Dartmouth College Department of Mathematics
Math 125 Current Problems in Number Theory:

## Galois Cohomology and Descent

Winter 2022
Group Work \# 2 (Thursday, April 26)
Reading: [GS] §1.1-1.2, 2.1-2.2, 4.1, [S] §1.1-1.4, [Sh] Ch. I, IV. 1
Group Work: To be discussed during the X-hour, with the discussion led by a student selected ahead of time.

1. Let $A$ be an (associative unital) $F$-algebra. We say that an $F$-linear map ${ }^{-}: A \rightarrow A$ is an involution if $\overline{1}=1, \overline{\bar{a}}=a$ for all $a \in A$, and $\overline{a b}=\bar{b} \bar{a}$ for all $a, b \in A$. An involution is called standard if $a \bar{a} \in F$ for all $a \in A$. As usual, we consider $F \subset A$ as the $F$-subspace spanned by the identity in $A$.
(a) Prove that if ${ }^{-}$is a standard involution on an $F$-algebra $A$ then $a+\bar{a} \in F$ for all $a \in A$. Hint. Consider $(1+a)(\overline{1+a})$.
(b) If ${ }^{-}$is a standard involution on an $F$-algebra $A$, define the (involution) trace $t$ : $A \rightarrow F$ by $a \mapsto a+\bar{a}$ and the (involution) norm $n: A \rightarrow F$ by $a \mapsto a \bar{a}$. Prove that any $a \in A$ satisfies $a^{2}-t(a) a+n(a)=0$. This is an analogue of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem and one often calls $x^{2}-t(a) x+n(a) \in F[x]$ the involution characteristic polynomial of $a \in A$.
(c) Prove that if $K$ is an $F$-algebra of dimension 2, then $K$ is commutative and admits a unique standard involution. What is this in the case that $K / F$ is a separable extension of degree 2 ? What about $K=F \times F$ ? What about the dual numbers $K=F[x] /\left(x^{2}\right)$ ?
(d) Prove that if $A$ is a quaternion algebra over $F$, then $A$ has a unique standard involution. Hint. Restrict to a quadratic extension contained in $A$.
2. About division algebras.
(a) Over an algebraically closed field $F$, the only finite dimensional central division $F$ algebra if $F$ itself. Hint. Use the existence of eigenvalues of linear operators on finite dimensional vector spaces over algebraically closed fields as indicated in class.
(b) Let $\mathbb{C}(t)$ be the rational function field over the complex numbers. Then $\mathbb{C}(t)$ is an infinite dimensional division $\mathbb{C}$-algebra (but clearly far from central). Where does your previous argument break down for $A$ ? Remark. it turns out that there are no nontrivial central division $\mathbb{C}(t)$-algebras, this is a consequence of Tsen's Theorem. Can you find a new proof of this fact?
(c) The Weyl algebra is the unital associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by $t$ and $\partial_{t}$, with the relation (coming from the chain rule) $t \partial_{t}-\partial_{t} t=1$. This algebra acts by differential operators, with $t$ acting by multiplication and $\partial_{t}$ acting by taking the formal derivative with respect to $t$, on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[t]$, e.g., $\left(\partial_{t} t\right) f(t)=\partial_{t}(t f(t))=f(t)+t f^{\prime}(t)$. Prove that the Weyl algebra is an infinite dimensional central simple $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Where does the argument in part (a) break down?
(d) Prove that if $A$ is a quaternion algebra over a field $F$ (of characteristic not 2 ) and $K / F$ is a quadratic extension with $K \subset A$ a sub $F$-algebra, then $A \otimes_{F} K$ is split. Show that $M_{2}(F)$ contains any quadratic extension $K / F$ as an $F$-subalgebra.
(e) Read the proof of [GS] Theorem 2.2.1, really Lemma 2.2.2. This was not as easy as I made it appear in class!
3. Let $G$ be a group and $A$ an abelian $G$-group. The fact that $G$ acts on $A$ via automorphisms can be expressed via a homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(A)$. Thus we can form the semidirect product $F=A \rtimes_{\varphi} G$ with respect to this action, i.e., $F$ is the set $A \times G$ with operation $(a, g) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)=\left(a g\left(a^{\prime}\right), g g^{\prime}\right)$. Let $f: F \rightarrow G$ be the projection homomorphism. A section of $F$ is a set map $s: G \rightarrow F$ such that $f \circ s=\operatorname{id}_{G}$. A splitting of $F$ is section that is a homomorphism.
(a) Prove that $Z^{1}(G, A)$ is an abelian group under the usual addition of maps. Show that the map $d: A \rightarrow Z^{1}(G, A)$ defined by $c \mapsto(\sigma \mapsto c-\sigma(c))$ is a well-defined homomorphism and denote by $B^{1}(G, A) \subset Z^{1}(G, A)$ its image. Prove that $H^{1}(G, A) \cong$ $Z^{1}(G, A) / B^{1}(G, A)$, hence is an abelian group.
(b) For a section $s: G \rightarrow F$ write $s(g)=(\alpha(g), g)$ for a set map $\alpha: G \rightarrow A$. Prove that $s$ is a splitting if and only if $\alpha$ is a crossed homomorphism. Conclude that this provides a bijection between $Z^{1}(G, A)$ and the set of splittings of $F$.
(c) We define two splittings $s, s^{\prime}: G \rightarrow F$ to be equivalent if there exists $a \in A \subset F$ such that $s^{\prime}(g)=a s^{\prime}(g) a^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. Prove that this provides a bijection between $H^{1}(G, A)$ and the the set of equivalence classes of splittings of $F$.
(d) More generally, consider any group extension

