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Abstract. For a natural number $n$, let $\lambda(n)$ denote the order of the largest cyclic subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. For a given integer $a$, let $N_{a}(x)$ denote the number of $n \leq x$ coprime to $a$ for which $a$ has order $\lambda(n)$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. Let $R(n)$ denote the number of elements of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ with order $\lambda(n)$. It is natural to compare $N_{a}(x)$ with $\sum_{n \leq x} R(n) / n$. In this paper we show that the average of $N_{a}(x)$ for $1 \leq a \leq y$ is indeed asymptotic to this sum, provided $y \geq \exp \left((2+\varepsilon)(\log x \log \log x)^{1 / 2}\right)$, thus improving a theorem of the first author who had this for $y \geq \exp \left((\log x)^{3 / 4}\right)$. The result is to be compared with a similar theorem of Stephens who considered the case of prime numbers $n$.
§1. Introduction. Let $n$ be a natural number. It was known to Gauss that the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ is cyclic if and only if $n$ is not divisible by two different odd primes nor divisible by four, except for $n=4$ itself. In particular, this holds whenever $n$ is prime. When $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ is cyclic, a generator is called a primitive root. In general, let $\lambda(n)$ be the exponent of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, the maximal order of any element in the group. Following Carmichael [1], we broaden the definition of a primitive root to an element of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ which has order $\lambda(n)$.

There are various natural questions associated with these concepts.
(1) Let $R(n)$ denote the number of residues modulo $n$ which are primitive roots for $n$. Thus, $R(n) / n$ is the proportion of residues modulo $n$ which are primitive roots. What is $R(n) / n$ on average, and what is it on average for prime $n$ ?
(2) For a fixed integer $a$, let $N_{a}(x)$ denote the number of natural numbers $n \leq x$ for which $a$ is a primitive root, and let $P_{a}(x)$ denote the number of such $n$ which are prime. What is the asymptotic distribution of $N_{a}(x)$ and $P_{a}(x)$ ?
(3) What is the average asymptotic behavior of $N_{a}(x)$ as $a$ runs over a short interval, and what is it for $P_{a}(x)$ ?
We first review what is known for the prime case. If $p$ is prime, the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ is cyclic of order $p-1$, and so it follows that $R(p)=\varphi(p-1)$, where $\varphi$ is Euler's function. One has (see Stephens [13, Lemma 1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{R(p)}{p} \sim A \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)=0.3739558136 \ldots
$$

is known as Artin's constant. This suggests that typically we should have $P_{a}(x) \sim A \pi(x)$. It is easy to see though that for some choices of $a$ this cannot hold, namely, for $a$ a square or $a=-1$, since for each such $a$ there are at most two primes for which $a$ is a primitive root. Artin's conjecture is the assertion that for all other values of $a$ there are infinitely many primes for which $a$ is a primitive root and, in fact, there is a positive rational $c_{a}$ with $P_{a}(x) \sim c_{a} A \pi(x)$. This conjecture was proved by Hooley [2] under the assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis. For surveys, see Li and Pomerance [7], Moree [11] and Murty [12].

Concerning the third question, Stephens [13] has shown unconditionally that, if $y>\exp \left(4(\log x \log \log x)^{1 / 2}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{y} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq y} P_{a}(x) \sim A \pi(x) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Turning to the composite case, the first author in [5] showed that $(1 / x) \sum_{n \leq x} R(n) / n$ does not tend to a limit as $x \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$
x \geq \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{R(n)}{n} \gg \frac{x}{\log \log \log x}
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{R(n)}{n}>0, \quad \liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{R(n)}{n}=0
$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the set of integers $a$ which are a power higher than the first power or a square times a member of $\{ \pm 1, \pm 2\}$. It was shown by the first author in [4] that for $a \in \mathcal{E}$ we have $N_{a}(x)=o(x)$, and that for every integer $a$ we have ${\lim \inf _{x \rightarrow \infty}} N_{a}(x) / x=0$. In [8] we showed that, under the assumption of the generalized Riemann hypothesis, for each integer $a \notin \mathcal{E}$ we have $\lim \sup _{x \rightarrow \infty} N_{a}(x) / x>0$.

