

Square values of Euler's function

Carl Pomerance, **Dartmouth College**

based on joint work with

P. Pollack

Euler's function: $\varphi(n)$ is the cardinality of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

It is ubiquitous in number theory.

Just one very cool result about φ :

Computing $\varphi(n)$ is random polynomial time equivalent to factoring n . (No randomness needed for $n = pq$.)

Here are some questions about φ :

- What is the minimal order of φ , the maximal order, the average order, the normal order?
- Is φ ever 1-to-1, that is, is there some number n such that $\varphi(m) = n$ has exactly one solution m ? At the other extreme, how popular can values n be?
- How many values of φ are in $[1, x]$?

On the first bullet we know quite a lot.

After [Mertens](#) we know that $\varphi(n) \geq (1 + o(1))n/(e^\gamma \log \log n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that this is best possible.

The maximal order of $\varphi(n)$ is $n - 1$, achieved at the primes.

On average, $\varphi(n)$ behaves like $\frac{6}{\pi^2}n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (The best error term in this average order is not known.)

[Schoenberg](#) (1928) showed that $\varphi(n)/n$ has a continuous distribution function, the forerunner of many similar results.

[Carmichael](#) (1922) conjectured that φ is never 1-to-1, that is, if $\varphi(m) = n$, then there is a number $m' \neq m$ with $\varphi(m') = n$. This is known to be true for all $n \leq 10^{10^{10}}$, a result of [Ford](#), who also showed that if there is one counterexample, then a positive proportion of φ -values are counterexamples!

[Erdős](#) (1935) proved that there are infinitely many n such that $\varphi(m) = n$ has more than n^c solutions and he conjectured that this holds for each $c < 1$. The best result to date here is by [Baker & Harman](#) who have shown there are infinitely many values n where there are more than $n^{0.7}$ pre-images under φ . It's known that the [Erdős](#) conjecture follows from the [Elliott–Halberstam](#) conjecture ([Granville](#)).

The set of values of φ was first considered by Pillai (1929):
The number $V_\varphi(x)$ of φ -values in $[1, x]$ is $O(x/(\log x)^c)$, where $c = \frac{1}{e} \log 2 = 0.254 \dots$.

Pillai's idea: There are not many values $\varphi(n)$ when n has few prime factors, and if n has more than a few prime factors, then $\varphi(n)$ is divisible by a high power of 2.

Since $\varphi(p) = p - 1$, we have $V_\varphi(x) \geq \pi(x + 1) \gg x / \log x$.
Erdős (1935): $V_\varphi(x) = x/(\log x)^{1+o(1)}$.

Erdős's idea: Deal with $\Omega(\varphi(n))$ (the total number of prime factors of $\varphi(n)$, with multiplicity). This paper, already mentioned in connection with popular φ -values, was seminal for the various ideas introduced. For example, the proof of the infinitude of Carmichael numbers owes much to this paper.

Again: $V_\varphi(x) = x/(\log x)^{1+o(1)}$.

But: What's lurking in that “ $o(1)$ ”?

After work of Erdős & Hall, Maier & P, and Ford, we now know that $V_\varphi(x)$ is of magnitude

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \exp \left(A(\log_3 x - \log_4 x)^2 + B \log_3 x + C \log_4 x \right),$$

where \log_k is the k -fold iterated log, and A, B, C are explicit constants.

Unsolved: Is there an asymptotic formula for $V_\varphi(x)$?

Do we have $V_\varphi(2x) \sim 2V_\varphi(x)$?

The same results and unsolved problem pertain as well for the image of σ , the sum-of-divisors function.

In 1959, [Erdős](#) conjectured that the image of σ and the image of φ has an infinite intersection; that is, there are infinitely many pairs m, n with

$$\sigma(m) = \varphi(n).$$

It is amazing how many famous conjectures imply that the answer is yes!

Yes, if there are infinitely many twin primes:

If $p, p + 2$ are both prime, then

$$\varphi(p + 2) = p + 1 = \sigma(p).$$

Yes, if there are infinitely many Mersenne primes:

If $2^p - 1$ is prime, then

$$\varphi(2^{p+1}) = 2^p = \sigma(2^p - 1).$$

Yes, if the Extended Riemann Hypothesis holds.

It would seem a promising strategy to prove that there are at most finitely many solutions to $\sigma(m) = \varphi(n)$; it has some fantastic and unexpected corollaries!

