

# REMARKS ON THE MIDDLE BINOMIAL COEFFICIENT

Carl Pomerance

Mathematics Department, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA  
carlp@math.dartmouth.edu

Received: , Revised: , Accepted: , Published:

## Abstract

We show that  $\binom{m+k}{k} \mid \binom{2m}{m}$  for all  $k \leq \exp(.8\sqrt{\log m})$  on a set of numbers  $m$  of asymptotic density 1. We also show that  $(m+1)(m+2)\dots(m+k)$  divides  $\binom{2m}{m}$  on a set of asymptotic density 1 for  $k$  as large as  $.7 \log m$ .

## 1. Introduction

In [4] I proved some elementary results about the middle binomial coefficient  $\binom{2m}{m}$ . In particular, Theorem 2 of that paper shows that for each fixed positive integer  $k$ , we have  $m+k \mid \binom{2m}{m}$  for a set of integers  $m$  of asymptotic density 1. Following the proof it is left as an exercise to show that the product  $(m+1)(m+2)\dots(m+k)$  divides  $\binom{2m}{m}$  on a set of asymptotic density 1. We prove the following strengthening.

**Theorem 1.** *For any fixed  $\eta < 1/\log 4 = .721\dots$ , we have for a set of integers  $m$  of asymptotic density 1 that*

$$\frac{(m+k)!}{m!} \mid \binom{2m}{m} \tag{1.1}$$

for all positive integers  $k \leq \eta \log m$ .

Replacing the product with  $\binom{m+k}{k}$ , we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *For  $m$  in a set of asymptotic density 1, we have*

$$\binom{m+k}{k} \mid \binom{2m}{m} \tag{1.2}$$

for all positive integers  $k \leq \exp(.8\sqrt{\log m})$ .

These theorems may be of interest in the context of recent work towards settling a problem of Erdős using AI.<sup>1</sup> One might compare this note with the write-up of Sothanaphan [6].

---

<sup>1</sup>See T. Tao, <https://mathstodon.xyz/@tao/115855840223258103>.

The paper [4] spawned some other work as well. Since  $m + 1$  always divides  $\binom{2m}{m}$  and  $m + k \mid \binom{2m}{m}$  almost always when  $k > 1$ , what about  $m \mid \binom{2m}{m}$ ? It was shown that the upper density of this set is  $\leq 1 - \log 2$  and conjectured that the density exists and is positive. Sanna [6] showed that the upper density of the set is smaller than  $1/4$ , while Ford and Konyagin [3] proved the conjecture, showing the density is slightly larger than  $1/11$ . In fact, they showed that for each fixed positive integer  $\ell$ , the density of the set of  $m$  with  $m^\ell \mid \binom{2m}{m}$  exists and is positive.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1**

We let  $p$  denote a prime variable, and we let  $v_p$  be the function which returns the exponent on  $p$  in the prime factorization of its argument.

**Lemma 1.** *Let*

$$\alpha_p(m) = \frac{v_p\left(\binom{2m}{m}\right)}{\log m / \log p}.$$

*For a set of integers  $m$  of asymptotic density 1 we have  $\alpha_2(m) = 1/2 + o(1)$ ,  $\alpha_3(m) \geq 34/81 + o(1)$ , and  $\alpha_p(m) \geq .39$  uniformly for all  $3 < p < 2 \log m$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $m$  has  $\lceil \log m / \log p \rceil + 1$  base- $p$  digits and we expect roughly half of these to be  $\geq p/2$ . More precisely, for  $p = 2$ , we expect half to be  $\geq p/2$  and for  $p$  odd we expect  $(p - 1)/2p$  of them to be  $\geq p/2$ . The probability of getting at most  $.4N$  heads when flipping a fair coin  $N$  times is

$$2^{-N} \sum_{k \leq .4N} \binom{N}{k} = e^{(-.4 \log .4 - .6 \log .6 + o(1))N} 2^{-N} < e^{-.02N}$$

for  $N$  large. For  $3 < p < 2 \log x$  a calculation using this probability shows that the number of  $m \leq x$  with fewer than  $.39 \log m / \log p$  base- $p$  digits at least  $p/2$  is  $O(x^{1-c/\log p})$ . Here  $c$  is a small positive constant. Summing this for  $p < 2 \log x$  we get an expression that is  $o(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . We can add to this exceptional set those  $m$  with  $\alpha_2(m) \leq 1/2 - \epsilon$  for any fixed positive  $\epsilon$ , with  $c$  now depending on  $\epsilon$ . For  $p = 3$  we consider the base-27 expansion of  $m$ , finding that the average number of base-3 carries in doubling a base-27 digit is  $34/27$ , so our assertion about  $\alpha_3(m)$  follows as well. □

