

# The ranges of some familiar functions

CRM Workshop on  
New approaches in probabilistic and  
multiplicative number theory  
December 8–12, 2014

Carl Pomerance, Dartmouth College  
(U. Georgia, emeritus)

Let us introduce our cast of characters:  $\varphi, \lambda, \sigma, s$

- **Euler's** function:  $\varphi(n)$  is the cardinality of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .
- **Carmichael's** function:  $\lambda(n)$  is the exponent of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .
- $\sigma$ : the sum-of-divisors function.
- $s(n) = \sigma(n) - n$ : the sum-of-proper-divisors function.

The oldest of these functions is  $s(n) = \sigma(n) - n$ , going back to [Pythagoras](#). He was interested in fixed points ( $s(n) = n$ ) and 2-cycles ( $s(n) = m, s(m) = n$ ) in the dynamical system given by iterating  $s$ .

Very little is known after millennia of study, but we do know that the number of  $n$  to  $x$  with  $s(n) = n$  is at most  $x^\epsilon$  ([Hornfeck & Wirsing](#), 1957) and that the number of  $n$  to  $x$  with  $n$  in a 2-cycle is at most  $x / \exp((\log x)^{1/2})$  for  $x$  large ([P](#), 2014).

The study of the comparison of  $s(n)$  to  $n$  led to the theorems of [Schoenberg](#), [Davenport](#), and [Erdős & Wintner](#) and the birth of probabilistic number theory.

**Erdős** was the first to consider the set of values of  $s(n)$ . Note that if  $p \neq q$  are primes, then  $s(pq) = p + q + 1$ , so that:

*All even integers at least 8 are the sum of 2 unequal primes,*

implies

*All odd numbers at least 9 are values of  $s$ .*

Also,  $s(2) = 1$ ,  $s(4) = 3$ , and  $s(8) = 7$ , so presumably the only odd number that's not an  $s$ -value is 5. It's known that this slightly stronger form of **Goldbach** is almost true in that the set of evens not so representable as  $p + q$  has density 0.

Thus: *the image of  $s$  contains almost all odd numbers.*

But what of even numbers? Erdős (1973): *There is a positive proportion of even numbers missing from the image of  $s$ .*

But what of even numbers? Erdős (1973): *There is a positive proportion of even numbers missing from the image of  $s$ .*

Y.-G. Chen & Q.-Q. Zhao (2011): *At least  $(0.06 + o(1))x$  even numbers in  $[1, x]$  are not of the form  $s(n)$ .*

P & H.-S. Yang (2014): *Computationally it is appearing that about  $\frac{1}{6}x$  even numbers to  $x$  are not of the form  $s(n)$ .*

But what of even numbers? Erdős (1973): *There is a positive proportion of even numbers missing from the image of  $s$ .*

Y.-G. Chen & Q.-Q. Zhao (2011): *At least  $(0.06 + o(1))x$  even numbers in  $[1, x]$  are not of the form  $s(n)$ .*

P & H.-S. Yang (2014): *Computationally it is appearing that about  $\frac{1}{6}x$  even numbers to  $x$  are not of the form  $s(n)$ .*

Unsolved: Does the image of  $s$  have an asymptotic density?  
Does the image of  $s$  contain a positive proportion of even numbers?

But what of even numbers? Erdős (1973): *There is a positive proportion of even numbers missing from the image of  $s$ .*

Y.-G. Chen & Q.-Q. Zhao (2011): *At least  $(0.06 + o(1))x$  even numbers in  $[1, x]$  are not of the form  $s(n)$ .*

P & H.-S. Yang (2014): *Computationally it is appearing that about  $\frac{1}{6}x$  even numbers to  $x$  are not of the form  $s(n)$ .*

Unsolved: Does the image of  $s$  have an asymptotic density?  
Does the image of  $s$  contain a positive proportion of even numbers?

Late breaking news: Yes to the second question. (Luca & P, 2014)



The set of values of  $\varphi$  was first considered by Pillai (1929):

*The number  $V_\varphi(x)$  of  $\varphi$ -values in  $[1, x]$  is  $O(x/(\log x)^c)$ , where  $c = \frac{1}{e} \log 2 = 0.254 \dots$*

Pillai's idea: There are not many values  $\varphi(n)$  when  $n$  has few prime factors, and if  $n$  has more than a few prime factors, then  $\varphi(n)$  is divisible by a high power of 2.

