integrationis sit adhibenda. Verum si eveniat, ut ipse numerus  $\alpha$  sit perquam exiguus, hoc modo parum proficimus, hocque casu si fuerit  $x = b\xi + \mathcal{B}$ , integrari oporteret hujusmodi formulam

$$\frac{d\xi \cos \xi}{\alpha + \beta \cos(b\xi + \mathcal{B}) + \gamma \cos(c\xi + \mathcal{C}) \text{ etc.}}$$

in qua coëfficientes  $\beta$  et  $\gamma$  prae  $\alpha$  non sint exigui, sed potius valde magni. Quare si hujusmodi casus occurrant, ista consueta integrandi methodus minime ad scopum est accommodata. Praeterea quantitas irrationalis  $\omega = \sqrt{(v^2 + u^2 - 2vu \cos \lambda)}$  maximum affert obstaculum, nisi insignis inaequalitas inter distantias  $v$  et  $u$  adsit, ita ut fractio  $\frac{1}{\omega^3}$  facile in seriem valde convergentem transmutari possit. Ob has tantas difficultates optandum esset, ut geometrae potius in alias methodos integrandi quae non ad evolutionem in simplices sinus cosinusve adstringerentur, inquirerent, quod negotium si minus successerit, cognitio motuum coelestium non tam ob defectum Mechanicae, quam ob sufficientem Analyseos promotionem arceri est censenda.

197. **Scholion 2.** Quando autem resolutio formulae irrationalis  $\omega$  in seriem convergentem minus commode succedit, quemadmodum imprimis usu venit, quando perturbatio motus cujusdam planetae ab actione alius planetae vel etiam cometae oriunda definiri debet, ob calculi defectum alia via relinquatur, nisi ut pro singulis temporis momentis perturbationes ex formulis differentialibus definiantur, ac deinceps in unam summam colligantur. Planeta scilicet vel cometa assumitur, nisi alter planeta adesset, sectionem conicam circa solem secundum regulas Keplerianas esse descripturum vero quasi singulis temporis momentis vis perturbans accedere concipitur, ubi quanta mutatio tam in ipsa orbita, quam in motu inde efficiatur, determinari oportet; id quod, quia temporis minimum accipiatur, ipsae formulae differentiales ostendent. Quodsi deinceps has perturbationes momentaneas in unam summam colligamus, evidens est conclusionem eo fore certiore, quo minores fuerint temporis particulae, quamquam etiam hinc errores accumulari sunt censendi.

## Caput VII.

De perturbatione motus momentanea a vi quacunque sollicitante oriunda.

**Problema.** (Fig. 188.) Si corpus, dum circa aliud corpus motu regulari sectionem conicam esset descripturum, per exiguum temporis intervallum a corpore quodam tertio in orbitae suae plano sito sollicitetur, determinare motus perturbationem momentaneam.

**Solutio.** Mente primum removeamus corpus perturbans et consideremus motum corporis  $M$ , sicut spectaretur ex corpore  $L$ , dum haec duo corpora  $L$  et  $M$  sola existerent ac se mutuo attractione reciproca duplicata distantiarum. Describet ergo corpus  $M$  sectionem conicam  $BM$ , cuius alter focus erit in  $L$ , sitque  $B$  punctum orbitae ab  $L$  minime distans, seu absidis imae, cujus distantia a directione fixa  $LA$  computata, sit angulus  $ALB = \alpha$ . Orbitae vero vocetur semiparameter  $p$  et excentricitas  $= q$ , erit absidis imae distantia  $LB = \frac{p}{1+q}$ ; absidis vero summae distantia ab  $L = \frac{p}{1-q}$ , unde fit axis transversus  $= \frac{2p}{1-qq}$ , cujus semissis  $\frac{p}{1-qq}$  ponatur  $= r$ . Versetur jam corpus, cujus motum investigamus, in  $M$ , sitque angulus  $BLM = s$ , qui ejus anomalia vera appellatur, et distantia  $LM = \rho$ , erit  $\rho = \frac{p}{1+q \cos s}$ ; ipsa vero longitudo a directione fixa  $LA$  computata sit angulus  $ALM = \varphi$ , erit utique  $\varphi = \alpha + s$  et  $\varphi - s = \alpha$ . Quodsi jam tempusculo  $dt$  corpus ab  $M$  in  $m$  progredi sumamus, et litterae  $L$  et  $M$  massas corporum denotent, erit

$$\rho v ds = dt \sqrt{2gp} (L + M), \quad \text{ideoque} \quad dt \sqrt{2gp} (L + M) = \frac{pp ds}{(1+q \cos s)^2},$$

ut sit angulus elementaris tempusculo  $dt$  confectus

$$MLm = d\varphi = ds = \frac{dt}{\rho v} \sqrt{2gp} (L + M),$$

ubi quidem litterae  $L$  et  $M$  massas ita denotare sunt intelligendae, ut  $\frac{L}{r}$  exprimat vim absolutam, qua corpora in distantia  $= \rho$  ad  $L$  attrahuntur, posita gravitate absoluta  $= 1$  in superficie terrae, ut  $g$  in uno minuto secundo per altitudinem  $= g$  delabi assumitur, ut tempus  $t$  in minutis secundis exprimitur. At quantitates  $L$  et  $M$  etiam ex tempore periodico colligere licet. Cum enim quantitates  $p$  et  $q$  sint constantes, erit

$$\int \frac{ds}{(1+q \cos s)^2} = \frac{1}{(1-qq)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \text{Arc. cos} \frac{q + \cos s}{1+q \cos s} - \frac{q \sin s}{(1-qq)(1+q \cos s)},$$

integrando:

$$t \sqrt{2gp} (L + M) = \frac{pp}{(1-qq)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \text{Arc. cos} \frac{q + \cos s}{1+q \cos s} - \frac{ppq \sin s}{(1-qq)(1+q \cos s)},$$

ubi ob  $\frac{p}{1-qq} = r$  habebitur

$$t \sqrt{2g} (L + M) = r \sqrt{r} \text{Arc. cos} \frac{q + \cos s}{1+q \cos s} - qr \sqrt{p} \frac{\sin s}{1+q \cos s},$$

ubi  $t$  denotat tempus, quo corpus  $M$  ab abside ima  $B$  anomaliam veram  $BLM = s$  absolvit. si totum tempus periodicum vocetur  $= \Theta$  min. sec. posito  $s = 360^\circ = 2\pi$ , obtinebitur

$$\Theta \sqrt{2g(L+M)} = 2\pi r \sqrt{r}, \quad \text{ita ut sit} \quad \sqrt{2g(L+M)} = \frac{2\pi r \sqrt{r}}{\Theta}.$$

