

Problem Set # 5 (due via Canvas upload by 5 pm, Monday, October 28)

1. Let V, W, X be k -vector spaces.

- (a) For k -vector spaces V' and W' and k -linear maps $\alpha : V' \rightarrow V$ and $\beta : W \rightarrow W'$, show that the map $\text{Hom}(\alpha, \beta) : \text{Hom}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V', W')$, defined by $\phi \mapsto \beta \circ \phi \circ \alpha$, is a k -linear map.
- (b) Prove that there is a unique isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(V \otimes X, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(V, \text{Hom}(X, W))$$

with the property that $\phi \mapsto (v \mapsto (x \mapsto \phi(v \otimes x)))$. This is called the **tensor-hom adjunction isomorphism**. **Hint.** Under the isomorphism $\text{Bil}_k(V, X; W) \cong \text{Hom}(V \otimes X, W)$ the map is just taking the left polar.

- (c) Prove that the tensor-hom adjunction isomorphism is “natural” i.e., given k -vector spaces V', W', X' and k -linear maps $\alpha : V' \rightarrow V$, $\beta : W \rightarrow W'$, and $\gamma : X' \rightarrow X$, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(V \otimes X, W) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(V, \text{Hom}(X, W)) \\ \text{Hom}(\alpha \otimes \gamma, \beta) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hom}(\alpha, \text{Hom}(\gamma, \beta)) \\ \text{Hom}(V' \otimes X', W') & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}(V', \text{Hom}(X', W')) \end{array}$$

- (d) Recall the k -linear map

$$\text{Hom}(V, W) \otimes X \xrightarrow{(\sim)} \text{Hom}(V, W \otimes X),$$

determined by $\phi \otimes x \mapsto (v \mapsto \phi(v) \otimes x)$, which is injective and is an isomorphism whenever V, W, X are finite-dimensional. This is called the **hom-tensor adjunction map**. Prove that the hom-tensor adjunction map is “natural”, i.e., given k -vector spaces V', W', X' and k -linear maps $\alpha : V' \rightarrow V$, $\beta : W \rightarrow W'$, and $\gamma : X \rightarrow X'$, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(V, W) \otimes X & \xrightarrow{(\sim)} & \text{Hom}(V, W \otimes X) \\ \text{Hom}(\alpha, \beta) \otimes \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hom}(\alpha, \beta \otimes \gamma) \\ \text{Hom}(V', W') \otimes X' & \xrightarrow{(\sim)} & \text{Hom}(V', W' \otimes X') \end{array}$$

So apparently tensor-hom adjunction is better-behaved than hom-tensor adjunction?

2. Let V be an k -vector space and let $d \geq 1$. The symmetric power $S^d V$ is defined as a quotient of the tensor power $V^{\otimes d}$, from which it acquires an appropriate universal property. We can also work with a *subspace of symmetric tensors*. For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, where \mathfrak{S}_d is the symmetric group on $\{1, \dots, d\}$, we have an induced k -linear map $\sigma : V^{\otimes d} \rightarrow V^{\otimes d}$ determined on simple tensors by

$$\sigma(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_d) = v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}$$

obtained by permuting coordinates. A tensor $\alpha \in V^{\otimes d}$ is called **symmetric** if $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$. Write $S_d V \subseteq V^{\otimes d}$ for the k -subspace of symmetric tensors.

- (a) Suppose that $d! \in k^\times$, i.e., that $\text{char}(k) > d$. Show that the map

$$\begin{aligned} s : S^d(V) &\rightarrow S_d(V) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \sigma(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined k -linear isomorphism.

- (b) Formulate and prove a similar statement for the subspace of **skew-symmetric tensors**, which satisfy $\sigma(\alpha) = (\text{sgn } \sigma)\alpha$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$.

3. Let V be an k -vector space with $\dim V = n$, and let $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ be k -linear. Recall that we defined a unique determinant map $\det: V^n \rightarrow k$ (as a normalized, multilinear alternating form), and that we define $\det(\phi)$ by choosing a basis v_1, \dots, v_n for V and taking $\det(\phi): \det(\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_n))$ (independent of the choice of basis, by uniqueness).

