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Euler's $\varphi$-function is quite chaotic:

| $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 12 | 31 | 30 |
| 2 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 22 | 10 | 32 | 16 |
| 3 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 23 | 22 | 33 | 20 |
| 4 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 24 | 8 | 34 | 16 |
| 5 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 25 | 20 | 35 | 24 |
| 6 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 26 | 12 | 36 | 12 |
| 7 | 6 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 18 | 37 | 36 |
| 8 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 28 | 12 | 38 | 18 |
| 9 | 6 | 19 | 18 | 29 | 28 | 39 | 24 |
| 10 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 30 | 8 | 40 | 16 |

But already we can see some patterns:

| $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 12 | 31 | 30 |
| 2 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 22 | 10 | 32 | 16 |
| 3 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 23 | 22 | 33 | 20 |
| 4 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 24 | 8 | 34 | 16 |
| 5 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 25 | 20 | 35 | 24 |
| 6 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 26 | 12 | 36 | 12 |
| 7 | 6 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 18 | 37 | 36 |
| 8 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 28 | 12 | 38 | 18 |
| 9 | 6 | 19 | 18 | 29 | 28 | 39 | 24 |
| 10 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 30 | 8 | 40 | 16 |

The $\varphi$-function is monotone on the primes.

And it is trivially monotone where it is constant:

| $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 12 | 31 | 30 |
| 2 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 22 | 10 | 32 | 16 |
| 3 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 23 | 22 | 33 | 20 |
| 4 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 24 | 8 | 34 | 16 |
| 5 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 25 | 20 | 35 | 24 |
| 6 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 26 | 12 | 36 | 12 |
| 7 | 6 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 18 | 37 | 36 |
| 8 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 28 | 12 | 38 | 18 |
| 9 | 6 | 19 | 18 | 29 | 28 | 39 | 24 |
| 10 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 30 | 8 | 40 | 16 |

Define $M^{\uparrow}(n)$ to be the size of the largest subset $S$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ on which $\varphi$ is nondecreasing.

Similarly define $M^{\downarrow}(n)$ to be the size of the largest subset $S$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ on which $\varphi$ is nonincreasing.

Then $M^{\downarrow}(40)=6$, since we can take the five 8 's, which start at $\varphi(15)=8$, preceded either by $\varphi(11)=10$ or $\varphi(13)=12$.

Another down sequence has $n$ starting with 4 prime powers: $23,25,27,32,34,40$. And it can be continued to a 7 th term at 42.

We can do better than the 12 primes for $M^{\uparrow}(40) \ldots$

In fact $M^{\uparrow}(40)=19$ :

| $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ | $n$ | $\varphi(n)$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 12 | 31 | 30 |
| 2 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 22 | 10 | 32 | 16 |
| 3 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 23 | 22 | 33 | 20 |
| 4 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 24 | 8 | 34 | 16 |
| 5 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 25 | 20 | 35 | 24 |
| 6 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 26 | 12 | 36 | 12 |
| 7 | 6 | 17 | 16 | 27 | 18 | 37 | 36 |
| 8 | 4 | 18 | 6 | 28 | 12 | 38 | 18 |
| 9 | 6 | 19 | 18 | 29 | 28 | 39 | 24 |
| 10 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 30 | 8 | 40 | 16 |

Two years ago at this conference, I posed several problems:
Do we have $M^{\uparrow}(n)=o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?
Do we have $M^{\uparrow}(n)-\pi(n)$ unbounded?
Do we have $M^{\downarrow}(n)=o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?
Let $C(n)$ denote the size of the largest subset of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ on which $\varphi$ is constant. We know that $C(n)>n^{0.7}$ for all large $n$, and $C(n)>n^{1-\epsilon}$ is conjectured. Here's a new question:

Do we have $M^{\downarrow}(n)-C(n)$ unbounded?

We can answer 3 of these 4 questions:
Pollack, P, \& Treviño (2011): For each fixed $\epsilon>0$ and $n$ sufficiently large, $M^{\uparrow}(n)<n /(\log n)^{1-\epsilon}$.

Pollack, P, \& Treviño (2011): For each fixed $\epsilon>0$ and $n$ sufficiently large, $M^{\downarrow}(n)<n / \exp \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon\right) \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}\right)$.

Pollack, P, \& Treviño (2011): For $n$ sufficiently large, $M^{\downarrow}(n)-C(n)>n^{0.13}$.

Still unsolved:

Is $M^{\uparrow}(n)-\pi(n)$ unbounded?

We have some numerical evidence that perhaps for all large $n$ we have $M^{\uparrow}(n)-\pi(n)=64$. It is true for $n=31,957$ and it continues to hold for all larger values up to $10,000,000$.

A related problem which may be easier: Is there is a constant $c$ such that if $\varphi$ is monotone on $S \subset[1, n]$, then

$$
\sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n} \leq \log \log n+c ?
$$

We cannot do this one either.

Some ideas involved in the proofs.
Let $W(n)$ denote the size of the set $\{\varphi(1), \varphi(2), \ldots, \varphi(n)\}$.
That is, $W(n)$ is the number of distinct values of $\varphi$ restricted to $[1, n]$. It has been known since Erdős in 1935 that $W(n) \leq n /(\log n)^{1-\epsilon}$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Thus, if $M^{\uparrow}(n)$ is considerably bigger than $W(n)$, there would be many solution pairs $a, k$ to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(a)=\varphi(a+k), \quad \text { with } \quad a+k \leq n, \quad k<\log n . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a paper from 1999 of Graham, Holt, \& $P$ it is shown that $\varphi(a)=\varphi(a+k)$ has few solutions in $[1, n]$ for fixed $k$. What we had to do was to make this result uniform in $k$ up to $\log n$ so that we could bound the number of solutions in (1).

This proof works for $M^{\downarrow}(n)$ as well, but we can do better by a simpler argument: Get a good estimate for the number of integers in a down sequence with a given number of prime factors, starting from the observation that the case of 1 prime factor is very easy, and using induction. (E.g., note that the down sequence $23,25,27,32$ of prime powers has increasing exponents.)

We remark that while sets where $\varphi$ is constant also count as monotone nondecreasing, they don't compete with the primes. We know from work of Erdős, as improved by P, that

$$
C(n) \leq n^{1-(1-\epsilon) \log \log \log n / \log \log n}
$$

so that $C(n)$ is tiny compared to $\pi(n)$. Though we can prove that $M^{\downarrow}(n)-C(n)$ tends to infinity, it may be that $M^{\downarrow}(n) \sim C(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

I close with one final result from our paper: The maximum size of a set of consecutive integers in $[1, n]$ for which $\varphi$ is nondecreasing is

$$
\frac{\log _{3} n}{\log _{6} n}+(c+o(1)) \frac{\log _{3} n}{\left(\log _{6} n\right)^{2}}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\log _{k}$ is the $k$-fold iterated logarithm, and $c=0.0028428289 \ldots$ is a constant. The same holds for nonincreasing.

The proof borrows from a similar result of Erdős. The details are in the paper, plus several other results and problems.
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