His definitis ponamus dum corpus in  $M$  versatur, unde motu assignato ulterius esset progressurum, quasi subito in  $N$  existere corpus in plano orbitae cujus massa  $= N$ , voceturque distantia  $LN = u$ , angulus  $ALN = \vartheta$ , sitque distantia  $MN = \sqrt{(uu - 2uv \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + v^2)} = \varphi$  brevitas orbitae. Ob actionem hujus corporis  $N$ , cujus effectum tantum pro tempusculo  $dt$  hic definire volumus, corpus  $M$  tempusculo  $dt$  non in  $m$  sed in  $\mu$  perveniet, ejusque motus ita perturbabitur, ut, si corpus  $N$ , elapso tempusculo  $dt$  subito iterum tolleretur, aliam deinceps orbitam describeret, a priori infinite parum recedentem, puta  $\beta\mu$ , pro qua statuamus longitudinem absidum imae  $AL\beta = \alpha + d\alpha$ , semiparametrum  $= p + dp$ , excentricitatem  $= q + dq$ , et semiaxem transversum  $= r + dr$ . Nunc autem elapso tempusculo  $dt$  erit anomalia vera  $= \beta L\mu$ , quas mutationes momentaneas ex problemate § 185 ac praecipue ejus scholio § 191 colligamus. Ponamus ergo brevilitatis gratia  $\frac{N}{L+M} = n$ , tum vero

$$dP = uv^2 d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \quad \text{et}$$

$$dS = -\frac{qv^2 d\varphi \sin s}{pw^3} + \frac{q}{p} uvv d\varphi \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) - uv d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right)$$

atque § 191 invenimus fore, posito  $v = \frac{p}{1+q \cos s}$ ,

$$\text{I.} \quad uv d\varphi = dt \sqrt{2g(L+M)} (f - 2nP),$$

$$\text{II.} \quad d\vartheta = \frac{qv d\varphi \sin s}{p},$$

$$\text{III.} \quad p = f - 2nP,$$

$$\text{IV.} \quad \frac{qq}{p} = \frac{kk-1}{f} + \frac{1}{p} + 2nS,$$

$$\text{V.} \quad d\varphi - ds = \frac{nv d\varphi}{q} \left( \frac{v \cos s}{w^3} - u \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) (\cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + \frac{(2+q \cos s) \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{1+q \cos s}) \right)$$

ubi  $f$  denotat semiparametrum et  $k$  excentricitatem pro initio temporis  $t$ . Quoniam igitur hic initium in principio tempusculi  $dt$  constituimus, erit nobis  $f = p$  et  $k = q$ , litterae autem  $p$  et  $q$  denotant earundem valores jam variatos  $p + dp$  et  $q + dq$ , at  $d\varphi$  angulum  $ML\mu$ . Ex quo colligimus

$$dp = -2ndP, \quad \text{et} \quad d \cdot \frac{1-qq}{p} = -2ndS = d \cdot \frac{1}{p}, \quad \text{atque}$$

$$uv d\varphi = dt \sqrt{2g(L+M)} (p + dp), \quad \text{seu} \quad = dt \left( \sqrt{p} + \frac{dp}{2\sqrt{p}} \right) \sqrt{2g(L+M)}.$$

Variationes ergo tempusculo  $dt$  productae ita se habebunt:

1. semiparameter  $p$  augmentum capit  $dp$ , ut sit

$$dp = -2nuv^2 d\varphi \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right);$$

semiaxis transversus  $r$ , ob  $\frac{dr}{rr} = 2ndS$ , augmentum capit  $dr$ , ut sit

$$\frac{dr}{r} = \frac{-2nqrrv^3 dp \sin s}{pw^3} + 2nrruv d\varphi \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( \frac{qv}{p} \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \right);$$

pro variatione excentricitatis  $q$  habemus

$$\frac{-2q dq}{p} - \frac{(1-qq) dp}{pp} = -2ndS, \quad \text{seu} \quad \frac{2q dq}{p} = 2ndS + \frac{2n(1-qq) dp}{pp},$$

$$\frac{np^3 dp \sin s}{pw^3} + \frac{nuv^3 dp}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( (1+q \cos s) \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - (2 \cos s + q + q \cos^2 s) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \right);$$

angulus autem elementaris  $d\varphi$  tempusculo  $dt$  descriptus omitta particula infinite parva, ita

$$d\varphi = \frac{dt}{vv} \sqrt{2gp(L+M)},$$

tempusculo  $dt$  valor notabilis tribuatur, quantitibus  $p$  et  $v$  valor medius inter eos, quos in fine obtinent, assignari poterit;

denique cum sit  $\varphi - s = \alpha$ , variatio momentanea ipsius  $\alpha$  erit

$$d\alpha = \frac{nv^3 dp}{q} \left( \frac{v \cos s}{w^3} - u \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + \frac{(2+q \cos s) \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta)}{1+q \cos s} \right) \right),$$

etiam

$$\frac{np^3 dp}{q} \left( \frac{\cos s}{w^3} - \frac{u}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( (1+q \cos s) \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + (2+q \cos s) \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \right) \right).$$

Possit hinc etiam variatio in distantia  $v$  facta definir, sed cum semper sit  $v = \frac{p}{1+q \cos s}$ , praestat quovis tempore ipsam distantiam  $v$  definir. Omnes ergo perturbationes momentanae tempusculo  $dt$  productae ita determinabuntur:

1. Angulus elementaris interea confectus  $d\varphi$  fit

$$d\varphi = \frac{dt}{vv} \sqrt{2gp(L+M)}.$$

2. Semiparameter orbitae  $p$  accipiet augmentum  $dp$ , ut sit

$$dp = -2nuv^3 d\varphi \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta).$$

3. Semiaxis transversus orbitae  $r = \frac{p}{1-qq}$  accipiet augmentum  $dr$ , ut sit

$$dr = \frac{2nrrv^3 dp}{p} \left( \frac{qv \sin s}{w^3} + u \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( q \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - (1+q \cos s) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \right) \right),$$

$$\text{siue} \quad dr = \frac{-2nrrv^3 dp}{p} \left( \frac{qv \sin s}{w^3} + u \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) + q \sin(\varphi - \vartheta - s) \right) \right).$$

4. Excentricitas  $q$  incrementum  $dq$  capiet, ut sit

$$dq = n\varphi^3 d\varphi \left( \frac{-\sin s}{w^3} + \frac{u}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( (1+q \cos s) \sin s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - (2 \cos s + q + q \cos^2 s) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \right) \right)$$

5. Longitudo absidis  $\alpha$  capiet augmentum  $d\alpha$ , ut sit

$$d\alpha = \frac{n\varphi^3 d\varphi}{q} \left( \frac{\cos s}{w^3} - \frac{u}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( (1+q \cos s) \cos s \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) + (2 + q \cos s) \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \right) \right)$$

Ex binis postremis formulis colligur fore

$$dq \cos s + q d\alpha \sin s = -2n\varphi v d\varphi \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) = \frac{dp}{v} \quad \text{et}$$

$$dq \sin s - q d\alpha \cos s = n\varphi^3 d\varphi \left( -\frac{1}{w^3} + \frac{u}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( (1+q \cos s) \cos(\varphi - \vartheta) - q \sin s \sin(\varphi - \vartheta) \right) \right)$$

quarum illa ex differentiatione aequalitatis  $\frac{1}{v} = \frac{1+q \cos s}{p}$  sequitur, ob  $\frac{dv}{v} = \frac{q d\varphi \sin s}{p}$  et  $d\varphi - d\vartheta = \frac{dp}{v}$  fit enim  $dq \cos s + q d\alpha \sin s = \frac{dp}{v}$ .

199. **Coroll. 1.** Ob actionem ergo corporis  $N$  singulis momentis elementa sectionis constantia immutantur, ac si id subito annihilaretur, corpus  $M$  secundum ea elementa, quae ultimo momento locum habuerint, moveri perget motu regulari.

200. **Coroll. 2.** Parameter nullam patitur mutationem, si fuerit vel  $\sin(\varphi - \vartheta) = 0$ , vel  $\varphi = u$ . Illo casu corpus  $N$  cum corporibus  $L$  et  $M$  in directum est situm, ideoque ex  $L$  cum  $M$  vel in oppositione vel conjunctione conspicitur; hic vero casus locum habet, ubi fuerit  $\cos(\varphi - \vartheta) = 1$ .

201. **Coroll. 3.** Si fuerit  $\varphi - \vartheta = 0$  et  $u > v$ , erit  $\varphi = u - v$ , et perturbationes momentaneae praeter  $dp = 0$  inveniuntur:

$$dr = 2nr\varphi v d\varphi \cdot \frac{q \sin s}{p} \left( \frac{1}{wv} - \frac{1}{uv} \right), \quad dq = n\varphi v d\varphi \sin s \left( \frac{1}{wv} - \frac{1}{uv} \right), \quad d\alpha = \frac{-n\varphi v d\varphi \cos s}{q} \left( \frac{1}{wv} - \frac{1}{uv} \right)$$

202. **Coroll. 4.** Eodem porro casu, quo  $\varphi - \vartheta = 0$ , si sit  $u < v$ , ac propterea  $\varphi = v - u$  erunt perturbationes momentaneae:

$$dr = -2nr\varphi v d\varphi \cdot \frac{q \sin s}{p} \left( \frac{1}{wv} + \frac{1}{uv} \right), \quad dq = -n\varphi v d\varphi \sin s \left( \frac{1}{wv} + \frac{1}{uv} \right), \quad d\alpha = \frac{n\varphi v d\varphi \cos s}{q} \left( \frac{1}{wv} + \frac{1}{uv} \right)$$

203. **Coroll. 5.** Sin autem sit  $\varphi - \vartheta = 180^\circ$ , erit  $\cos(\varphi - \vartheta) = -1$  et  $\varphi = v + u$ , unde praeter  $dp = 0$  reliquae perturbationes erunt

$$dr = 2nr\varphi v d\varphi \cdot \frac{q \sin s}{p} \left( -\frac{1}{wv} + \frac{1}{uv} \right), \quad dq = n\varphi v d\varphi \sin s \left( -\frac{1}{wv} + \frac{1}{uv} \right), \quad d\alpha = \frac{-n\varphi v d\varphi \cos s}{q} \left( -\frac{1}{wv} + \frac{1}{uv} \right)$$

204. **Coroll. 6.** Casu vero, quo fit  $\varphi = u$ , ubi etiam  $dp = 0$ , reliquae perturbationes momentaneae sunt:

$$\left( dr = \frac{-2nqrrv^3 d\varphi \sin s}{pu^3}, \quad dq = \frac{-n\varphi^3 d\varphi \sin s}{u^3}, \quad d\alpha = \frac{n\varphi^3 d\varphi \cos s}{qu^3} \right)$$

205. **Scholion 1.** Quando ergo motus corporis perturbantis  $N$  constat, ut ad singula tempora momenta ejus locus assignari possit, tum ope nostrarum formularum perturbationes singulis momentis productae assignari poterunt. Haec autem temporis momenta, etsi in calculo infinite parva sunt assumpta, tamen plerumque satis notabilia temporis intervalla, veluti horae, dies, quin etiam hebdomadae eorum loco assumi licet, siquidem his intervallis exiguae mutationes oriuntur, vel potius minutae mutationes temporis fuerint proxime proportionales. Quatenus enim eae a ratione temporis procedunt, eatenus tempus in minores partes secari oportet. Ita hae formulae commode adhiberi poterunt, si quaestio fuerit, quantum motus cujuscumque planetae principalis ab actione alius planetae vel cometae perturbetur, siquidem utriusque motus in idem fere planum incidat. Ex eodem fonte celeberrimus Clairaut perturbationem motus cometae jam apparituri, qui retro annis 1682 et 1607 fuerat observatus, feliciter determinavit, quod negotium etsi summopore laboriosum, eo feliciter successit, quod perturbatio tantum, quoad in vicinia planetarum Jovis ac Saturni versabatur cometa, fuerat effecta.

