

Exercise 3: Some things about NIBS forms.

- (1) Prove that the Killing form is an invariant symmetric bilinear form on any simple finite dimensional complex Lie algebra.

The Killing form $\langle, \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y)$. It's symmetric since $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$. It's linear in the first coordinate because ad and trace are both linear, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ax + by, z \rangle &= \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_{ax+by} \text{ad}_z) = \text{Tr}((a\text{ad}_x + b\text{ad}_y) \text{ad}_z) = \text{Tr}(a\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_z + b\text{ad}_y \text{ad}_z) \\ &= a\text{Tr}(\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_z) + b(\text{Tr}(\text{ad}_y \text{ad}_z)) = a\langle x, z \rangle + b\langle y, z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

But \langle, \rangle is symmetric, so it's bilinear. Since $\text{Tr}(ABC) = \text{Tr}(BCA) = \text{Tr}(CAB)$, it's invariant because

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{ad}_x(y), z \rangle &= \langle [x, y], z \rangle = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_{[x,y]} \text{ad}_z) = \text{Tr}((\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y - \text{ad}_y \text{ad}_x) \text{ad}_z) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y \text{ad}_z) - \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_y \text{ad}_x \text{ad}_z) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_y \text{ad}_z \text{ad}_x) - \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_y \text{ad}_x \text{ad}_z) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_y (\text{ad}_z \text{ad}_x - \text{ad}_x \text{ad}_z)) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_y \text{ad}_{[z,x]}) \\ &= \langle y, [z, x] \rangle = -\langle y, \text{ad}_x(z) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Show that the trace form on the standard representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n is non-degenerate.

We just need to check that for every element of the basis $B = \{E_{i,j}, E_{\ell,\ell} - E_{\ell+1,\ell+1} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, \ell = 1, \dots, n-1\}$ has some other element of \mathfrak{sl}_n with which it pairs non-trivially. Indeed,

$$\langle E_{i,j}, E_{j,i} \rangle = \text{Tr}(E_{i,j} E_{j,i}) = \text{Tr}(E_{i,i}) = 1$$

and

$$\langle E_{\ell,\ell} - E_{\ell+1,\ell+1}, E_{\ell,\ell} - E_{\ell+1,\ell+1} \rangle = \text{Tr}((E_{\ell,\ell} - E_{\ell+1,\ell+1})^2) = \text{Tr}(E_{\ell,\ell} + E_{\ell+1,\ell+1}) = 2.$$

- (3) Pick two of the classical types (A_r, B_r, C_r, D_r) and calculate how the trace form on the standard representation of each type differs from the Killing form (as a function of r). (You'll need a good basis for each to do this.)

If \mathfrak{g} is simple, then any NIBS form is a scalar of the Killing form. So we only need to calculate one pairing in each form and take the quotient.

Type A_r . We saw in class how to use the fact that

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{\text{ad}} = \sum_{\alpha \in R} \alpha(a)\alpha(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathfrak{h}$$

to quickly calculate, say, $\langle h_1, h_1 \rangle_{\text{ad}}$ using the roots of \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1} . Here's another slightly less slick, but totally straightforward calculation of the same constant ratio.

For the trace form on the standard representation st , $\langle E_{1,2}, E_{2,1} \rangle_{\text{st}} = 1$.

For the Killing form, we need to calculate $\text{ad}_{E_{1,2}}$ and $\text{ad}_{E_{2,1}}$. One basis is

$$\{E_{i,j}, h_\ell = E_{\ell,\ell} - E_{\ell+1,\ell+1} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq r+1, 1 \leq \ell \leq r\}.$$