To complete our brief review of the literature, the first author showed in [6] that, for $y \geq \exp \left((\log x)^{3 / 4}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{y} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq y} N_{a}(x) \sim \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{R(n)}{n} \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The goal of this paper is to improve the range for $y$ in (3) to a range for $y$ similar to that in (2). We use similar methods to those already used in these problems. Let

$$
L(x)=\exp \left((\log x \log \log x)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

We prove the following theorem.
THEOREM 1. For $y \geq L(x)^{8}$,

$$
\frac{1}{y} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq y} N_{a}(x)=\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{R(n)}{n}+O\left(\frac{x}{y^{1 / 7}}\right)
$$

Further, for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$ and $L(x)^{2+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq L(x)^{8}$,

$$
\frac{1}{y} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq y} N_{a}(x)=\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{R(n)}{n}+O\left(\frac{x L(x)^{1 / 2+\varepsilon / 6}}{y^{1 / 4}}+\frac{x \log x}{y^{5 / 32}}\right)
$$

In particular, (3) holds in the range $y \geq L(x)^{2+\varepsilon}$.
We remark that our proof can be adapted to the case of $P_{a}(x)$, and so allows an improvement of (2) to the range $y \geq L(x)^{2+\varepsilon}$.
§2. Preliminaries. Variables $p, q$ always denote primes. For a positive integer $n$, we write $p^{a} \| n$ if $p^{a} \mid n$ and $p^{a+1} \nmid n$. In this case, we also write $v_{p}(n)=a$. The universal exponent function $\lambda(n)$ can be computed from the prime factorization of $n$ as follows:

$$
\lambda(n)=\operatorname{lcm}\left\{\lambda\left(p^{a}\right): p^{a} \| n\right\}
$$

where $\lambda\left(p^{a}\right)=\varphi\left(p^{a}\right)$ unless $p=2, a \geq 3$, in which case $\lambda\left(2^{a}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(2^{a}\right)=$ $2^{a-2}$. For each prime $q \mid \lambda(n)$ (which is equivalent to the condition $q \mid \varphi(n)$ ) let

$$
\mathcal{D}_{q}(n)=\left\{p^{a} \| n: v_{q}\left(\lambda\left(p^{a}\right)\right)=v_{q}(\lambda(n))\right\}
$$

If $v_{q}(\lambda(n))=v>0$, let $\Delta_{q}(n)$ denote the number of cyclic factors $C_{q^{v}}$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, so that

$$
\Delta_{q}(n)=\# \mathcal{D}_{q}(n)
$$

except in the case $q=2$ and $2^{3} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}(n)$, when $\Delta_{2}(n)=1+\# \mathcal{D}_{2}(n)$. Then (see $[5,10]$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(n)=\varphi(n) \prod_{q \mid \varphi(n)}\left(1-q^{-\Delta_{q}(n)}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{rad}(m)$ denote the largest square-free divisor of $m$. Let

$$
E(n)=\left\{a \bmod n: a^{\lambda(n) / \operatorname{rad}(\lambda(n))} \equiv 1(\bmod n)\right\}
$$

so that $E(n)$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. We say that a character $\chi \bmod n$ is elementary if it is trivial on $E(n)$. Clearly the order of an elementary character is square-free. For each square-free number $h \mid \varphi(n)$, let $\rho_{n}(h)$ be the number of elementary characters $\bmod n$ of order $h$. It is not hard to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}(h)=\prod_{q \mid h}\left(q^{\Delta_{q}(n)}-1\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a character $\chi \bmod n$, let

$$
c(\chi)=\frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{b}^{\prime} \chi(b)
$$

where ${ }^{\prime}$ indicates that the sum is over primitive roots $\bmod n$ in $[1, n]$. Further, let

$$
\bar{c}(\chi)= \begin{cases}1 / \rho_{n}(\operatorname{ord} \chi) & \text { if } \chi \text { is elementary } \\ 0 & \text { if } \chi \text { is not elementary }\end{cases}
$$

PROPOSITION 2. If $\chi \bmod n$ is a character, then $|c(\chi)| \leq \bar{c}(\chi)$.
Proof. Various elements of the proof are in [6]; we give a self-contained proof here. To see that $c(\chi)=0$ for $\chi$ not elementary, note that the primitive roots $\bmod n$ comprise a union of some of the cosets of the subgroup $E(n)$ in $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, so that we can factor $\sum_{a \in E(n)} \chi(a)$ out of the character sum $\sum_{b}^{\prime} \chi(b)$. This factor is zero unless $\chi$ is trivial on $E(n)$; that is, $c(\chi)=0$ for $\chi$ not elementary.