If  $p > 2k$ , then  $v_p((m + k)!/m!) = \max\{v_p(m + i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ . As in [4], if this max is  $j$ , occurring at  $m + i_0$ , then the  $j$  least significant base- $p$  digits of  $m + i_0$  are 0, so the  $j$  least significant base- $p$  digits of  $m$  are  $p - i_0 \geq p - k > p/2$ , and so  $v_p\left(\binom{2m}{m}\right) \geq j = v_p((m + k)!/m!)$ . So assume that  $p \leq 2k$ .

**Lemma 2.** *For a set of integers  $m$  of asymptotic density 1 we have*

$$\max\{v_p(m+i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \leq 3 \frac{\log k}{\log p}$$

for all  $k$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \log m < k < \log m$  and for all  $p \leq 2k$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $x/\log x < m \leq x$ . If  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , the number of  $m \leq x$  with  $v_p(m+i) > 3 \log k / \log p$  is  $\leq x/k^3$ . Summing this for  $i \leq k$  and  $p \leq 2k$ , the count is  $\ll x/k = o(x)$ . Thus, but for these exceptional values of  $m$  we have the inequality in the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *For all integers  $m, k > 0$  and primes  $p$ , we have*

$$v_p \left( \frac{(m+k)!}{m!} \right) \leq v_p(k!) + \max\{v_p(m+i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that the max in the lemma is positive, say it first occurs at  $m+i_0$ . If  $p^j \mid m+i_0$ , then the number of multiples of  $p^j$  in  $\{m+1, \dots, m+k\} \setminus \{m+i_0\}$  is  $\leq \lceil k/p^j \rceil - 1 \leq \lfloor k/p^j \rfloor$ . Summing on  $j$  we have

$$v_p \left( \frac{(m+k)!}{m!} \right) \leq v_p(m+i_0) + \sum_{p^j \mid m+i_0} \lfloor k/p^j \rfloor \leq v_p(m+i_0) + v_p(k!),$$

which was to be proved.  $\square$

As a corollary, we have for all integers  $m, k > 0$  and primes  $p$ ,

$$v_p \left( \binom{m+k}{k} \right) \leq \max\{v_p(m+i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Using Lemmas 2, 3 we have on a set of integers  $m$  of density 1 and for  $p \leq 2k$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \log m < k < \log m$  that

$$v_p((m+k)!/m!) \leq v_p(k!) + \max\{v_p(m+i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} < \frac{k}{p-1} + 3 \frac{\log k}{\log p}.$$

For a fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\beta_2 = 1/2 - \epsilon$ ,  $\beta_3 = 34/81 - \epsilon$ , and  $\beta_p = .39$  for  $p > 3$ . It remains for us to find, in light of Lemma 1, how large we may take  $k$  so that

$$\frac{k}{p-1} + 3 \frac{\log k}{\log p} \leq \beta_p \frac{\log m}{\log p}$$

holds for all  $p \leq 2k$ . The most difficult value of  $p$  to accommodate is  $p = 2$  and we find that the inequality holds for  $k$  as large as  $(1/\log 4 - 2\epsilon) \log m$ .

**Remark.** It should be possible to show in Lemma 1 that  $\alpha_p \sim 1/2$  for all  $p \leq 2 \log x$ . A result in this direction is the theorem at the bottom of page 89 of [2]. The constant  $1/\log 4$  is optimal in that if  $k$  is slightly larger, the set of  $m$  where the divisibility holds does not have density 1. In fact, a somewhat larger constant times  $\log m$  for  $k$  would eliminate all examples, cf. [1].

**3. Proof of Theorem 2**

Let  $x$  be large,  $D = D_p = 1 + \lfloor \log x / \log p \rfloor$ , and  $K = \lfloor \exp(.8\sqrt{\log x}) \rfloor$ .

