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#### Abstract

We study some numbers which have a definition similar to the Catalan numbers.


## 1 Introduction

Let $C(n)$ denote the $n$th Catalan number, defined as

$$
C(n)=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}
$$

There are numerous combinatorial applications of the Catalan numbers, see Stanley [5], and from any one of these we see that $C(n)$ is an integer, being the solution to a counting problem. From a number-theoretic perspective, one can ask for a direct proof of the integrality of $C(n)$. Of course, this is not difficult, perhaps the easiest way to see it is via the identity

$$
C(n)=\binom{2 n}{n}-\binom{2 n}{n-1}
$$

But this raises the further issue of why the binomial coefficients are themselves integers, which is perhaps not immediately obvious from the formula

$$
\binom{m}{k}=\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} .
$$

For a prime $p$ and a positive integer $m$, let $v_{p}(m)$ denote the number of factors of $p$ in the prime factorization of $m$. For example, $v_{2}(10)=1$, $v_{2}(11)=0$, and $v_{2}(12)=2$. This function can be extended to positive rational numbers via $v_{p}(a / b)=v_{p}(a)-v_{p}(b)$. Thus, $a / b$ is integral if and only if $v_{p}(a / b) \geq 0$ for all primes $p$.

It is easy to see that

$$
v_{p}(m!)=\sum_{k \leq m} v_{p}(k)=\sum_{k \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq v_{p}(k)} 1=\sum_{\substack{ \\j \geq 1}} \sum_{\substack{k \leq m \\ p^{j} \mid k}} 1=\sum_{j \geq 1}\left\lfloor\frac{m}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor,
$$

since $\left\lfloor m / p^{j}\right\rfloor$ is the number of multiples of $p^{j}$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. This result, sometimes referred to as Legendre's formula, is of course well known in elementary number theory, as well as the almost trivial inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lfloor x+y\rfloor \geq\lfloor x\rfloor+\lfloor y\rfloor \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all real numbers $x, y$. From these two results it is immediate that $\binom{m}{k}$ is an integer, since for each prime $p$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p}\left(\binom{m}{k}\right)=\sum_{j \geq 0}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{k}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{m-k}{p^{j}}\right\rfloor\right) \geq \sum_{j \geq 0} 0=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is another consequence of this line of thinking. For a real number $x$ let $\{x\}=x-\lfloor x\rfloor$, the fractional part of $x$. The inequality (1) can be improved to an equation:

$$
\lfloor x+y\rfloor-\lfloor x\rfloor-\lfloor y\rfloor=\{x\}+\{y\}-\{x+y\}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }\{x\}+\{y\} \geq 1 \\ 0, & \text { if }\{x\}+\{y\}<1\end{cases}
$$

So by (2), $v_{p}\left(\binom{m}{k}\right)$ is exactly the number of values of $j$ such that $\left\{k / p^{j}\right\}+$ $\left\{(m-k) / p^{j}\right\} \geq 1$. Let's write $k$ and $m-k$ in the base $p$, so that

$$
k=a_{0}+a_{1} p+\ldots, \quad m-k=b_{0}+b_{1} p+\ldots,
$$

where the "digits" $a_{i}, b_{i}$ are integers in the range 0 to $p-1$. For $j \geq 1$,

$$
\left\{\frac{k}{p^{j}}\right\}=\frac{a_{0}+a_{1} p+\cdots+a_{j-1} p^{j-1}}{p^{j}},\left\{\frac{m-k}{p^{j}}\right\}=\frac{b_{0}+b_{1} p+\cdots+b_{j-1} p^{j-1}}{p^{j}},
$$

and so we see that $\left\{k / p^{j}\right\}+\left\{(m-k) / p^{j}\right\} \geq 1$ if and only if in the addition of $k$ and $m-k$ in the base $p$ there is a carry into place $j$ caused by the earlier digits.