Suppose now that $\chi$ is elementary. For each prime $q \mid \varphi(n)$, let $S_{q}(n)$ be the $q$-Sylow subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. This group has exponent $q^{v_{q}(\lambda(n))}$; let $R_{q}(n)$ denote the set of members with this order. Then a residue $b \bmod n$ is a primitive root $\bmod n$ if and only if it is of the form $\prod_{q \mid \varphi(n)} b_{q}$, where each $b_{q} \in R_{q}(n)$, and, if it has such a representation, then it is unique. Thus,

$$
\varphi(n) c(\chi)=\sum_{b \bmod n}^{\prime} \chi(b)=\prod_{q \mid \varphi(n)}\left(\sum_{b_{q} \in R_{q}(n)} \chi\left(b_{q}\right)\right) .
$$

The inner character sum is $\# R_{q}(n)$ if $q \nmid$ ord $\chi$, since in this case $\chi$ acts as the trivial character on $S_{q}(n)$. Suppose that $q \mid$ ord $\chi$. Since $S_{q}(n) \backslash R_{q}(n) \subset E(n)$ and $\chi$ is elementary,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{b \in R_{q}(n)} \chi(b) & =\sum_{b \in S_{q}(n)} \chi(b)-\sum_{\substack{b \in S_{q}(n) \\
b \notin R_{q}(n)}} \chi(b)=0-\sum_{\substack{b \in S_{q}(n) \\
b \notin R_{q}(n)}} \chi(b) \\
& =-\left(\# S_{q}(n)-\# R_{q}(n)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\# R_{q}(n)=\# S_{q}(n)\left(1-q^{-\Delta_{q}(n)}\right)$, and so we conclude that

$$
\sum_{b \in R_{q}(n)} \chi(b)= \begin{cases}-\# S_{q}(n) q^{-\Delta_{q}(n)} & \text { if } q \mid \text { ord } \chi \\ \# S_{q}(n)\left(1-q^{-\Delta_{q}(n)}\right) & \text { if } q \nmid \text { ord } \chi\end{cases}
$$

Thus, using (4) and (5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(n) c(\chi) & =\prod_{q \mid \operatorname{ord} \chi} \frac{-\# S_{q}(n)}{q^{\Delta_{q}(n)}} \prod_{\substack{q \mid \varphi(n) \\
q \nmid \operatorname{ord} \chi}} \# S_{q}(n)\left(1-q^{-\Delta_{q}(n)}\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{\omega} R(n)}{\prod_{q \mid \operatorname{ord} \chi}\left(q^{\Delta_{q}(n)}-1\right)}=\frac{(-1)^{\omega} R(n)}{\rho_{n}(\operatorname{ord}(\chi))}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega$ is the number of primes dividing $\operatorname{ord}(\chi)$. The proposition now follows since $R(n) \leq \varphi(n)$.

Proposition 3. Suppose that $k, d$ are coprime positive integers and that $\psi$ is an elementary character mod $k d$ that is induced by a character $\chi \bmod k$. Each of the following holds:
(i) $\quad v_{q}(\lambda(k))=v_{q}(\lambda(k d))$ for each $q \mid$ ord $\psi$;
(ii) $\chi$ is elementary;
(iii) $\bar{c}(\chi) \geq|c(\psi)|$.

Proof. Let $h=\operatorname{ord} \psi=\operatorname{ord} \chi$, let $q \mid h$, let $v=v_{q}(\lambda(k))$, and let $w=$ $v_{q}(\lambda(k d))$. Clearly, $v \leq w$. Since $\chi$ has order $h$, there is some integer $a$ with $\chi(a) \neq 1$ and $a^{q^{v^{\prime}}} \equiv 1(\bmod k)$ for some $v^{\prime} \leq v$. Since $k, d$ arecoprime, there is an integer $b$ with $b \equiv a(\bmod k)$ and $b \equiv 1(\bmod d)$. Then

$$
b^{q^{v^{\prime}}} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod k d) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi(b)=\chi(a) \neq 1
$$

Since $\psi$ is elementary, it follows that $b \notin E(k d)$, so that $v^{\prime}>w-1$. Thus, we have $v \geq v^{\prime} \geq w$, which completes the proof of (i).

Suppose that $\chi$ is not elementary, so that $\chi$ is not trivial on $E(k)$. This then implies that there is some $a \in E(k)$ with $\chi(a) \neq 1$. As above, there is some $b$ with $b \equiv a(\bmod k)$ and $b \equiv 1(\bmod d)$. Since $\lambda(k) / \operatorname{rad}(\lambda(k))$ divides $\lambda(k d) / \operatorname{rad}(\lambda(k d))$, it follows that $b \in E(k d)$. However, $\psi(b)=\chi(a) \neq 1$, contradicting the assumption that $\psi$ is elementary. This proves (ii).

