Reed College Senior Thesis Presentation Splitting Probabilities of *p*-adic Polynomials

Division of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Spring 2003

> Asher Auel Advisor: Joe Buhler













It depends on the rules.

It depends on the rules.

For whole numbers

$$0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, \ldots$$

only *perfect squares* have square roots

 $0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 49, \ldots$

It depends on the rules.

For real numbers on the number line



It depends on the rules.

For real numbers on the number line





in particular, only -1 doesn't have a square root.

[Theodorus] was proving to us a certain thing about square roots, I mean the side (i.e. root) of a square of three square units and of five square units, that these roots are not commensurable in length with the unit length, and he went on in this way, taking all the separate cases up to the <u>root of seventeen</u> square units, at which point, for some reason, he stopped.

-Plato, *Theaetetus*

But we can get close!

But we can get close!



4, 4.1, 4.12, 4.123, 4, 1230... $\rightarrow \sqrt{17}$

A silly attempt, but why must we choose 10 over 2?

x	$x^2 - 17$				
3	$3^2 - 17$	=	-8	=	-1×2^3
7	$7^2 - 17$	=	32	=	1×2^5
23	$23^2 - 17$	=	512	=	1×2^9
279	$279^2 - 17$	=	77824	=	19×2^{12}
?	$?^2 - 17$	=	λ	=	$u \times 2^n$

 $3, 7, 23, 279, \ldots \rightarrow ?$

$$3 = 1 + 1 \cdot 2$$

$$7 = 1 + 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 2^{2}$$

$$23 = 1 + 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 2^{2} + 0 \cdot 2^{3} + 1 \cdot 2^{4}$$

$$279 = 1 + 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 2^{2} + 0 \cdot 2^{3} + 1 \cdot 2^{4} + 1 \cdot 2^{8}$$

:

It depends on p.

It depends on p.

For general *p*-adic numbers,



there are multiple square roots missing.



 $x^{2}+ax+b$ has a root? *a,b* are 2-adic numbers.



 $x^{2}+ax+b$ has which root? are 2-adic numbers.



J. P. Serre, 1968