We thus have the remarkable result of Kummer from 1852: For each prime $p$ and integers $0 \leq k \leq m$, $v_{p}\left(\binom{m}{k}\right)$ is the number of carries in the addition $k+(m-k)=m$ when done in the base $p$.

An immediate consequence of Kummer's theorem is that the $n$th Catalan number $C(n)$ is an integer. Indeed, say $p$ is a prime and $v_{p}(n+1)=j$. Then the least significant $j$ digits in base $p$ of $n+1$ are all 0 , so the least significant $j$ digits in base $p$ of $n$ are all $p-1$. Thus, in the addition $n+n=2 n$ performed in the base $p$, we have $j$ carries from the least significant $j$ digits, and perhaps some other carries as well. So we have $\left.v_{p}\binom{2 n}{n}\right) \geq j$. Since this is true for all primes $p$, we have $n+1 \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 n}{n}\right.$ and so $C(n)=\binom{2 n}{n} /(n+1)$ is an integer.

This of course is not the easiest approach to seeing that $C(n)$ is an integer, but the proof "has legs", meaning that it can be used to see some related interesting results. One might wonder if other numbers near to $n+1$ can divide $\binom{2 n}{n}$. In particular, one could ask if the numbers $\binom{2 n}{n} /(n+k)$ are integral for a fixed value of $k \neq 1$. We show that in no case are they always integral, but for $k>0$, they usually are.
Theorem 1. For each integer $k \neq 1$ there are infinitely many positive integers $n$ with $n+k \nmid\binom{2 n}{n}$.

Thus, the case $k=1$ of Catalan numbers is indeed special. However, the set of numbers $n$ satisfying the condition of Theorem 1 when $k \geq 2$ is rather sparse. To measure how dense or sparse a set $S$ of positive integers is, let $S(x)$ denote the number of members of $S$ in $[1, x]$. Then the "asymptotic density" of $S$ is $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} S(x) / x$ if this limit exists. In general, the limsup gives the upper density of $S$ and the liminf the lower asymptotic density. For example, the set of odd numbers has asymptotic density $\frac{1}{2}$, the set of prime numbers has asymptotic density 0 , and the set of numbers which have an even number of decimal digits does not have an asymptotic density.

Theorem 2. For each positive integer $k$, the set of positive integers $n$ with

$$
(n+1)(n+2) \cdots(n+k) \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 n}{n}\right.
$$

has asymptotic density 1 .

So it is common for $n+k$ to divide $\binom{2 n}{n}$, but what about $n-k$ ?
Theorem 3. For each non-negative integer $k$ the set of integers $n>k$ with $n-k \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 n}{n}\right.$ is infinite, but has upper asymptotic density smaller than $\frac{1}{3}$.

Theorems 1 and 3 in the case $k=0$ might be compared with Ulas [6, Theorems 3.2, 3.4].

For other problems and results concerning divisibility properties of binomial coefficients, the reader is referred to $[1,4]$.

## 2 Proofs

There is a quick proof of Theorem 1. First assume that $k \geq 2$. Let $p$ be a prime factor of $k$ and let $n=p^{j}-k$ where $j$ is large enough so that $n>0$. Then $n$ in base $p$ has at most $j$ digits and the least significant digit of $n$ is 0 . Hence there are at most $j-1$ carries when adding $n$ to $n$ and hence $n+k=p^{j} \nmid\binom{2 n}{n}$. For $k \leq 0$, let $p>2|k|$ be an odd prime number. For $n=p+|k|$, we have that there are no carries when $n$ is added to itself in the base $p$, so that $p \nmid\binom{2 n}{n}$. But $p=n+k$, so we are done.

The proof of Theorem 2 is a bit more difficult. We begin with a lemma.
Lemma 1. For each prime $p$ and all real numbers $x \geq 2$, the number of integers $1 \leq n \leq x$ with $p \nmid\binom{2 n}{n}$ is at most $p x^{1-\log (3 / 2) / \log p}$.