Using (i) and $k, d$ coprime we immediately have $\Delta_{q}(k) \leq \Delta_{q}(k d)$ for each $q \mid h$, so that (5) implies that $\rho_{k}(\operatorname{ord} \chi) \leq \rho_{k d}($ ord $\psi)$. Thus, (iii) follows from (ii) and Proposition 2.
§3. The proof. Our starting point is a lemma from [6]. Let $\mathrm{X}(n)$ denote the set of non-principal elementary characters $\bmod n$, and let

$$
S_{(x, y)}=\sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{X}(n)} c(\chi) \sum_{1 \leq a \leq y} \chi(a)
$$

It is shown in [6] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq a \leq y} N_{a}(x)=y \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{R(n)}{n}+S_{(x, y)}+O(x \log x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we would like to show that $\left|S_{(x, y)}\right|$ is small. A natural thought is to use character sum estimates to majorize the sum of $\chi(a)$, but to do this, it will be convenient to deal with primitive characters.

Let $\chi_{0, n}$ denote the principal character $\bmod n$ and let $\sum^{*}$ denote a sum over non-principal primitive characters. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{(x, y)} & =\sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{k \mid n} \sum_{\substack{\chi \bmod k \\
\chi \chi_{0, n} \in \mathrm{X}(n)}}^{*} c\left(\chi \chi_{0, n}\right) \sum_{a \leq y} \chi(a) \chi_{0, n}(a) \\
& =\sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{k \mid n} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*} c\left(\chi \chi_{0, n}\right) \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\
(d, k)=1}} \chi(d) \mu(d) \sum_{a \leq y / d} \chi(a),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we can drop the condition $\chi \chi_{0, n} \in \mathrm{X}(n)$ since, if $\chi \chi_{0, n}$ is not elementary, then Proposition 2 implies that $c\left(\chi \chi_{0, n}\right)=0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|S_{(x, y)}\right| & \leq \sum_{d \leq x}|\mu(d)| \sum_{\substack{k m \leq x / d \\
(k, d)=1}} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*}|c(\chi \chi 0, d k m)|\left|\sum_{a \leq y / d} \chi(a)\right| \\
& =\sum_{d \leq x}|\mu(d)| S_{d} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

say.
We have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{d} & =\sum_{\substack{k \leq x / d \\
(k, d)=1}} \sum_{\substack{m_{1} \leq x / d k \\
\operatorname{rad}\left(m_{1}\right) \mid k}} \sum_{\substack{m_{2} \leq x / d k m_{1} \\
\left(m_{2}, k\right)=1}} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*} \mid c\left(\chi \chi_{\left.0, d k m_{1} m_{2}\right) \mid}\left|\sum_{a \leq y / d} \chi(a)\right|\right. \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{k \leq x / d \\
(k, d)=1}} \sum_{m_{1} \leq x / d k} \sum_{\substack{\operatorname{rad}\left(m_{1}\right) \mid k}} \sum_{m_{2} \leq x / d k m_{1}}^{\left(m_{2}, k\right)=1} \\
& \leq \sum_{k \leq x / d} \sum_{\bmod k}\left(\chi \chi_{0, k m_{1}}\right)\left|\sum_{a \leq y / d} \chi(a)\right|  \tag{8}\\
& x \\
\operatorname{ram} & \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*} \bar{c}\left(\chi \chi_{0, k m}\right)\left|\sum_{a \leq y / d} \chi(a)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

where the first inequality follows from Propositions 2 and 3.
We now give an estimate that will be useful in the cases with $d$ large. To do this, we trivially majorize the character sum $\left|\sum_{a \leq y / d} \chi(a)\right|$ with $y / d$, so that

$$
S_{d} \leq \frac{x y}{d^{2}} \sum_{k \leq x / d} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\operatorname{rad}(m) \mid k} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*} \bar{c}\left(\chi \chi_{0, k m}\right)
$$

The sum over $m$ and $\chi$ is estimated as follows.
Lemma 4. If $k$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\sum_{\operatorname{rad}(m) \mid k} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*} \bar{c}\left(\chi \chi_{0, k m}\right) \leq \frac{k}{\varphi(k)} \tau(\varphi(k)),
$$

where $\tau$ is the divisor function.
Proof. For each $h \mid \varphi(k)$, consider those primitive characters $\chi \bmod k$ of order $h$. The number of them for which $\chi \chi_{0, k m}$ is an elementary character with modulus $k m$ is at most $\rho_{k m}(h)$. Hence, the contribution to the inner sum for each $h$ is at most one, so that the inner sum is majorized by $\tau(\varphi(k))$. Further, the sum on $m$ of $1 / m$, which is an infinite sum, has an Euler product and is seen to be $k / \varphi(k)$. Thus, the lemma follows.