The set of values of  $\varphi$  was first considered by [Pillai](#) (1929):

*The number  $V_\varphi(x)$  of  $\varphi$ -values in  $[1, x]$  is  $O(x/(\log x)^c)$ , where  $c = \frac{1}{e} \log 2 = 0.254 \dots$ .*

[Pillai's](#) idea: There are not many values  $\varphi(n)$  when  $n$  has few prime factors, and if  $n$  has more than a few prime factors, then  $\varphi(n)$  is divisible by a high power of 2.

[Erdős](#) (1935):  $V_\varphi(x) = x/(\log x)^{1+o(1)}$ .

[Erdős's](#) idea: Deal with  $\Omega(\varphi(n))$  (the total number of prime factors of  $\varphi(n)$ , with multiplicity). This paper was seminal for the various ideas introduced. For example, the proof of the infinitude of [Carmichael](#) numbers owes much to this paper.

Again:  $V_\varphi(x) = x/(\log x)^{1+o(1)}$ .

But: A great deal of info may be lurking in that “ $o(1)$ ”.

After work of [Erdős & Hall](#), [Maier & P](#), and [Ford](#), we now know that  $V_\varphi(x)$  is of magnitude

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \exp\left(A(\log_3 x - \log_4 x)^2 + B \log_3 x + C \log_4 x\right),$$

where  $\log_k$  is the  $k$ -fold iterated log, and  $A, B, C$  are explicit constants.

Unsolved: Is there an asymptotic formula for  $V_\varphi(x)$ ?

Do we have  $V_\varphi(2x) - V_\varphi(x) \sim V_\varphi(x)$ ?

(From [Ford](#) we have  $V_\varphi(2x) - V_\varphi(x) \asymp V_\varphi(x)$ .)

The same results and unsolved problems pertain as well for the image of  $\sigma$ .

In 1959, Erdős conjectured that the image of  $\sigma$  and the image of  $\varphi$  has an infinite intersection; that is, there are infinitely many pairs  $m, n$  with

$$\sigma(m) = \varphi(n).$$

It is amazing how many famous conjectures imply that the answer is yes!

Yes, if there are infinitely many twin primes:

If  $p, p + 2$  are both prime, then

$$\varphi(p + 2) = p + 1 = \sigma(p).$$

Yes, if there are infinitely many twin primes:

If  $p, p + 2$  are both prime, then

$$\varphi(p + 2) = p + 1 = \sigma(p).$$

Yes, if there are infinitely many Mersenne primes:

If  $2^p - 1$  is prime, then

$$\varphi(2^{p+1}) = 2^p = \sigma(2^p - 1).$$

Yes, if there are infinitely many twin primes:

If  $p, p + 2$  are both prime, then

$$\varphi(p + 2) = p + 1 = \sigma(p).$$

Yes, if there are infinitely many Mersenne primes:

If  $2^p - 1$  is prime, then

$$\varphi(2^{p+1}) = 2^p = \sigma(2^p - 1).$$

Yes, if the Extended Riemann Hypothesis holds.

It would seem a promising strategy to prove that there are at most finitely many solutions to  $\sigma(m) = \varphi(n)$ ; it has some fantastic corollaries!



It would seem a promising strategy to prove that there are at most finitely many solutions to  $\sigma(m) = \varphi(n)$ ; it has some fantastic corollaries!

However, [Ford, Luca, & P](#) (2010): There are indeed infinitely many solutions to  $\sigma(m) = \varphi(n)$ .

We gave several proofs, but one proof uses a conditional result of [Heath-Brown](#): *If there are infinitely many Siegel zeros, then there are infinitely many twin primes.*

Some further results:

**Garaev (2011)**: *For each fixed number  $a$ , the number  $V_{\varphi,\sigma}(x)$  of common values of  $\varphi$  and  $\sigma$  in  $[1, x]$  exceeds  $\exp((\log \log x)^a)$  for  $x$  sufficiently large.*

**Ford & Pollack (2011)**: *Assuming a strong form of the prime  $k$ -tuples conjecture,  $V_{\varphi,\sigma}(x) = x/(\log x)^{1+o(1)}$ .*

**Ford & Pollack (2012)**: *Most values of  $\varphi$  are not values of  $\sigma$  and vice versa.*

The situation for [Carmichael's](#) function  $\lambda$  has only recently become clearer. Recall that  $\lambda(p^a) = \varphi(p^a)$  unless  $p = 2, a \geq 3$ , when  $\lambda(2^a) = 2^{a-2}$ , and that

$$\lambda([m, n]) = [\lambda(m), \lambda(n)].$$

It is easy to see that the image of  $\varphi$  has density 0, just playing with powers of 2 as did [Pillai](#). But what can be done with  $\lambda$ ? It's not even obvious that  $\lambda$ -values that are 2 mod 4 have density 0.