206. **Scholion 2.** Expressiones inventae in alias formas transfundi possunt introducendo angulos trianguli  $LMN$ . Si enim ponamus hos angulos  $MLN = \varphi - \vartheta = z$ ,  $LMN = y$  et  $LNM = x$ , et sit  $x + y + z = 180^\circ$ , erit  $u = \frac{v \sin y}{\sin x}$  et  $\varpi = \frac{v \sin z}{\sin x}$ , quibus valoribus introductis ob

$$d\varphi = \frac{dt}{\nu v} \sqrt{2gp(L+M)} \quad \text{et} \quad \varphi = \frac{p}{1+q \cos s},$$

reperuntur variationes tempusculo  $dt$  productae:

1. pro variatione semiparametri  $p$ ,

$$dp = \frac{-2\nu v dp \sin^2 x}{\sin^2 y \sin^2 z} (\sin^3 y - \sin^3 z);$$

2. pro variatione semiaxis transversae  $r$ ,

$$dr = \frac{-2nr r dp \sin^2 x}{p \sin^2 y \sin^2 z} ((1+q \cos s) (\sin^3 y - \sin^3 z) + q \sin s (\sin^2 y \cos y + \sin^2 z \cos z));$$

et hanc hinc modo

$$dr = \frac{-2nr r dp \sin^2 x}{p \sin^2 y \sin^2 z} (\sin^3 y - \sin^3 z + q \sin^2 y \sin(y+s) - q \sin^2 z \sin(z-s));$$

3. pro variatione excentricitatis  $q$ ,

$$dq = \frac{-ndp \sin^2 x}{\sin^2 y \sin^2 z} \left( \sin s (\sin^2 y \cos y + \sin^2 z \cos z) + \frac{(2 \cos s + q + q \cos^2 s)}{1+q \cos s} (\sin^3 y - \sin^3 z) \right);$$

4. pro variatione longitudinis absidum  $\alpha$ ,

$$d\alpha = \frac{ndp \sin^2 x}{q \sin^2 y \sin^2 z} \left( \cos s (\sin^2 y \cos y + \sin^2 z \cos z) - \frac{\sin s (2+q \cos s) (\sin^3 y - \sin^3 z)}{1+q \cos s} \right).$$

Cum hae formulae non parum sint complicatae, quovis casu oblato non tam facile dici potest, utrum variationes fuerint positivae, an negativae? antequam veros earum valores evolverimus. Interim ex istis formulis variationes casu  $\varphi - \vartheta = z = 0$  colligere haud licet, priores formae in praxi inferendae videntur.

207. **Scholion 3.** Effectus corporis  $N$  in motu corporis  $M$  perturbando est ceteris rebus maximus, si vel distantia  $MN = \omega$ , vel  $LN = u$  fuerit minima, hoc est si corpus  $N$  vel ad  $M$  ad  $L$  proxime accedat; priori autem casu effectus major erit quam posteriori, quoniam  $\omega$  tantum denominatore nostrarum formularum inest,  $u$  vero etiam numeratores afficit. Quodsi igitur  $M$  sol;  $M$  planeta quidam primarius et  $N$  cometa in plano orbitae planetae decurrens, motus qui planetae maxime turbabitur, quando cometa ad eum proxime accedit; verum etiam dum cometa prope solem praeterit, perturbatio erit eo major, quo vicinior fiat soli et quo major fuerit cometae massa. Ita cometae non solum in perigaeo motum terrae perturbant, sed etiam in perihelio. Ceterum si fieri posset, ut alterutra distantiarum  $\omega$  et  $u$  prorsus in nihilum abiret, formulae nostrae omnino destituerentur; quandoquidem perturbationes fuerint infinitae. Casus hic locum esset habiturus corpus  $N$  subito alteri corporum  $L$  vel  $M$  ita jungeretur, ut in unum coalesceret, qui etsi per formulas nostras inexplicabilis videtur, tamen in se est facillimus, propterea quod dum duo corpora aderunt corpora, motus erit regularis, in sectione conica procedens, quanquam haec sectio conica diversa erit ab illa, quae ante accessionem massae  $N$  fuerit descripta. Atque hic casus, etsi non per miraculum locum habere potest, dum massa alterius corporum  $L$  vel  $M$  augetur, exprimeretur.

208. **Problema.** Si dum corpora  $L$  et  $M$  se mutuo attrahentia motu regulari feruntur, alterius vel utriusque massa subito augeatur vel minuatur, definire motum subsequendum.

**Solutio.** Hactenus ergo corpus  $M$  ex  $L$  visum descriperit sectionem conicam  $BM$ , cuius semiparameter sit  $= p$ , excentricitas  $= q$  et longitudo absidis  $ALB = \alpha$ ; nunc autem sit corpus  $M$  longitudo  $ALM = \varphi$  et distantia  $LM = \rho$ , erit anomalia vera  $BLM = \varphi - \alpha = s$  et  $\rho = \frac{p}{1 + q \cos s}$ . tum vero expositis horum corporum massis per litteras  $L$  et  $M$ , tempusculo  $dt$  describeretur angulus elementaris  $MLm = d\varphi = ds = \frac{dt}{v} \sqrt{2gp(L+M)}$ . Jam hoc momento perpendatur corpus  $M$  situ ac motus; situs quidem cum distantia  $LM = \rho$ , tum angulo  $ALM = \varphi$  definitur, ac motus per directionem seu angulo  $BML$ , tum vero celeritate ipsa per  $Mm$  determinatur. Sit ergo angulus  $BML = \eta$  et celeritas in  $M = s$ , ita ut jam hae quatuor quantitates  $\rho$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\eta$  et  $s$  tanquam datae sint spectandae, ex quibus praecedentia motus elementa definiri debent, ac primo quidem dum corporum massae sunt  $L$  et  $M$ , deinde vero dum massae sunt mutatae, puta  $L'$  et  $M'$ . Primo igitur habemus