Using Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d} \leq \frac{x y}{d^{2}} \sum_{k \leq x / d} \frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \tau(\varphi(k)) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce from [9] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(\varphi(n)) \ll x \exp \left(c(\log x / \log \log x)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any fixed $c>\sqrt{8 / \mathrm{e}^{\gamma}}=2.1193574 \ldots$ Using this result, the estimate $1 / \varphi(k) \ll(\log \log x) / k$ for $k \leq x$, and partial summation, we obtain from (9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d} \ll \frac{x y}{d^{2}} \exp \left(3(\log x / \log \log x)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use this estimate when $d$ is large.
For a positive integer $k$ and positive reals $w, z$, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(k, z)=\sum_{\operatorname{rad}(m) \mid k} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*} \bar{c}(\chi \chi 0, k m)\left|\sum_{a \leq z} \chi(a)\right| \\
T(w, z)=\sum_{k \leq w} F(k, z) \\
S(w, z)=w \sum_{k \leq w} \frac{1}{k} F(k, z)
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d} \leq S(x / d, y / d) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now look to estimate $S(w, z)$ and, to do this, we first estimate $T(w, z)$ so that a partial summation calculation will give us $S(w, z)$.

LEMMA 5. For $w, z \geq 3$ and $z \geq L(w)^{6}$, uniformly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(w, z) \ll w z^{13 / 16} L(w)^{1 / 4} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, if $L(w)^{8} \geq z \geq L(w)^{2}$, then as $w \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(w, z) \leq w z^{3 / 4} L(w)^{1 / 2+o(1)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first consider the case when $w \leq z^{3 / 2}$. We have, by the PólyaVinogradov inequality (see [3, Theorem 12.5]),

$$
T(w, z) \ll \sum_{k \leq w} k^{1 / 2} \log k \sum_{\operatorname{rad}(m) \mid k} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*} \bar{c}\left(\chi \chi_{0, k m}\right) .
$$

Using Lemma 4, we have

$$
T(w, z) \ll \sum_{k \leq w} \frac{k^{3 / 2} \log k}{\varphi(k)} \tau(\varphi(k)) \leq w^{3 / 2} \log w \sum_{k \leq w} \frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \tau(\varphi(k))
$$

Thus, using the same argument that allowed us to deduce (11) from (9), we have

$$
T(w, z) \ll w^{3 / 2} \exp \left(3(\log w / \log \log w)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Since $w^{3 / 2} \leq w z^{3 / 4}$ when $w \leq z^{3 / 2}$, the lemma follows in this case.
Now assume that $w>z^{3 / 2}$. We use Hölder's inequality. Let $r$ be a positive integer, so that writing $1 / m$ as $1 / m^{(2 r-1) / 2 r} \cdot 1 / m^{1 / 2 r}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(w, z)^{2 r} \leq A^{2 r-1} \cdot B \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\sum_{\substack{k \leq w \\
\operatorname{rad}(m) \mid k}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*} \bar{c}(\chi \chi 0, k m)^{2 r /(2 r-1)}, \\
B=\sum_{\substack{k \leq w)|k \\
\operatorname{rad}(m)|}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*}\left|\sum_{a \leq z} \chi(a)\right|^{2 r}=\sum_{k \leq w} \frac{k}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{\chi \bmod k}^{*}\left|\sum_{a \leq z} \chi(a)\right|^{2 r}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using $0 \leq \bar{c}\left(\chi \chi_{0, k m}\right) \leq 1$, Lemma 4 and (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \ll w \exp \left(3(\log w / \log \log w)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $B$ we use the large sieve (see [3, Theorem 7.13]) and [13, Lemmas 3, 4 and 5], and obtain

