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## The Simple Pole Lemma

Our next result makes it easy to compute residues in certain cases. You should expect to use it regularly!

## Theorem (The Simple Pole Lemma)

Suppose that $h$ and $g$ are analytic at $z_{0}$. Suppose also that $h$ has a simple zero at $z_{0}$ while $g\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then

$$
f(z)=\frac{g(z)}{h(z)}
$$

has a simple pole at $z_{0}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(f ; z_{0}\right)=\frac{g\left(z_{0}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}
$$

## Proof.

Since $h$ has a simple zero at $z_{0}$, we have $h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Hence at least the right-hand side of $(\dagger)$ is well-defined. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(z-z_{0}\right) f(z) & =\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{\left(z-z_{0}\right) g(z)}{h(z)} \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{g(z)}{\frac{h(z)-h\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}}} \\
& =\frac{g\left(z_{0}\right)}{h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $g$ is continuous at $z_{0}$ and $h^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$.

## Example

## Example

Let $f(z)=\frac{z^{2}}{z^{4}+1}$. Let $w=e^{i \frac{\pi}{4}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Find $\operatorname{Res}(f ; w)$.

## Solution.

Notice that the Simple Pole Lemma applies! Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}(f ; z) & =\left.\frac{z^{2}}{4 z^{3}}\right|_{z=w} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 w}=\frac{\bar{w}}{4} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}-i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Non Simple Poles

## Example

Let $f(z)=\frac{e^{z}}{\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{2}}$. Compute $\operatorname{Res}(f ; i)$.
Notice that

$$
f(z)=\frac{\frac{e^{z}}{(z+i)^{2}}}{(z-i)^{2}}
$$

Hence $i$ is a pole of order 2 for $f$ ! This is because $g(z)=e^{z} /(z+i)^{2}$ is analytic and nonzero at $i$ ! But how can we computer the residue at poles of higher order when the Laurent series is hard (or even impossible) to compute?

## Back to our Old Tricks

Let's look at the general case where $f$ has a pole of order 2 at $z_{0}$. Then

$$
f(z)=\frac{b_{2}}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2}}+\frac{b_{1}}{z-z_{0}}+g(z)
$$

where $g$ is analytic at $z_{0}$. Then

$$
\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2} f(z)=b_{2}+b_{1}\left(z-z_{0}\right)+\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2} g(z)
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{d}{d z}\left[\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2} f(z)\right]=b_{1}+2\left(z-z_{0}\right) g(z)+\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2} g^{\prime}(z)
$$

Now we see that

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(f ; z_{0}\right)=b_{1}=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{d}{d z}\left[\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2} f(z)\right] .
$$

## Back to our Example

Recall that we started by asking for $\operatorname{Res}(f ; i)$ where $f(z)=\frac{e^{z}}{\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{2}}$. Based on the previous slide,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}(f ; i) & =\lim _{z \rightarrow i} \frac{d}{d z}\left[(z-i)^{2} \frac{e^{z}}{\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{2}}\right]=\lim _{z \rightarrow i} \frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{e^{z}}{(z+i)^{2}}\right] \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow i} \frac{e^{z}(z+i)^{2}-2(z+i) e^{z}}{(z+i)^{4}} \\
& =\lim _{z \rightarrow i} \frac{e^{z}(z+i)-2 e^{z}}{(z+i)^{3}} \\
& =\frac{e^{i}(2 i-2)}{-8 i}=\frac{e^{i}(i-1)}{-4 i}=e^{i}=-e^{i} \frac{1+i}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Just as with computing partial fraction decompositions, the authors of our text provide us with a handy-and easy to mess-up-general formula. While I feel honor bound to report its existence, it is generally safer to work it out if and when you need it.

## Lemma

If $f$ has a pole of order $m \geq 1$ at $z_{0}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Res}\left(f ; z_{0}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{d^{m-1}}{d z^{m-1}}\left[\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{m} f(z)\right]
$$

## The Cauchy Residue Theorem

## Theorem (Cauchy Residue Theorem)

Suppose that $f$ is analytic on and inside a positively oriented simple closed contour $\Gamma$ except for isolated singularities $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ inside of $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\int_{\Gamma} f(z) d z=2 \pi i \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Res}\left(f ; z_{k}\right)
$$

## Proof



## Sketch of the Proof.

Let $D$ be the interior of $\Gamma$. We assume that we can continuously deform $\Gamma$ in $D \backslash\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$ to the union of $n$ postively oriented circles $C_{k}$ centered at the singularities $z_{k}$ together with canceling line segments. Then by the Deformation Invariance Theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma} f(z) d z & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{C_{k}} f(z) d z \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n} 2 \pi i \operatorname{Res}\left(f ; z_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

## Example

Evaluate $I=\int_{|z|=2} \frac{e^{z}}{z^{2}+1} d z$.

## Solution.

As always, without any indication to the contrary, we are supposed to assume that $|z|=2$ is positively oriented. Then by the Cauchy Residue Theorem,

$$
I=2 \pi i(\operatorname{Res}(f ; i)+\operatorname{Res}(f ;-i))=2 \pi i(\operatorname{Res}(i)+\operatorname{Res}(-i))
$$

By the Simple Pole Lemma, $\operatorname{Res}(i)=\frac{e^{i}}{2 i}$ and $\operatorname{Res}(-i)=\frac{e^{-i}}{-2 i}$. Hence

$$
I=2 \pi i\left(\frac{e^{i}-e^{-i}}{2 i}\right)=2 \pi i \cdot \sin (1)
$$

## Break Time

## Remark

Well, if you like computing contour integrals, that last computation was pretty neat! Now I have to convince you that there is a good reason to compute a contour integral-other than doing well on Math 43 exams.

But we'll deal with that in the coming week or so. Now we should stand down for today.

