

ERRATA:
A CANONICAL FORM FOR POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES

MATHIEU DUTOUR SIKIRIĆ, ANNA HAENSCH, JOHN VOIGHT,
AND WESSEL P.J. VAN WOERDEN

This note gives errata for the article *A canonical form for positive definite matrices* [1].

1. ERRATA

- (1) In (2.2.6), the characteristic vector set of L_2 (having Gram matrix A_2) should be lifted to L using closest vectors with respect to L_1 instead. This is what was implemented in the code.

More precisely, Then (2.2.6) should read

$$(2.2.6) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{cv}}(A) := B_1 \mathcal{V}_{\text{wr-cv}}(A_1) \cup \bigcup_{v \in P_2 \mathcal{V}_{\text{cv}}(A_2)} (v - B_1 \text{CV}(A_1, B_1^{-1}(v - \text{proj}(v))))$$

where $B_1^{-1} = (B_1^T B_1)^{-1} B_1^T$ is the pseudo-inverse, a right inverse to B_1 . This is the union of the well-rounded characteristic vector set for L_1 together with all vectors in the cosets $u_i + L_1$ with minimal distance to L_1 , so is well-defined independent of the choice of lifts u_i .

To prove that this is a characteristic vector set, the argument in Theorem 2.2.7(b) should be replaced with the following.

We now prove (b), checking the conditions (i) and (ii). For part (i), by construction $B_1 \mathcal{V}_{\text{wr-cv}}(A_1)$ spans $L_1 = \ker \text{proj}|_L$ and by induction we have that $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cv}}(A_2)$ spans L_2 so that $\text{span}(\mathcal{V}_{\text{cv}}(A_2)) \subseteq L$ projects onto L_2 via proj , so together they span L . Next we show that (ii) holds. First, the lattice L_1 spanned by minimal vectors is well-defined, independent of $U \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\mathcal{V}_{\text{wr-cv}}(A_1)$ is a characteristic vector set. Hence too the projection L_2 is independent of U ; by induction on the dimension, we know that $\mathcal{V}_{\text{cv}}(A_2)$ is a characteristic vector set, and for each vector, the set of minimal vectors in each coset satisfies the necessary transformation property as in the case of $\mathcal{V}_{\text{wr-cv}}$. So altogether, these form a characteristic vector set.

We also write this out in terms of (convenient) bases. Running the algorithm for A and $A' = U^T A U$ with $U \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we may suppose that $v'_i = U^{-1} v_i$ and $w'_i = U^{-1} w_i$ by using the transformation property of $\text{Min}(A)$. Then $A'_i = A_i$ and $B'_i = U^{-1} B_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and so we may further suppose that $u'_i = U^{-1} u_i$ so $P'_2 = U^{-1} P_2$. We conclude by noting that CV also has the compatible transformation property: for all $v' \in P'_2 \mathcal{V}_{\text{cv}}(A'_2)$, we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
v' - B'_1 \text{CV}(A'_1, (B'_1)^{-1}(v' - \text{proj}'(v'))) \\
&= U^{-1}v - U^{-1}B_1 \text{CV}(A_1, B_1^{-1}U(U^{-1}v - U^{-1}\text{proj}(v))) \\
&= U^{-1}v - U^{-1}B_1 \text{CV}(A_1, B_1^{-1}(v - \text{proj}(v))).
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Mathieu Dutour Sikirić, Anna Haensch, John Voight, and Wessel P.J. van Woerden, *A canonical form for positive definite matrices*, Proceedings of the Fourteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium (ANTS-XIV), ed. Steven Galbraith, Open Book Series 4, Mathematical Sciences Publishers, Berkeley, 2020, 179–195.

MATHIEU DUTOUR SIKIRIĆ, RUDJER BOSKOVIĆ INSTITUTE, BIJENICKA 54, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA

Email address: `mathieu.dutour@gmail.com`

ANNA HAENSCH, DUQUESNE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA, USA

Email address: `annaHaensch@gmail.com`

JOHN VOIGHT, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, 6188 KEMENY HALL, HANOVER, NH 03755, USA

Email address: `jvoight@gmail.com`

WESSEL VAN WOERDEN, CENTRUM WISKUNDE & INFORMATICA, SCIENCE PARK 123, 1098 XG AMSTERDAM, NETHERLANDS

Email address: `www@cwi.nl`