$$
1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{f} G \rightarrow 1
$$

of $G$ by $A$ that is compatible with the action of $G$ on $A$, i.e., $g(a)=\tilde{g} a \tilde{g}^{-1}$ for any lift $\tilde{g} \in F$ of $g$ (why does this condition not depend on the choice of lift?). An automorphism of the extension is an automorphism $\phi: F \rightarrow F$ that restricts to an automorphism $\left.\phi\right|_{A}: A \rightarrow A$. This defines a subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}(f) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(F)$. Any $a \in A$ determines an inner automorphism $\mathrm{ad}_{a}$ of the extension by conjugation by $a$. This defines a subgroup $\operatorname{Inn}(f) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(f)$. Consider the right coset space $\operatorname{Out}(f)=\operatorname{Inn}(f) \backslash \operatorname{Aut}(f)$, equivalently, the set of equivalence classes of automorphisms of the extension, where automorphisms $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are equivalent if $\phi^{\prime}=\operatorname{ad}_{a} \circ \phi$ for some $a \in A$. For a crossed homomorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow A$ and an automorphism $\phi$ of the extension, define $\alpha . \phi$ by $(\alpha . \phi)(x)=\alpha(f(x)) \phi(x)$ for $x \in F$. Prove that $\alpha . \phi$ is an automorphism of the extension and that this descends to a well-defined action of $H^{1}(G, A)$ on $\operatorname{Out}(f)$, and that this action is simply transitive. Conclude that $H^{1}(G, A)$ and $\operatorname{Out}(f)$ have the same cardinality.
(e) Now let $G=A=C_{2}$ be the cyclic group of order 2 . Let $G$ act on $A$ trivially. Prove that $H^{1}\left(C_{2}, C_{2}\right)$ is cyclic of order 2. You know that the two extensions of $C_{2}$ by $C_{2}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \rightarrow C_{2} \rightarrow V_{4} \rightarrow C_{2} \rightarrow 1 \\
& 1 \rightarrow C_{2} \rightarrow C_{4} \rightarrow C_{2} \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V_{4}$ is the Klein four group. Explicitly describe the automorphism groups of these two extensions as subgroups of the automorphism groups Aut $\left(V_{4}\right)=\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{4}\right)=\{ \pm 1\}$, and show how the outer automorphism groups of the extensions explicitly correspond to $H^{1}\left(C_{2}, C_{2}\right)$.
Thus "the first cohomology group of $G$ with coefficients in $A$ corresponds to automorphisms of any group extension of $G$ by $A$."
4. Let $F$ be a field, $\mathrm{Alg}_{F}$ be the category of commutative unital $F$-algebras, and Set the category of sets. We introduce projective $n$-space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ over $F$ as the functor $\mathrm{Alg}_{F} \rightarrow$ Set defined on objects $R \in \operatorname{Alg}_{F}$ as the set $\mathbb{P}^{n}(R)$ of pairs $(L, i)$ where $L$ is a projective $R$ module of rank 1 and $i: L \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ is a direct summand $R$-module homomorphism, i.e., there exists a projective $R$-module $P$ of rank $n$ (called the complement of $L$ ) and an $R$ module homomorphism $p: P \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ so that $i+p: L \oplus P \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ is an $R$-module isomorphism, and where we consider $(L, i)$ equivalent to $\left(L^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)$ if $i=i^{\prime} \circ l$ for some $R$ module isomorphism $l: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$. On morphisms $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$, the functor is defined by $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\varphi)(L, i)=\left(L \otimes_{R} S, i \otimes_{R} \mathrm{id}_{S}\right)$. I don't begrudge you if this appears to be a crazy definition!