$$\text{tang } \eta = \frac{\rho d\varphi}{d\rho}, \quad \text{sed ob } \rho = \frac{p}{1 + q \cos s} \quad \text{est } d\rho = \frac{pq ds \sin s}{(1 + q \cos s)^2}$$

quia ergo est  $ds = d\varphi$ , erit

$$\text{tang } \eta = \frac{\rho (1 + q \cos s)^2}{pq \sin s} = \frac{1 + q \cos s}{q \sin s}$$

Deinde hinc est  $Mm = \frac{\rho d\varphi}{\sin \eta} = \frac{\rho d\varphi}{1 + q \cos s} \sqrt{1 + 2q \cos s + qq}$ , ideoque celeritas

$$s = \frac{Mm}{dt} = \frac{Mm}{\rho d\varphi} \sqrt{2gp(L+M)} = \frac{\sqrt{2gp(L+M)}(1 + 2q \cos s + qq)}{\rho(1 + q \cos s)}, \quad \text{seu } \frac{\sqrt{2gp(L+M)}}{\rho \sin \eta}$$



colligitur  $p = \frac{uvv \sin^2 \eta}{2g(L+M)}$ , hincque  $1 + q \cos s = \frac{p}{v} = \frac{uvv \sin^2 \eta}{2g(L+M)} = q \sin s \operatorname{tang} \eta$ . Quocirca erit

$$q \cos s = \frac{uvv \sin^2 \eta}{2g(L+M)} - 1 \quad \text{et} \quad q \sin s = \frac{uvv \sin \eta \cos \eta}{2g(L+M)},$$

pro anomalia vera colligitur  $\operatorname{tang} s = \frac{uvv \sin \eta \cos \eta}{uvv \sin^2 \eta - 2g(L+M)}$ , hincque ipsa excentricitas

$$q = \frac{\sqrt{(uv^4 \sin^2 \eta - 4g(L+M)uvv \sin^2 \eta + 4gg(L+M)^2)}}{2g(L+M)}.$$

si nunc massae corporum  $L$  et  $M$  subito in  $L'$  et  $M'$  fuerint mutatae, his illarum loco positae formulae ostendent elementa orbitae deinceps descriptae, quae elementa sint: 1) semiparameter  $p'$ , 2) excentricitas  $= q'$  et 3) longitudo absidis imae  $= \alpha'$ , ita ut posita 4<sup>o</sup> anomalia vera  $= s'$ ,  $p = p' - s'$ . Nunc ergo iterum ex statu praecedente elidantur litterae  $s$  et  $\eta$ , scilicet

$$\frac{\sqrt{2gp(L+M)(1+2q \cos s + qq)}}{p}, \quad \sin \eta = \frac{1+q \cos s}{\sqrt{(1+2q \cos s + qq)}}, \quad \cos \eta = \frac{q \sin s}{\sqrt{(1+2q \cos s + qq)}}$$

ergo pro elementis variatis

$$p' = \frac{p(L+M)}{L'+M'}, \quad 1+q' \cos s' = \frac{p(L+M)}{p'(L'+M')}, \quad q' \sin s' = \frac{(L+M)q \sin s}{L'+M'}$$

$\frac{dp}{p} = ds' = \frac{dt}{v} \sqrt{2gp'(L'+M')}$ . Nova ergo elementa ita pendent a praecedentibus, ut sit

$$\frac{L+M}{L'+M'} = \frac{p'}{p} = \frac{1+q' \cos s'}{1+q \cos s} = \frac{q' \sin s'}{q \sin s},$$

ideoque quantitates  $p$ ,  $1+q \cos s$  et  $q \sin s$  in ratione reciproca massarum immutentur.

209. **Coroll. 1.** Si ergo massae  $L$  et  $M$  in  $L'$  et  $M'$  mutantur, dum corpus  $M$  in abside ima versatur, ob  $s=0$ , erit etiam  $s'=0$ , sicque linea absidum nullam patitur mutationem, tum vero erit

$$\frac{1+q'}{1+q} = \frac{L+M}{L'+M'}, \quad \text{ideoque} \quad q' = \frac{L+M}{L'+M'} q + \frac{L+M}{L'+M'} - 1, \quad \text{seu} \quad q' = \frac{p'}{p} q + \frac{p'-p}{p},$$

excentricitas vel crescit vel decrescit, semper autem parameter  $2p$  in ratione reciproca massarum mutatur.

210. **Coroll. 2.** Si mutatio massarum eveniat, dum corpus  $M$  per absidem summam transit, ita  $p$  abeat in  $p'$ , ob  $s=180^\circ$  et  $s'=180^\circ$ , linea absidum non mutatur, sed excentricitas ita mutatur ut sit

$$\frac{p'}{p} = \frac{1+q'}{1+q}, \quad \text{ideoque} \quad q' = \frac{p'}{p} q + \frac{p-p'}{p}.$$

211. **Coroll. 3.** Si eadem mutatio oriatur dum  $s=90^\circ$ , erit

$$\frac{p'}{p} = \frac{1+q' \cos s'}{1+q \cos s} = \frac{q' \sin s'}{q \sin s},$$

si  $p' = 2p$ , habebitur

$q' \sin s' = \lambda q$  et  $q' \cos s' = \lambda - 1$ , ideoque  $q' = \sqrt{(\lambda q)^2 + (\lambda - 1)^2}$  et  $\tan s' = \frac{\lambda q}{\lambda - 1}$

Si mutatio eveniat dum  $s = 270^\circ$ , erit

$q' \sin s' = -\lambda q$  et  $q' \cos s' = \lambda - 1$ , ideoque  $q' = \sqrt{(\lambda q)^2 + (\lambda - 1)^2}$  et  $\tan s' = -\frac{\lambda q}{\lambda - 1}$