The solution lies in the “anatomy of integers” and in particular of shifted primes. It is known ([Erdős & Wagstaff](#)) that most numbers do not have a large divisor of the form  $p - 1$  with  $p$  prime. But a  $\lambda$ -value has such a large divisor or it is “smooth” (aka “friable”), so in either case, there are not many of them.

Using these thoughts, Erdős, P, & Schmutz (1991): *There is a positive constant  $c$  such that  $V_\lambda(x)$ , the number of  $\lambda$ -values in  $[1, x]$ , is  $O(x/(\log x)^c)$ .*

Using these thoughts, Erdős, P, & Schmutz (1991): *There is a positive constant  $c$  such that  $V_\lambda(x)$ , the number of  $\lambda$ -values in  $[1, x]$ , is  $O(x/(\log x)^c)$ .*

Friedlander & Luca (2007): *A valid choice for  $c$  is  $1 - \frac{e}{2} \log 2 = 0.057 \dots$ .*

Using these thoughts, Erdős, P, & Schmutz (1991): *There is a positive constant  $c$  such that  $V_\lambda(x)$ , the number of  $\lambda$ -values in  $[1, x]$ , is  $O(x/(\log x)^c)$ .*

Friedlander & Luca (2007): *A valid choice for  $c$  is  $1 - \frac{e}{2} \log 2 = 0.057 \dots$ .*

Banks, Friedlander, Luca, Pappalardi, & Shparlinski (2006):  
 $V_\lambda(x) \geq \frac{x}{\log x} \exp\left((A + o(1))(\log_3 x)^2\right)$ .

So,  $V_\lambda(x)$  is somewhere between  $x/(\log x)^{1+o(1)}$  and  $x/(\log x)^c$ , where  $c = 1 - \frac{e}{2} \log 2$ .

Recently, [Luca & P](#) (2013):  $V_\lambda(x) \leq x/(\log x)^{\eta+o(1)}$ , where  $\eta = 1 - (1 + \log \log 2)/\log 2 = 0.086\dots$ .  
Further,  $V_\lambda(x) \geq x/(\log x)^{0.36}$  for all large  $x$ .

Late breaking news: The “correct” exponent is  $\eta$  ([Ford, Luca, & P](#), 2014).

The constant  $\eta$  actually pops up in some other problems:

[Erdős](#) (1960): *The number of distinct entries in the  $N \times N$  multiplication table is  $N^2/(\log N)^{\eta+o(1)}$ .*

*The asymptotic density of integers with a divisor in the interval  $[N, 2N]$  is  $1/(\log N)^{\eta+o(1)}$ .* This result has its own history beginning with [Besicovitch](#) in 1934, some of the other players being [Erdős](#), [Hooley](#), [Tenenbaum](#), and [Ford](#).

Here is a heuristic argument behind the theorem that  $V_\lambda(x) \geq x/(\log x)^{\eta+o(1)}$ .

Suppose we consider numbers  $n$  of the form  $p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$  with  $\lambda(n) \leq x$ . Now

$$\lambda(n) = [p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \dots, p_k - 1].$$

Assume each  $p_i - 1 = a_i$  is squarefree. For each prime  $p \mid a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$ , let  $S_p = \{i : p \mid a_i\}$ . Then

$$[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k] = \prod_{\substack{S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \\ S \neq \emptyset}} \prod_{S_p = S} p = \prod_{\substack{S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \\ S \neq \emptyset}} M_S, \quad \text{say,}$$

and the numbers  $a_i$  ( $= p_i - 1$ ) can be retrieved from this factorization via  $a_i = \prod_{i \in S} M_S$ .



Thus, a squarefree number  $M$  is of the form  $[p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \dots, p_k - 1]$  if and only if  $M$  has an ordered factorization into  $2^k - 1$  factors  $M_S$  indexed by the nonempty  $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , such that for  $i \leq k$ , the product of all  $M_S$  with  $i \in S$  is a shifted prime  $p_i - 1$ , with the  $p_i$ 's distinct.