$$
B \ll\left(w^{2}+z^{r}\right) z^{r}(r \log z)^{r^{2}}
$$

uniformly for integers $r \geq 1$ and numbers $w \geq 3, z \geq 3$. We let

$$
r=\lceil 2 \log w / \log z\rceil
$$

so that $w^{2} \leq z^{r}$, which implies that

$$
B \ll z^{2 r}(r \log z)^{r^{2}}
$$

Further, $r<2 \log w / \log z+1<(8 / 3) \log w / \log z$, using $w>z^{3 / 2}$. Thus, $r \log z<3 \log w$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
B^{1 / 2 r} & \ll z(r \log z)^{r / 2}<z \exp \left(\frac{r}{2} \log (3 \log w)\right) \\
& <z \exp \left(\frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{\log w \log (3 \log w)}{\log z}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $r<(8 / 3) \log w / \log z$, we have $w^{1 / 2 r}>z^{3 / 16}$, so that, from (16), we have

$$
A^{(2 r-1) / 2 r} \ll \frac{w}{z^{3 / 16}} \exp \left(3(\log w / \log \log w)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Using this estimate with (15) and (17), we have

$$
T(w, z) \ll w z^{13 / 16} \exp \left(3\left(\frac{\log w}{\log \log w}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{\log w \log (3 \log w)}{(3 / 4) \log z}\right)
$$

and so the lemma follows in the range $z \geq L(w)^{6}$.
If $z \leq L(w)^{8}$, then the above choice of $r$ is $(2+o(1)) \log w / \log z$, so that (16) implies that $A^{(2 r-1) / 2 r} \leq w z^{-1 / 4} L(w)^{o(1)}$. Further, if $z \geq L(w)^{2}$, then the first part of (17) shows that $B^{1 / 2 r} \leq z L(w)^{1 / 2+o(1)}$, so that the inequality $T(w, z) \leq w z^{3 / 4} L(w)^{1 / 2+o(1)}$ follows from (15).

We are now ready to complete the proof of the theorem. Using Lemma 5 and partial summation, we deduce that if $z \geq L(w)^{6}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(w, z) \ll w \log w \cdot z^{13 / 16} L(w)^{1 / 4} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L(w)^{8} \geq z \geq L(w)^{2}$, then using $S(w, z)=T(w, z)+w \int_{1}^{w} u^{-2} T(u, z) d u$, we distinguish the cases $L(u)^{8} \leq z$ and $L(u)^{8}>z$. In the first case, we use (13) and $L(u)^{1 / 4} \leq z^{1 / 32}$ to obtain an upper bound of order $\log w \cdot z^{27 / 32}$ for the integral. In the second case, we use (14) to obtain the upper bound $z^{3 / 4} L(w)^{1 / 2+o(1)}$ for the integral. So, for any fixed $\delta>0$, when $L(w)^{8} \geq z \geq$ $L(w)^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(w, z) \ll w z^{3 / 4} L(w)^{1 / 2+\delta}+w \log w \cdot z^{27 / 32} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose first that $L(x)^{8} \leq y \leq x$. For $d \leq y^{1 / 4}$, we have $y / d \geq y^{3 / 4} \geq$ $L(x)^{6} \geq L(x / d)^{6}$. Thus, from (12) and (18),

$$
S_{d} \leq S(x / d, y / d) \ll \frac{x y \log x}{d^{29 / 16} y^{3 / 16}} L(x)^{1 / 4}
$$

Summing this for $d \leq y^{1 / 4}$ and (11) for $d>y^{1 / 4}$, and using (6) and (7), we have the theorem in the case that $y \geq L(x)^{8}$.

Now let $\varepsilon>0$ and assume that $L(x)^{2+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq L(x)^{8}$. If $d \leq y / L(x)^{2+\varepsilon / 2}$, for large $x$ we see that $L(x / d)^{8} \geq L(x)^{8} / d \geq y / d \geq L(x)^{2+\varepsilon / 2}>L(x / d)^{2}$. Thus, by (12) and (19) with $\delta=\varepsilon / 6$, we have

$$
S_{d} \leq S(x / d, y / d) \ll \frac{x y}{d^{7 / 4} y^{1 / 4}} L(x)^{1 / 2+\varepsilon / 6}+\frac{x y \log x}{d^{59 / 32} y^{5 / 32}}
$$

We sum this for $d \leq y / L(x)^{2+\varepsilon / 2}$, add in the sum of the estimate in (11) for larger $d$, and obtain from (7) that

$$
\frac{1}{y} S_{(x, y)} \ll \frac{x}{y^{1 / 4}} L(x)^{1 / 2+\varepsilon / 6}+\frac{x \log x}{y^{5 / 32}}+\frac{x}{y} L(x)^{2+\varepsilon / 2+\varepsilon / 6} .
$$

Note that the first term dominates the third in the given range for $y$. We now use (6) to complete the proof of the theorem.
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