(a) A unimodular row over $R$ is a vector $a=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in R^{n+1}$ such that there exists $b=\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in R^{n+1}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} b_{i}=1$. Prove that if $a \in R^{n+1}$ is a unimodular row, then $R a \subset R^{n+1}$ is a free rank 1 direct summand, hence the $\left(R, i_{a}\right)$ gives an element of $\mathbb{P}^{n}(R)$ where $i_{a}: R \rightarrow R^{n+1}$ is the given by scalar multiplying $a$. (Hint. Use $b$ to define a surjection $R^{n+1} \rightarrow R$, whose kernel will be the complement.) Prove that two unimodular rows $a, b \in R^{n+1}$ give the same element of $\mathbb{P}^{n}(R)$ if and only if $a=\lambda b$ for some $\lambda \in R^{\times}$. Letting $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R)$ be the set of unimodular rows over $R$, this gives a well-defined map $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(R) / R^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(R)$.
(b) Show that for any field extension $K / F$, the map $\operatorname{Um}_{n+1}(K) / K^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}(K)$ is a bijection and that $\mathbb{P}^{n}(K)$ is in bijection with the set of lines through the origin in $K^{n+1}$. This recovers the usual notion of projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}(K)=\left(K^{n+1} \backslash\{0\}\right) / K^{\times}$.
(c) Let $R=\mathbb{R}[x, y] /\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-1\right)$ and consider the ideal $L=(1+x, y) \subset R$. Prove that $L^{2}$ is a principal ideal but that $L$ is not principal. (Hint. You may want to use the norm on the quadratic ring extension $R[x] \subset R=R[x]\left[\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\right]$. Remark. Evidently, $R$ is not a PID, though it turns out to be a Dedekind domain, and you can see the nonunique factorization $(1+x)(1-x)=y^{2}$.) Consider the $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$
M=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+x & y \\
y & 1-x
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the associated $R$-module homomorphism $M: R^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ defined by matrix multiplication. Prove that the map $i: L \rightarrow R^{2}$ defined by $i(u)=\left(u, \frac{1-x}{y} u\right)$ is well-defined and determines an $R$-module isomorphism $L \rightarrow \operatorname{im}(M)$. Prove that there is a direct sum decomposition $\operatorname{im}(M) \oplus \operatorname{ker}(M)=R^{2}$, and that $\operatorname{ker}(M)=\operatorname{im}(I-M)$ is also isomorphic to $L$. (Hint. Use that $M^{2}=M$.) In partiular, $L$ is a projective $R$-module of rank 1 that is not free. Conclude that $(L, i) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(R)$ but is not determined by a unimodular row over $R$. Remark. Geometrically, $L$ corresponds to the Möbius line bundle on the circle.
(d) Consider the two localizations $R_{1}=R\left[\frac{1}{1+x}\right]$ and $R_{2}=R\left[\frac{1}{y}\right]$, and for $j=1,2$ let $L_{j} \subset$ $R_{j}$ be the ideal extended from $L \subset R$ and $i_{j}: L_{j} \rightarrow R_{j}^{2}$ the inclusion extended from $i: L \rightarrow R^{2}$. Prove that each $L_{j}$ is principal and find a unimodular row $a_{j} \in \operatorname{Um}_{2}\left(R_{j}\right)$ that corresponds to the point $\left(L_{j}, i_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}\left(R_{j}\right)$. Letting $R_{12}=R\left[\frac{1}{1+x}, \frac{1}{y}\right]$, prove that under the functorial maps $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(R_{j}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}\left(R_{12}\right)$ the images of $\left(L_{j}, i_{j}\right)$ agree and verify that the your unimodular rows $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ become equal in $\operatorname{Um}_{2}\left(R_{12}\right) / R_{12}^{\times}$. This gives an example where unimodular rows on $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ that agree (up to scaling) over $R_{12}$ can fail to come from a unimodular row over $R$, whereas this property holds for the functor $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Remark. In other words the functor $R \mapsto \operatorname{Um}_{2}(R)$ is a presheaf but not a sheaf, whereas $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ is a sheaf, which provides some motivation for the crazy definition of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