What is the chance that a random squarefree  $M \leq x$  has such a factorization?

We assume that  $M$  is even. Then, for  $M/2$ , we ask for the product of the factors corresponding to  $i$  to be half a shifted prime,  $(p_i - 1)/2$ .

The number of factorizations of  $M/2$  is  $(2^k - 1)^{\omega(M/2)}$ . Thus, the chance that  $M = \lambda(n)$  with  $\omega(n) = k$ ,  $n$  squarefree, might be close to 1 if  $(2^k - 1)^{\omega(M/2)} > (\log x)^k$ , that is,

$$\omega(M/2) > \frac{k \log \log x}{\log(2^k - 1)} \approx \frac{\log \log x}{\log 2},$$

when  $k$  is large. But the number of even, squarefree  $M \leq x$  with  $\omega(M/2) \geq (1 + o(1)) \log \log x / \log 2$  is  $x / (\log x)^{\eta + o(1)}$ .

**Square values** Banks, Friedlander, P, & Shparlinski (2004):  
*There are more than  $x^{0.7}$  integers  $n \leq x$  with  $\varphi(n)$  a square.  
The same goes for  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda$ .*

**Square values** Banks, Friedlander, P, & Shparlinski (2004):

*There are more than  $x^{0.7}$  integers  $n \leq x$  with  $\varphi(n)$  a square.*

*The same goes for  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda$ .*

Remark. There are only  $x^{0.5}$  squares below  $x$ . (!)

**Square values** Banks, Friedlander, P, & Shparlinski (2004):

*There are more than  $x^{0.7}$  integers  $n \leq x$  with  $\varphi(n)$  a square.*

*The same goes for  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda$ .*

Remark. There are only  $x^{0.5}$  squares below  $x$ . (!)

Might there be a positive proportion of integers  $n$  with  $n^2$  a value of  $\varphi$ ? To  $10^8$ , there are 26,094,797, or more than 50% of even numbers. But:

Pollack & P (2013): No, the number of  $n \leq x$  with  $n^2$  a  $\varphi$ -value is  $O(x/(\log x)^{0.0063})$ . The same goes for  $\sigma$ .

Unsolved: Could possibly almost all even squares be  $\lambda$ -values??

Here's why this may be. Most  $n \leq x$  have  $\omega(n) > (1 - \epsilon) \log \log x$ . Thus, most  $n \leq x$  have  $\tau(n^2) > 3^{(1-\epsilon) \log \log x}$ . For each odd  $p^a \parallel n$ , the number of  $d \mid n^2/p^{2a}$  with  $dp^{2a} + 1$  prime might be  $> 3^{(1-2\epsilon) \log \log x} / \log x$ , and this expression is  $> (\log x)^\epsilon$ . So, most of the time, for each  $p^a \parallel n$ , there should be at least one such prime  $dp^{2a} + 1$ . If  $m$  is the product of all of the primes  $dp^{2a} + 1$  so found, we would have that  $\lambda(m) = n^2$ .

This is very similar to the heuristic for  $V_\lambda(x)$ . A proof anyone?

Idea of the proof that a positive proportion of even numbers are values of  $s(n) = \sigma(n) - n$  (Luca & P, 2014):

Consider even numbers  $n$  with several constraints:

- $n$  is deficient (means that  $s(n) < n$ );
- $n = pqrk \in [\frac{1}{2}x, x]$  with  $p > q > r > k$  and  $p, q, r$  primes;
- $k \leq x^{1/60}$ ,  $r \in [x^{1/15}, x^{1/12}]$ ,  $q \in [x^{7/20}, x^{11/30}]$ ;
- $n$  is “normal”.

If  $n$  satisfies these conditions, then  $s(n) \leq x$  is even.

Let  $r(s)$  denote the number of representations of  $s$  as  $s(n)$  from such numbers  $n$ .

We have  $\sum_s r(s) \gg x$ .

The trick then is to show that  $\sum_s r(s)^2 \ll x$ .

For this, the sieve is useful.



What's next with  $s(n)$ ?

Possibly a conjecture of [Erdős, Granville, P, & Spiro \(1990\)](#) is now tractable:

*If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a set of density 0, then  $s^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  has density 0.*

The same conjecture should hold for the function

$$s_\varphi(n) := n - \varphi(n).$$

(Our proof that the range of  $s$  contains a positive proportion of evens, shows this as well for the range of  $s_\varphi$ , a fact not previously known.)

**THANK YOU**