212. **Coroll. 4.** Posito ergo  $p = \lambda p'$ , casu  $s = 0$ , erit

$$q' = \lambda q + \lambda - 1 \text{ et semiaxis transversus } r' = \frac{p}{2(q+1) - \lambda(q+1)^2} = \frac{r(1-q)}{2 - \lambda(1+q)}$$

Casu  $s = 180^\circ$ , ubi  $q' = \lambda q - \lambda + 1$  fit  $r' = \frac{p}{2(1-q) - \lambda(1-q)^2} = \frac{r(1+q)}{2 - \lambda(1-q)}$

Casu  $s = 90^\circ$ , ubi  $q' = \sqrt{(\lambda q)^2 + (\lambda - 1)^2}$  fit

$$r' = \frac{p}{2 - \lambda(1+q)} = \frac{r(1-qq)}{2 - \lambda(1+qq)}$$

Casu  $s = 270^\circ$  eadem mutatio in axe transverso oritur.

213. **Coroll. 5.** Si tempus periodicum prius ante mutationem sit  $\Theta$ , et post mutationem  $= \Theta'$ , ubi  $\Theta = \frac{2\pi r \sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{2g(L+M)}}$  et  $\Theta' = \frac{2\pi r' \sqrt{r'}}{\sqrt{2g(L'+M')}}$ , erit

$$\frac{\Theta'}{\Theta} = \frac{r' \sqrt{r'} \sqrt{L+M}}{r \sqrt{r} \sqrt{L'+M'}} = \frac{r' \sqrt{\lambda r'}}{r \sqrt{r}}$$

unde ex variatione axis transversi variatio in tempore periodico orta defini potest.

214. **Scholion 1.** Si secundum opinionem, quam Newtonus erat amplexus, massa solis ob lucis emissionem continuo imminueretur, hinc mutatio in motu planetarum facta defini potest. Foret enim  $L + M$  quantitas variabilis, qua posita  $= S$ , erit

$$d\varphi = \frac{dt}{\nu\nu} \sqrt{2gpS} \text{ et } \frac{S}{S+dS} = \frac{p+dp}{p} = \frac{1+q \cos s + d \cdot q \cos s}{1+q \cos s} = \frac{q \sin s + d \cdot q \sin s}{q \sin s} = 1 + \frac{ds}{S}$$

In hac autem variatione anomalia vera  $s$  eatenus tantum mutari est censenda, quatenus linea absidum mutatur; unde posita longitudine absidis imae  $\varphi = s = \alpha$ , erit  $ds = d\alpha$ . Ne autem haec consideratio moram facessat, praestabit hunc casum ex primis principiis evolvisse. Habemus ergo

$$\text{I. } 2d\nu d\varphi + \nu dd\varphi = 0 \text{ et II. } d\nu\nu - \nu d\varphi^2 = \frac{-2gSdt^2}{\nu\nu}$$

quarum illa dat  $\nu\nu d\varphi = Cdt$ , seu  $d\varphi = \frac{Cdt}{\nu\nu}$ , unde haec fiet

$$d\nu\nu = \frac{CCdt^2}{\nu^3} - \frac{2gSdt^2}{\nu\nu}$$

ubi  $S$  spectari debet tanquam functio temporis  $t$ . Quae aequatio quantumvis resolutu difficilis

lumen solutio ex formulis superioribus petita ipsi satisfacere deprehenditur. Posito enim

$$v = \frac{p}{1 + q \cos s}, \quad \text{fit primo } p = \frac{bC}{S}, \quad \text{tum vero}$$

$$dq \cos s + q d\alpha \sin s = -\frac{dS}{S}(1 + q \cos s) \quad \text{et} \quad dq \sin s - q d\alpha \cos s = -\frac{dS}{S} q \sin s,$$

$$d\alpha = \frac{-dS \sin s}{Sq} \quad \text{et} \quad dq = \frac{-dS}{S}(\cos s + q), \quad \text{hincque porro}$$

$$dv = \frac{q dt \sin s}{p} \sqrt{2gbC}.$$

Denique ob  $d\varphi = \frac{dt}{\nu\nu} \sqrt{2gbC}$  fiet

$$ds = \frac{dt \sqrt{2gbC}}{\nu\nu} + \frac{dS \sin s}{Sq},$$

unde saltem variationes momentaneae innotescunt.

215. **Scholion 2.** Solutio hujus problematis suppeditat quoque enodationem quaestionis, qua motus planetae, si forte a quapiam causa ictum acceperit, quem deinceps erit prosecuturus, determinatur. Quemcunque enim motum ante ictum habuerit, si per ictum planetae  $M$  imprimatur celeritas  $\frac{uv}{\nu\nu}$  secundum directionem  $Mm$ , ut sit angulus  $LMB = \eta$  et distantia  $LM = v = \frac{p}{1 + q \cos s}$ , post ictum erit semiparameter  $p = \frac{uv \sin^2 \eta}{2g(L+M)}$ , excentricitas vero  $q$  et anomalia vera  $s$  per has aequationes definiuntur

$$q \cos s = \frac{uv \sin^2 \eta}{2g(L+M)} - 1 \quad \text{et} \quad q \sin s = \frac{uv \sin \eta \cos \eta}{2g(L+M)},$$

tum vero erit post ictum  $d\varphi = ds = \frac{dt}{\nu\nu} \sqrt{2gp(L+M)}$ , unde sectio conica cum ratione motus innotescit. Verum revertamur ad perturbationem motus planetarum investigandam, quae ab attractione tertii cujusdam corporis efficitur, quando hoc corpus extra planum orbitae est situm. Quanquam autem istud corpus quovis momento tanquam quiescens spectamus, ejus tamen loca successiva in plano quodam per  $L$  transeunte sita assumamus, quod planum tanquam fixum consideremus, cujus respectu planum orbitae planetae ob actionem continuo mutetur.