**Lemma 4.** *The number of integers  $m \leq x$  with  $v_p(\binom{2m}{m}) \leq D/\log D$  for some prime  $p \leq 2K$  is  $o(x)$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proof of Lemma 2 in [4], with some improvements. Let  $B = D/\log D$  and fix a prime  $p \leq 2K$ . The number of  $m \leq x$  with fewer than  $B$  base- $p$  digits  $\geq p/2$  is smaller than

$$\lfloor p/2 \rfloor^D \sum_{j < B} \binom{D}{j}.$$

Since  $B$  is small compared to  $D$ , the sum here is  $\ll \binom{D}{\lfloor B \rfloor}$ . A short calculation with Stirling's formula shows that this expression is  $\leq \exp(O(D \log \log D / \log D))$ , so  $\binom{D}{\lfloor B \rfloor} \leq x^{.01/\log p}$  for  $x$  larger than an absolute constant. Replacing  $\lfloor p/2 \rfloor$  with  $p/2$  creates an error smaller than  $x^{.01/\log p}$ , so our count is then at most

$$p^D 2^{-D} x^{.02/\log p} \leq x^{1-(2/3)/\log p}$$

for  $x$  large. Summing this for  $p \leq 2K$  we get  $\leq Kx^{1-(2/3)/\log(2K)}$  choices of  $m \leq x$  with  $v_p(\binom{2m}{m}) \leq B$ . Since this bound is  $o(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *The number of  $m \leq x$  such that for some  $p < 2K$ ,  $\max\{v_p(m+i) : 1 \leq i \leq K\} > D/\log D$  is  $o(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* The count in question is at most

$$\sum_{p < 2K} \sum_{i \leq K} x/p^{D/\log D} \leq K \sum_{p < 2K} x/p^{D/\log D} < K \sum_{p < 2K} x^{1-1/\log D},$$

since  $p^D > x$ . As in [4], the summand here is  $< Kx^{1-1/(1+\log \log x)}$ , so the count is at most  $K^2 x^{1-1/(1+\log \log x)}$ , which by our choice of  $K$  is  $o(x)$ .  $\square$

To prove the theorem we need to show that for most integers  $m$

$$v_p \left( \binom{m+k}{k} \right) \leq v_p \left( \binom{2m}{m} \right) \tag{3.1}$$

for all primes  $p$  and for all  $k \leq K$ . First assume that  $p > 2k$ . Then  $v_p \left( \binom{m+k}{k} \right) = v_p((m+k)!/m!)$ , so as before (3.1) holds. Thus, it suffices to consider the case that  $p \leq 2k$ . From Lemma 4 we may assume that  $v_p \left( \binom{2m}{m} \right) > D/\log D$  for all  $p \leq 2k$ . Using (2.1) and Lemma 5, we may assume that  $v_p \left( \binom{m+k}{k} \right) \leq D/\log D$ , so the desired inequality follows. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Remark.** The constant .8 in Theorem 2 may be replaced with any number  $< \sqrt{\log 2}$ , but beyond that we do not know of any improvements. But at least one can see that if (1.2) holds for asymptotically all  $m$ , then for any fixed  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $k \ll m^\epsilon$ . To see this, take a large prime  $p$  and consider integers  $m = p^d + c_{d-1}p^{d-1} + \cdots + c_0$ , where each  $c_i < p/2$ . Then  $p \nmid \binom{2m}{m}$ . Now take  $k = p$  so that  $p \mid (m+k)!/m!$ . The number of choices for  $m$  is  $> p^d/2^d$ , and each  $m \leq 2p^d$ . The proportion of such numbers  $m \leq 2p^d$  is  $\leq 2^{1-d}$ .

### Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Boris Alexeev, Paul Pollack, Nat Sothanaphan, and Terry Tao for helpful comments, pointing out errors, and encouragement.

### References

- [1] P. Erdős, *Aufgabe 557*, Elem. Math. **23** (1968), 111–113.
- [2] P. Erdős, R. L. Graham, I. Ruzsa, and E. G. Straus, On the prime factors of  $\binom{2n}{n}$ , Collection of articles in honor of Derrick Henry Lehmer on the occasion of his seventieth birthday, *Math. Comp.* **29** (1975), 83–92.
- [3] K. Ford and S. Konyagin, Divisibility of the central binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **374** (2021), 923–953.
- [4] C. Pomerance, Divisors of the middle binomial coefficient, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **122** (2015), 636–644.
- [5] C. Sanna, Central binomial coefficients divisible by or coprime to their indices, *Int. J. Number Theory* **14** (2018), 1135–1141.
- [6] N. Sothanaphan, Resolution of Erdős Problem #728: a writeup of Aristotle’s Lean proof, arXiv:2601.07421v4.