Proof. If $p \nmid\binom{2 n}{n}$, then by Kummer's theorem, every base- $p$ digit of $n$ is smaller than $p / 2$. (In particular, this implies that $\binom{2 n}{n}$ is always divisible by 2.) Let $D=\lfloor 1+\log x / \log p\rfloor$, so that if $1 \leq n \leq x$ is an integer, then $n$ has at most $D$ base- $p$ digits. If we restrict these digits so that they are all smaller than $p / 2$, we thus have $\lceil p / 2\rceil$ choices in each place. Thus, the number of choices for $n$ is at most $\lceil p / 2\rceil^{D}$. It remains to note that $\lceil p / 2\rceil \leq \frac{2}{3} p$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lceil p / 2\rceil^{D} \leq\left(\frac{2}{3} p\right)^{D}<p x\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\log x / \log p}=p x^{1-\log (3 / 2) / \log p} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the quotient $p x^{1-\log (3 / 2) / \log p} / x$ tends to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (with $p$ fixed), Lemma 1 shows that for a given prime $p$, we usually have $p \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 n}{n}\right.$, in that the set of such numbers $n$ has asymptotic density 1 . We now wish to strengthen this lemma to show that $p^{j}$ usually divides $\binom{2 n}{n}$ for fairly large values of $j$.

Lemma 2. Let $p$ be an arbitrary prime, let $x \geq p$ be a real number, and let $D=\lfloor 1+\log x / \log p\rfloor$. The number of integers $1 \leq n \leq x$ with $v_{p}\left(\binom{2 n}{n}\right) \leq$ $D /(5 \log D)$ is at most $3 p x^{1-1 /(5 \log p)}$.

Proof. The calculation here is similar to the one in probability where you compute the chance that a coin flipped $D$ times lands heads fairly frequently. We consider the number of assignments of $D$ base- $p$ digits where all but at $\operatorname{most} B:=\lfloor D /(5 \log D)\rfloor$ of them are smaller than $p / 2$. Since there are $\lceil p / 2\rceil$ integers in $[0, p / 2)$, the number of assignments is at most

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{B}\binom{D}{j}(\lceil p / 2\rceil)^{D-j}(p-\lceil p / 2\rceil)^{j} & =\sum_{j=0}^{B}\binom{D}{J}(\lceil p / 2\rceil)^{D}\left(\frac{p-\lceil p / 2\rceil}{\lceil p / 2\rceil}\right)^{j} \\
& \leq(\lceil p / 2\rceil)^{D} \sum_{j=0}^{B}\binom{D}{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

A crude estimation using $D \geq 2$ gets us

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{B}\binom{D}{j} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{B} D^{j}<2 D^{B}
$$

and using the definition of $B$, we have

$$
2 D^{B}=2 e^{B \log D} \leq 2 e^{D / 5} \leq 2 e^{1 / 5} x^{1 /(5 \log p)}
$$

Using the inequality in (3), we conclude that the number of $n \leq x$ with $v_{p}\left(\binom{2 n}{n}\right) \leq B$ is at most

$$
2(\lceil p / 2\rceil)^{D} D^{B} \leq 2 e^{1 / 5} p x^{1-\log (3 / 2) / \log p+1 /(5 \log p)}
$$

Since $2 e^{1 / 5}<3$ and $\log (3 / 2)>2 / 5$, the lemma follows at once.
We are now ready to prove Theorem 2. Fix a value of $k \geq 1$. For a positive integer $n$ and a prime $p$, let

$$
v:=v_{p}((n+1)(n+2) \cdots(n+k))
$$

First note that for each prime $p \geq 2 k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p}\left(\binom{2 n}{n}\right) \geq v \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since $p$ divides at most one of $n+1, n+2, \ldots, n+k$, if $v>0$, there is a unique positive integer $j \leq k$ with $v_{p}(n+j)=v$. And since the $v$ least significant digits of $n+j$ in base $p$ are 0 , the $v$ least significant digits of $n$ are at least $p-k \geq p / 2$. So by Kummer's theorem, $p^{v} \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 n}{n}\right.$. (Note that this argument is essentially a reprise of the proof given in the Introduction that Catalan numbers are integers.)