216. **Problema.** (Fig. 189.) Si corpus  $M$ , quod ad  $L$  attractum motu regulari esset progressurum, a tertio quodam corpore  $N$  extra planum motus sito attrahatur, determinare perturbationem motus momentaneam.

**Solutio.** Referat tabula planum, in quo corpus  $N$  perpetuo versetur, in eodem simul perpetuo existente corpore  $L$ , cujus respectu motum corporis  $M$  definiri oportet. Sit  $LA$  recta quaedam fixa, in eodem quidem elapso tempore  $= t$  versetur corpus perturbans in  $N$ , posito angulo  $ALN = \vartheta$  et distantia  $LN = u$ ; corpus vero, cujus motus quaeritur, sit extra planum  $ALN$  in  $M$ , unde si corpus abesset, motu regulari in orbita quadam  $BM$  esset progressurum, cujus elementa sequenti modo innotentur. Primo sit  $L\Omega$  intersectio ejus orbitae cum plano  $ALN$ , et longitudo nodi ascendentis

$AL\Omega = \psi$ , atque inclinatio orbitae ad planum  $ALN = \omega$ . Deinde ipsius orbitae  $BM$  sit semiparametri  $= p$ , excentricitas  $= q$  et semiaxis transversus  $r = \frac{p}{1 - qq}$ . Nunc autem sit anomalia vera  $BLM = \varphi$ , eritque distantia  $LM = \rho = \frac{p}{1 + q \cos s}$ . Ponatur porro angulus  $\Omega LM = \sigma$ , qui vocatur argumentum latitudinis, erit pro abside ima  $B$  angulus  $\Omega LB = \sigma - s$ , ac posita longitudine corporis  $MLN = \lambda$ , erit, uti supra § 192 vidimus,  $d\varphi = d\sigma + d\psi \cos \omega$ . Hinc denique quaerantur duo anguli  $\lambda$  et  $\mu$ , ut sit

$$\cos \sigma \cos(\vartheta - \psi) + \sin \sigma \cos \omega \sin(\vartheta - \psi) = \cos \lambda \quad \text{et} \quad \sin \sigma \cos(\vartheta - \psi) - \cos \sigma \cos \omega \sin(\vartheta - \psi) = \sin \mu$$

erit  $\lambda =$  angulo  $MLN$ , unde fiet distantia  $MN = \sqrt{(\rho\rho + uu - 2uv \cos \lambda)}$ , quae voeetur  $= \omega$ . Hinc in finem quaeratur angulus  $\nu$ , ut sit  $\tan \nu = \frac{\rho \sin \lambda}{u - \rho \cos \lambda}$ , eritque  $\omega = \frac{\rho \sin \lambda}{\sin \nu}$ . Quodsi nunc ponamus brevitatis gratia

$$\frac{N}{L+M} = n \quad \text{et} \quad uv^3 d\varphi \sin \mu \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) = dP,$$

$$\frac{qv^3 d\varphi \sin s}{pw^3} + uv d\varphi \left( \sin \mu - \frac{qv \cos \lambda \sin s}{p} \right) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) = dQ,$$

erit primo  $d\varphi = \frac{dt}{\nu} \sqrt{2gp(L+M)}$ , ac perturbationes ab actione corporis  $N$  tempusculo  $dt$  productae ex § 192 sequenti modo se habere reperiuntur:

$$\text{Primo pro variatione semiparametri } p \text{ est } dp = -2nuv^3 d\varphi \sin \mu \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right).$$

Deinde pro excentricitatis  $q$  variatione ob  $\frac{qq-1}{p} = \frac{kk-1}{r} = 2nQ$ , erit differentiando

$$\frac{2q dq}{p} + \frac{(1-qq) dp}{pp} = \frac{-2nqv^3 d\varphi \sin s}{pw^3} - 2nuv d\varphi \left( \sin \mu - \frac{qv \cos \lambda \sin s}{p} \right) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

$$\text{unde fit} \quad dq = \frac{-nv^3 d\varphi \sin s}{w^3} + npuv d\varphi \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( \frac{\cos \lambda \sin s}{1+q \cos s} - \frac{(2 \cos s + q + q \cos^2 s) \sin \mu}{(1+q \cos s)^2} \right),$$

quae reducitur ad hanc formam

$$dq = nv^3 d\varphi \left( \frac{-\sin s}{w^3} + \frac{u}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left[ (1+q \cos s) \cos \lambda \sin s - (2 \cos s + q + q \cos^2 s) \sin \mu \right] \right)$$

Hinc cum sit  $\frac{qq-1}{p} = -\frac{1}{r}$ , erit  $\frac{dr}{rr} = -2ndQ$ ; erit pro variatione semiaxis transversi  $r$

$$dr = \frac{-2nqrrv^3 d\varphi \sin s}{pw^3} - 2nrruv d\varphi \left( \sin \mu - \frac{qv \cos \lambda \sin s}{1+q \cos s} \right) \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

$$\text{seu} \quad dr = \frac{2nrrv d\varphi}{p} \left( \frac{-qv \sin s}{w^3} + u \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) (q \cos \lambda \sin s - (1+q \cos s) \sin \mu) \right).$$

Praeterea consecuti sumus.

$$ds = d\varphi - \frac{w^3 d\varphi \cos s}{qw^3} + \frac{nuv d\varphi}{q} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( \cos \lambda \cos s + \frac{(2+q \cos s) \sin \mu \sin s}{1+q \cos s} \right),$$

Si  $\varphi - s$  denotet longitudinem absidis imae  $B$  in orbita, si ea dicatur  $= \alpha$ , erit  $d\alpha = d\varphi - ds$ ,

$$d\alpha = \frac{w^3 d\varphi}{q} \left( \frac{\cos s}{w^3} - \frac{u}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right) \left( (1+q \cos s) \cos \lambda \cos s + (2+q \cos s) \sin \mu \sin s \right) \right).$$

