# Math 43: Spring 2020 Lecture 20 Part II

Dana P. Williams

Dartmouth College

Wednesday May 13, 2020

## Laurent's Theorem

## Theorem (Laurent's Theorem)

Suppose that f is analytic in an annulus  $A = \{ z : 0 \le r < |z - z_0| < R \le \infty \}$  with r < R. Then there are complex numbers  $a_n$  and  $b_i$  such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_j}{(z - z_0)^j}$$
 for all  $z \in A$ . (‡)

Moreover, if C is any positively oriented simple closed contour in A with  $z_0$  in its interior, then

$$a_n = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C rac{f(w)}{(w-z_0)^{n+1}} \, dw$$
 and  $b_j = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C f(w) (w-z_0)^{j-1} \, dw.$ 

### Remark

- **1** We call  $(\ddagger)$  the Laurent series for f in A about  $z_0$ .
- ② The formulas for  $a_n$  and  $b_j$  are independent of our choice of C by the Deformation Invariance Theorem.
- Note that in general, we can't expect

$$a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!}$$

as the hypotheses of the Cauchy Integral Formula are not met. Even worse, f may not even be defined at  $z_0$  let alone analytic there.

• Since (‡) is a convergent Laurent series, its convergence is uniform in any subannulus

$$A' = \{ z : r < r' \le |z - z_0| \le R' < R \}.$$

• We will use this uniform convergence in the proof in the form

Dana P. Williams

#### Lemma

Suppose that  $f_n$  is continuous on set D and that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(z)$  converges uniformly to f on D and that  $\Gamma$  is a contour in D. Then f is continuous on D and

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma} f_n(z) dz.$$

### Proof.

We already know that f must be continuous. By assumption the partial sums  $F_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k(z)$  converge uniformly to f(z) for all  $z \in D$ . Therefore

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \lim_{n} \int_{\Gamma} F_{n}(z) dz = \lim_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} f_{k}(z) dz$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Gamma} f_{k}(z) dz.$$

## Sketch of the Proof

Since the proof is very similar to that of Taylor's Theorem, I am only going to sketch the details. If  $z \in A$ , then there are  $r < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < R$  such that  $\rho_1 < |z - z_0| < \rho_2$ . Then by Cauchy's Integral Formula for an annulus,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{\rho_2}} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{\rho_1}} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw.$$

Now just as in the proof of Taylor's Theorem, if  $w \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho_2}$ , then

$$\frac{f(w)}{w-z} = f(w)\frac{1}{w-z_0 - (z-z_0)} = \frac{f(w)}{w-z_0} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z-z_0}{w-z_0}}$$

which, since  $\left| \frac{z-z_0}{w-z_0} \right| < 1$  if  $w \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho_2}$ , is

$$= f(w) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z-z_0)^n}{(w-z_0)^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(w)(z-z_0)^n}{(w-z_0)^{n+1}}.$$

# Getting the Power Series Bit

Using this formula,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{\rho_2}} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} \, dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{\rho_2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(w)(z - z_0)^n}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}} \, dw$$

which, since our lemma applies, is

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{C_{\rho_2}} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z_0)^{n+1}} dw (z-z_0)^n$$

which, by our formula for the  $a_n$ , is

$$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n(z-z_0)^n$$

# On to $C_{\rho_1}$

Now if  $w \in C_{\rho_1}$ , we no longer have  $\left|\frac{z-z_0}{w-z_0}\right| < 1$ . In fact, now  $\left|\frac{z-z_0}{w-z_0}\right| > 1$ . So we work with the reciprocal. If  $w \in C_{\rho_1}$ , then

$$\frac{f(w)}{w-z} = -\frac{f(w)}{z-w} = -\frac{f(w)}{(z-z_0)-(w-z_0)} = -\frac{f(w)}{z-z_0} \frac{1}{1-\frac{w-z_0}{z-z_0}}$$

$$= -f(z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(w-z_0)^n}{(z-z_0)^{n+1}}$$
$$= -f(w) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(w-z_0)^{j-1}}{(z-z_0)^j}.$$

## **Finish**

Hence,

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{C_{\rho_1}}\frac{f(w)}{w-z}\,dw=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{C_{\rho_1}}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{f(w)(w-z_0)^{j-1}}{(z-z_0)^j}$$

which, by our lemma, is

$$=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{C_{\rho_1}}f(w)(w-z_0)^{j-1}\,dw\frac{1}{(z-z_0)^j}$$

which, given our formula for the  $b_i$ , is

$$=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_j}{(z-z_0)^j}$$

This completes our sketch of the proof.

# Uniqueness

#### Theorem

Suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_j}{(z - z_0)^j}$$
 (†)

converges in  $A = \{ z : 0 \le r < |z - z_0| < R \le \infty \}$  with r < R. Then f is analytic in A and  $(\dagger)$  is the Laurent series for f in A about  $z_0$ .

#### Proof.

The proof is similar to the one for power series. We will skip the details.

# **Examples**

We hardly ever use the formulas for the coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_j$  for a Laurent series.

### Example

Let  $f(z) = \frac{\sin(z)}{z^5}$ . Then f is analytic in the annulus  $A = \{z : 0 < |z|\}$ . Find the Laurent series.

#### Solution.

If  $z \neq 0$ , then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^5} \left( z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^4} - \frac{1}{3! \cdot z^2} + \frac{1}{5!} - \frac{z^2}{7!} + \cdots$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^4} - \frac{1}{6 \cdot z^2} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{z^{2r}}{(2r+5)!}.$$

By the previous theorem, this must be the Laurent series for f about 0.



## Another

### Example

Let  $g(z) = z^2 e^{\frac{1}{z}}$ . Find the Laurent series about 0.

### Solution.

$$g(z) = z^{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2! \cdot z^{2}} + \frac{1}{3! \cdot z^{3}} + \cdots \right)$$
$$= z^{2} + z + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6z} + \cdots$$
$$= z^{2} + z + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j+2)!z^{j}}.$$



# Enough

### Remark

We will use Laurent series down the road to investigate the sorts of singularities that analytic functions can have.

That is enough for today.