It remains to show that (4) holds for all primes $p<2 k$, and for "most" integers $n$. Let $x \geq 2 k$ be a real number and assume that we are considering values of $n \leq x$. With $D$ as in Lemma 2, we consider two cases: $v \leq$ $D /(5 \log D)$ and $v>D /(5 \log D)$. In the first case, by Lemma 2 , the number of $n$ with $v_{p}\left(\binom{2 n}{n}\right)<v$ is at most $3 p x^{1-1 /(5 \log p)}$. Summing this expression for primes $p<2 k$ gives a quantity smaller than $6 k^{2} x^{1-1 /(5 \log (2 k))}$. Divided by $x$, this expression tends to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$, so we are left with the second case when $v>D /(5 \log D)$. We shall show in this case that there are very few values of $n$ to consider, regardless if (4) holds. Let

$$
v^{\prime}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq k} v_{p}(n+i),
$$

and note that (as in the proof Legendre's formula)

$$
v-v^{\prime}<\frac{k}{p}+\frac{k}{p^{2}}+\cdots=\frac{k}{p-1} \leq k
$$

For each value of $i$ in $[1, k]$ we consider those $n \leq x$ with $p^{v^{\prime}} \mid n+i$. The number of them is at most $(x+i) / p^{v^{\prime}} \leq 2 x / p^{v^{\prime}}$. Since there are $k$ possibilities for $i$, the number of choices for $n \leq x$ is at most

$$
k \frac{2 x}{p^{v^{\prime}}} \leq 2 k \frac{x}{p^{v-k}}<2 k p^{k} \frac{x}{p^{D /(5 \log D)}} \leq 2 k p^{k} x^{1-1 /(5 \log D)}
$$

Now $\log D \leq \log (1+\log x / \log 2)$, call this expression $L(x)$. Summing for $p<2 k$, we get that the number of choices for $n$ is at most $k^{2}(2 k)^{k} x^{1-1 /(5 L(x))}$. When divided by $x$, this too goes to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

We remark that the proof allows for $k$ to also tend to infinity, provided it does not do so too quickly in comparison with $x$.

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that $k \geq 0, n$ is in ( $k, x], x>2 k^{2}$, and $p$ is the largest prime factor of $n-k$. Suppose too that $p>\sqrt{2 x}$. If $n-k=c p$, then $n=c p+k$ and this is the base- $p$ representation
of $n$. Since $x>2 k^{2}$, the digit $k$ of $n$ is smaller than $\sqrt{x / 2}<\frac{1}{2} p$. Further, $c \leq x / p<\sqrt{x / 2}<\frac{1}{2} p$. Thus, there are no carries when adding $n$ to itself in base $p$, so that $p \nmid\binom{2 n}{n}$, and so $n-k \nmid\binom{2 n}{n}$.

We now show that there are many values of $n$ in $(k, x]$ such that $n-k$ has a prime factor $p>\sqrt{2 x}$, in fact more than $\frac{2}{3} x$ of them. For each prime $p$ satisfying this inequality and $x>2 k^{2}$, we count numbers $n$ in $(k, x]$ with $p \mid n-k$, and this is $\lfloor(x-k) / p\rfloor \geq\lfloor x / p\rfloor-1$. No choice of $n$ corresponds to two different values of $p$, since their product would be too large to have $n \leq x$. Thus, the number of choices for $n$ with $k<n \leq x$ and $n-k \nmid\binom{2 n}{n}$ is at least

$$
\sum_{\sqrt{2 x}<p \leq x}(\lfloor x / p\rfloor-1) .
$$

Using $\lfloor x / p\rfloor>x / p-1$, this count exceeds

$$
x \sum_{\sqrt{2 x}<p \leq x} \frac{1}{p}-2 \pi(x),
$$

where $\pi(x)$ denotes the number of primes in $[1, x]$. Euler proved long ago in 1737 that the sum of the reciprocals of the primes diverges to infinity like the double-log function, and using a more modern estimation (such as the theorem of Mertens from 1874), we have