Denique pro variatione orbitae respectu plani  $ALN$  invenimus primo pro longitudine nodi  $\Omega$

$$d\psi = \frac{-nuv^3 d\varphi \sin \sigma \sin (\vartheta - \psi)}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

deinde pro variatione inclinationis  $\omega$

$$d\omega = \frac{d\psi \sin \omega}{\text{tang } \sigma} = \frac{-nuv^3 d\varphi \cos \sigma \sin \omega \sin (\vartheta - \psi)}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

denique pro variatione anguli  $\Omega LM = \sigma$  habemus  $d\sigma = d\varphi - d\psi \cos \omega$ , ac proinde

$$d\sigma = d\varphi + \frac{nuv^3 d\varphi \sin \sigma \cos \omega \sin (\vartheta - \psi)}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right),$$

Si  $\varphi - \sigma$  designet longitudinem nodi  $\Omega$  in orbita, si ea dicatur  $= \beta$ , erit

$$d\beta = \frac{-nuv^3 d\varphi \sin \sigma \cos \omega \sin (\vartheta - \psi)}{p} \left( \frac{1}{w^3} - \frac{1}{u^3} \right).$$

Tandem vero ob  $v = \frac{p}{1+q \cos s}$ , erit  $d\varphi = \frac{qv d\varphi \sin s}{p}$ . Quare cum ex dato tempusculo  $dt$  habeatur

$$d\varphi = \frac{dt}{\nu v} \sqrt{2gp} (L + M),$$

omnes perturbationes momentaneae pro tempusculo  $dt$  obtinentur. Quod quo facilius ad calculum revocetur, fingamus corpus  $M$  circa  $L$  in distantia  $= c$  circulum describere, in eoque tempusculo  $dt$  angulum  $d\zeta$  absolvere, eritque

$$d\zeta = \frac{dt}{c\sqrt{c}} \sqrt{2g} (L + M).$$

Unde cum detur angulus  $d\zeta$  ex motu medio erit

$$dt \sqrt{2g} (L + M) = cd\zeta \sqrt{c}, \quad \text{ideoque} \quad d\varphi = \frac{cd\zeta \sqrt{cp}}{\nu v}.$$

**Coroll. 1.** Anguli  $\lambda$  et  $\mu$  ita per trigonometriam sphaericam exhiberi possunt. In sphaerica (Fig. 190) centro  $L$  descripta sint  $A, M, N, \Omega$  puncta, per quae rectae  $LA, LM$ , transeant, erit  $AN = \vartheta$ ,  $A\Omega = \psi$ ,  $\Omega N = \vartheta - \psi$ ,  $\Omega M = \sigma$  et  $M\Omega N = \omega$ , fietque si a  $\Omega$  continuato arcu  $M\Omega$  retro in  $O$ , ut  $OM$  sit quadrans, si ex  $O$  per  $N$  itidem ducatur arcus  $ONR$ , erit  $NR = \mu$ .

218. **Coroll. 2.** Ducto arcu  $MR$ , quia ad utrumque quadrantem est normalis, triangulum sphaericum  $\triangleq MN$ , in quo dantur latera  $\triangleq M = \sigma$ ,  $\triangleq N = \vartheta - \psi$  et angulus  $M \triangleq N$  inventoque latere  $MN$  cum angulo  $\triangleq MN$ , erit  $\lambda = MN$  et  $\sin \mu = \sin \lambda \cos \triangleq MN$ .

219. **Coroll. 3.** Loco tempusculi  $dt$  spatium non solum aliquot horarum sed etiam dierum capi potest, nisi positio corporis  $N$  ratione ipsius  $M$  citissime varietur. Tum ex motu pro hoc temporis spatio colligatur angulus  $d\zeta$ , indeque erit  $vd\varphi = cd\zeta \sqrt{cp}$ , quem valorem in singulis perturbationibus momentaneis substitui oportet.

220. **Scholion.** Ex his principiis perturbationes motus cujusque planetae principalis definirunt, quatenus ab actione alius planetae vel etiam cometae oriuntur; ad planetas autem secundarios, seu satellites, haec methodus minus commode accommodari potest, quandoquidem assumptum remoto corpore perturbante, motum futurum esse regularem; hinc itaque perturbationes lunae, quae forte ab actione cujusdam planetae vel cometae proficiscuntur, determinare nequeunt. Sin autem ipse sol ut corpus perturbans consideretur, sine cujus actione luna motum regularem esset habitura, inaequalitates motus lunae hinc concludere licebit, sed quia actio solis est perpetua collectio perturbationum momentanearum conclusionem nimis lubricam reddit. Maximum autem usum haec methodus praestabit, si actio cujuspiam cometae in motum planetae principalis, per viciniam cometa transit, investigari debeat: quoniam enim actio cometae non diutius manet sensibilis quam dum ejus distantia a planeta fuerit valde parva, omnino superfluum foret, totam actionem quam cometa per totum suum tempus periodicum exeret, exquirere velle, quem in finem integralium nostrarum formularum exhiberi opus esset. Sufficiet igitur per breve tempus effectum cometae in orbita cujuspiam planetae perturbanda cognovisse, id quod ope formularum differentialium difficulter praestabitur. Casus autem, quibus cometae ad planetas tam prope accedunt, ut perturbationem notabilem efficere queant, vehementer raro accidunt. Ac si cometa anni 1682 secundum praedictionem Cel. Clairaut hoc anno 1759 revertatur, phaenomena imprimis singularia in motu terrae ab ejus actione expectari possent, propterea quod in satis exigua a terra distantia praesentebatur. Operae ergo pretium erit, ope formularum traditarum in perturbationem motus terrae quae orbitae, ab actione hujus cometae oriundam, inquirere; ut deinceps, quando elementa motus istius cometae accuratius erunt definita, ad hoc exemplum plenior investigatio suscipi possit.

### Digressio

qua effectus Cometae A. 1759 expectati in motu terrae perturbando investigatur.

Primo quidem assumo hunc cometam secundum eadem elementa latum iri, quae per apparitionem A. 1682 sunt determinata. Etsi enim ob actionem Jovis et Saturni ejus tempus periodicum quasi biennium fuit retardatum, ob eandemque rationem ejus reliqua motus elementa hanc mutationes subisse probabile, tamen quia de eorum valore praesente nihil certi constat, Nantquam