Then for any i, j ,

$$\text{ad}_{E_{1,2}}(E_{i,j}) = \delta_{i,2} E_{1,j} - \delta_{j,1} E_{i,2} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ad}_{E_{2,1}}(E_{i,j}) = \delta_{i,1} E_{2,j} - \delta_{j,2} E_{i,1}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_{E_{1,2}}\text{ad}_{E_{2,1}}E_{i,j} &= \text{ad}_{E_{1,2}}(\delta_{i,1}E_{2,j} - \delta_{j,2}E_{i,1}) \\ &\quad \delta_{i,1}(E_{1,j} - \delta_{j,1}E_{2,2}) - \delta_{j,2}(\delta_{i,2}E_{1,1} - E_{i,2}) \\ &= \delta_{i,1}E_{1,j} + \delta_{j,2}E_{i,2} - (\delta_{i,1}\delta_{j,1}E_{2,2} + \delta_{j,2}\delta_{i,2}E_{1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{ad}_{E_{1,2}}\text{ad}_{E_{2,1}}E_{i,j} = \delta_{i,1}E_{i,j} + \delta_{j,2}E_{i,j} \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

and

$$\text{ad}_{E_{1,2}}\text{ad}_{E_{2,1}}(E_{\ell,\ell} - E_{\ell+1,\ell+1}) = \begin{cases} E_{1,1} - E_{2,2} - E_{2,2} + E_{1,1} = 2h_1 & \ell = 1 \\ E_{2,2} - E_{1,1} = -h_1 & \ell = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So the trace of $\text{ad}_{E_{1,2}}\text{ad}_{E_{2,1}}$, which is the sum over basis elements b of the coefficient of b in $\text{ad}_{E_{1,2}}\text{ad}_{E_{2,1}}b$, is given by

$$\underbrace{r}_{\#E_{1,j}} + \underbrace{r}_{\#E_{i,2}} + \underbrace{2}_{h_1} = 2(r+1)$$

($E_{1,2}$ gets double counted, but $\text{ad}_{E_{1,2}}\text{ad}_{E_{2,1}}E_{1,2} = 2E_{1,2}$). So

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{ad}} = 2(r+1)\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{st}}.$$

Other types. Let st be the standard representation.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Type } B_r: & \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{ad}} = (2r-1)\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{st}} \\ \text{Type } C_r: & \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{ad}} = 2(r+1)\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{st}} \\ \text{Type } D_r: & \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{ad}} = 2(r-1)\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{st}} \end{aligned}$$

- (4) Let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_\ell\}$ be a basis for a finite-dimensional reductive complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with a NIBS form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and define the dual basis

$$B^* = \{b_1^*, \dots, b_\ell^*\} \quad \text{by} \quad \langle b_i, b_j^* \rangle = \delta_{i,j}.$$

The *Casimir* element of \mathfrak{g} is

$$\kappa = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i b_i^* \in U\mathfrak{g}.$$

Prove the following.

- (a) κ does not depend on the choice of basis.

Note first that $\{b_1^*, \dots, b_\ell^*\}$ is also a basis of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\{d_1, \dots, d_\ell\}$ be a third basis of \mathfrak{g} . Then $b_i = \sum_j \langle b_i, d_j^* \rangle d_j$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i b_i^* = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} \langle b_i, d_j^* \rangle d_j b_i^* \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} d_j \left(\sum_i \langle b_i, d_j^* \rangle b_i^* \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} d_j d_j^*. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) $\kappa \in Z(U\mathfrak{g})$, where $Z(U\mathfrak{g})$ is the center of $U\mathfrak{g}$ (it suffices to show that κ commutes with every element of \mathfrak{g}).

Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 x\kappa &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} xb_i b_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} ([x, b_i] + b_i x) b_i^* \\
 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} \langle [x, b_i], b_j^* \rangle b_j b_i^* + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i x b_i^* \\
 &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} \langle b_i, [x, b_j^*] \rangle b_j b_i^* + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i x b_i^* \\
 &= - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} b_j [x, b_j^*] + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i x b_i^* \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} b_i (-x b_i + b_i x + x b_i) = \kappa x.
 \end{aligned}$$

[Notice that (i) B^* is also a basis for \mathfrak{g} , and (ii) for any basis $B = \{b_i\}_i$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, you have $x = \sum_i \langle x, b_i^* \rangle b_i$.]