$$
\sum_{\sqrt{2 x}<p \leq x} \frac{1}{p}=\log \log x-\log \log (\sqrt{2 x})+E(x)
$$

where $E(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, see $[2,3]$. The difference of double logs simplifies to

$$
\log 2+\log \left(\frac{\log x}{\log (2 x)}\right)
$$

which tends to $\log 2$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. As mentioned before, the set of primes has asymptotic density 0 , in fact $\pi(x) / x$ goes to 0 like $1 / \log x$ by the prime number theorem. Putting these thoughts together, it follows that for each $\epsilon>0$ and $x$ sufficiently large, there are more than $(\log 2-\epsilon) x$ values of $n$ with $k<n \leq x$ and $n-k \nmid\binom{2 n}{n}$. Since $\log 2>0.6931>\frac{2}{3}$, the second part of Theorem 3 follows.

To complete the proof, we wish to show there are infinitely many values of $n$ where $n-k \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 n}{n}\right.$. We leave the few details for the reader, but using

Kummer's theorem, we have that if $n=p q+k$ where $p, q$ are primes with $k<p$ and $\frac{3}{2} p<q<2 p$, then $n-k \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 n}{n}\right.$. The number of such numbers $n \leq x$ is greater than a positive constant times $x /(\log x)^{2}$.

It is not clear if the numbers $n$ with $n-k \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 n}{n}\right.$ comprise a set of positive density. Perhaps some numerical investigations are warranted; the case $k=0$ is especially attractive.

## 3 Odd rows of Pascal's triangle

One might wonder what the fuss is about the middle entry $\binom{2 n}{n}$ in the $2 n$th row of Pascal's triangle. What about odd-numbered rows, where there are the twin peaks $\binom{2 n+1}{n}=\binom{2 n+1}{n+1}$ ? It is easy to prove corresponding results on divisibility here. For example, for $k \geq 2,(n+2)(n+3) \ldots(n+k)$ usually divides $\binom{2 n+1}{n}$.

Looking at just the case $k=2$, we have a near miss for $n+2$ always dividing $\binom{2 n+1}{n}$. In fact, unless $n+2$ is a power of 2 , it divides, and even in this case, it divides $2\binom{2 n+1}{n}$. Since there is a tie for the maximum entry in this row of Pascal's triangle, it makes sense to include both of them, and as mentioned we always have

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
n+2 & 2\binom{2 n+1}{n} .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, we might wonder, like with Catalan numbers, if the integer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{n+2}\binom{2 n+1}{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has combinatorial significance. Indeed it does. We know that the Catalan number $C(n)$ counts the number of paths from $(0,0)$ to $(n, n)$ that do not cross below the line $y=x$, and where each step of the path is one unit to the right or one unit up. The number in (5) is similar, but now we are counting paths from $(0,0)$ to $(n, n+1)$, a so-called ballot number. We have come full circle back to combinatorial considerations.

Acknowledgments. I wish to thank Sergi Elizalde, Florian Luca, and Paul Pollack for some helpful comments.

## References

[1] A. Granville, "Arithmetic properties of binomial coefficients. I. Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers", in Organic mathematics (Burnaby, BC, 1995), pp. 253-276, CMS Conf. Proc., 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
[2] P. Pollack, Not always buried deep, a second course in elementary number theory, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
[3] P. Pollack, "Euler and partial sums of the prime harmonic series", Elem. Math., to appear.
[4] J. W. Sander, "A story of binomial coefficients and primes", Amer. Math. Monthly 102 (1995), 802-807.
[5] R. P. Stanley, Enumerative combinatorics, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
[6] M. Ulas, "A note on Erdős-Straus and Erdős-Graham divisibility problems (with an appendix by Andrzej Schinzel)", Int. J. Number Theory 9 (2013), 583-599.