- Observe that ϕ induces a map $\wedge^n \phi: \wedge^n V \rightarrow \wedge^n V$ defined by $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n \mapsto \phi(v_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \phi(v_n)$.
- Recalling that $\dim(\wedge^n V) = 1$, explain why $\text{Hom}(\wedge^n V, \wedge^n V) \cong k$ and $\wedge^n \phi$ is multiplication by some $d(\phi) \in k$.
- Show that $d(\phi) = \det(\phi)$. **Hint.** Show that the map $D: V^n \rightarrow k$ which starts with $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V^n$, makes the linear map $\phi \in \text{End}(V)$ by $\phi(v_i) = x_i$, and then associates $d(\phi) \in k$, is a determinant function!
- Prove that $\det(\psi \circ \phi) = \det(\psi) \det(\phi) = \det(\phi \circ \psi)$ for all $\psi: V \rightarrow V$.

4. Let V be a k -vector space of finite dimension n .

- Prove that the k -bilinear map $\wedge^i V \times \wedge^{n-i} V \rightarrow \wedge^n V$, defined on simple wedges by the “wedging” $(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_i, v_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) \mapsto v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n$, induces an isomorphism $\wedge^i V \cong (\wedge^{n-i} V)^\vee \otimes_k \wedge^n V$. What combinatorial formula do you derive by computing the dimensions of both sides?
- Let $0 \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{\phi} V \xrightarrow{f} L \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of k -vector spaces with L being 1-dimensional. Prove that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^i W \xrightarrow{\wedge^i \phi} \wedge^i V \xrightarrow{d_f} L \otimes \wedge^{i-1} W \rightarrow 0$$

is short exact, where $d_f: \wedge^i V \rightarrow L \otimes \wedge^{i-1} W$ is the k -linear map uniquely determined on simple wedges by

$$d_f(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^j f(v_j) \otimes v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{v}_j \wedge \dots \wedge v_i,$$

where $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{v}_j \wedge \dots \wedge v_i$ means the wedge monomial obtained by omitting the j th term. What combinatorial formula do you derive by computing the dimensions via rank-nullity?

5. Recall that if A, B are k -algebras (with 1) then there is a unique structure of k -algebra on $A \otimes_k B$ with the property that

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. Furthermore, if $k \subset K$ is a field extension, recall the extension of scalars $A_K = A \otimes_k K$, which has a unique structure of K -algebra with the property that

$$\lambda \cdot (a \otimes \alpha) = a \otimes \lambda \alpha$$

for all $a \in A$ and $\lambda, \alpha \in K$. For a K -algebra B , recall the restriction of scalars ${}_k B$, which is a k -algebra by restricting the scalar multiplication from K to k .

- For k -algebras A and B , verify (whenever it makes sense) that

$$\dim_k(A \otimes_k B) = \dim_k A \cdot \dim_k B$$

- For a k -algebra A and a K -algebra B , verify (whenever they make sense) that

$$\dim_K(A_K) = \dim_k A, \quad \dim_k({}_k B) = \dim_K B \cdot \dim_K K$$

- Consider the \mathbb{R} -algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Prove that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ as \mathbb{R} -algebras. **Hint.** Define the map $\Phi: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ by $(z, w) \mapsto (zw, z\bar{w})$ and use it to get an \mathbb{R} -algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$.

- Let $\sigma: K \rightarrow K$ be a k -algebra automorphism and W a K -vector space. Define a new K -vector space ${}^\sigma W$ on the same underlying abelian group W with scalar multiplication $\lambda \cdot w = \sigma(\lambda)w$ for all $\lambda \in K$ and $w \in W$. This is called the σ -twist of W . Prove that $\dim_K({}^\sigma W) = \dim_K W$. Prove that to give a K -linear map $\phi: W \rightarrow {}^\sigma W'$ is the same as to give a k -linear map $\phi: {}_k W \rightarrow {}_k W'$ such that $\phi(\lambda w) = \sigma(\lambda)\phi(w)$ for all $\lambda \in K$ and $w \in W$. Such ϕ are often called σ -semilinear.

- When $k = \mathbb{R}$, $K = \mathbb{C}$, and σ is complex conjugation, ${}^\sigma W$ is often denoted by \overline{W} . Prove that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ as \mathbb{C} -algebras.