However, [Ford, Luca, & P](#) (2010): *There are indeed infinitely many solutions to $\sigma(m) = \varphi(n)$.*

We gave several proofs, but one proof uses a conditional result of [Heath-Brown](#): *If there are infinitely many Siegel zeros, then there are infinitely many twin primes.*

Some further results:

Garaev (2011): *For each fixed number a , the number $V_{\varphi,\sigma}(x)$ of common values of φ and σ in $[1, x]$ exceeds $\exp((\log \log x)^a)$ for x sufficiently large.*

Ford & Pollack (2011): *Assuming a strong form of the prime k -tuples conjecture, $V_{\varphi,\sigma}(x) = x/(\log x)^{1+o(1)}$.*

Ford & Pollack (2012): *Most values of φ are not values of σ and vice versa.*

Square values

Banks, Friedlander, P, & Shparlinski (2004): *There are more than $x^{0.7}$ integers $n \leq x$ with $\varphi(n)$ a square.*

Remark. There are only $x^{0.5}$ squares below x . (!)

Here is an outline of the proof: Let Q denote the product of the primes to $B := \log x / \log \log x$. Consider primes $B < p \leq (\log x)^3$ with $p - 1$ having all prime factors at most B . There are a lot of these primes ([Baker & Harman](#)). Form squarefree numbers Qm , where m is composed of some of these primes and $Qm \leq x/Q$. Since $Q = x^{o(1)}$, we find there are more than $x^{2/3-\epsilon}$ numbers Qm . Note that $\varphi(Qm)$ has all prime factors at most B .

For each number Qm so constructed, consider the exponent vector mod 2 for $\varphi(Qm)$ and let $d \mid Q$ be that divisor with the same exponent vector. Then $dQm \leq x$ and $\varphi(dQm) = d\varphi(Qm)$ is a square.

Optimizing the exponent “3” at the start of the proof gets the result.

We have just considered the number of $n \leq x$ that φ maps to a square. But how many squares are φ -values?

Consider the function $V_{\square}(x)$, the number of integers $n \leq x$ with n^2 a φ -value.

In the same paper with [Banks, Friedlander, & Shparlinski](#) we showed that $V_{\square}(x) > x^{0.234}$ for all sufficiently large x .

This was considerably improved by [Banks & Luca](#) (2011) who showed that $V_{\square}(x) \gg x/(\log x)^4$. A similar result was obtained by a different method by [Freiberg](#) (2012).

But what of upper bounds?

Surely we must have $V_{\square}(x) = o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, right?

That is, surely it must be that most squares are not φ -values. Right off the top, except for 1, we can eliminate all odd numbers, so the upper density of numbers n with n^2 a φ -value is at most $\frac{1}{2}$.

Let's look at an actual count. To 10^8 there are exactly 26,094,797 numbers n with n^2 a φ -value. That is, more than half of the even numbers to 100 million work.

Are you still sure that $V_{\square}(x) = o(x)$?

Might there be a positive proportion of integers n with n^2 a value of φ ?

Pollack & P (2013): *No, the number of $n \leq x$ with n^2 a φ -value is $O(x/(\log x)^{0.0063})$. The same goes for σ .*

We also improved the lower bound of **Banks & Luca**, getting $V_{\square}(x) \gg x/(\log^2 x \log \log x)$.

An idea of the proofs:

The lower bound is fairly straightforward. Let $y = \log^2 x$ and consider primes $q \leq x$ with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ for some prime $p \in [y, 2y]$ and with $(q - 1)/p^2$ not divisible by any prime in $[y, 2y]$. There are a lot of these primes q and via **Cauchy–Schwarz** one can get lots of pairs of these primes q_1, q_2 corresponding to p_1^2, p_2^2 , respectively, and with $(q_1 - 1)/p_1^2 = (q_2 - 1)/p_2^2$. Then $\varphi(q_1 q_2)$ is a square.

This gets $\gg x/(\log x \log \log x)^2$ distinct choices of integers $\sqrt{\varphi(q_1 q_2)} \leq x$, and to gain an additional factor of $\log \log x$ one can consider more dyadic intervals up to $y^{1+\epsilon}$.

The upper bound $V_{\square}(x) \leq x/(\log x)^{0.0063}$ is considerably more difficult.

Say $\varphi(m) = n^2$ with $n \leq x$. Let p denote the largest prime factor of n . Then one of the following 4 possibilities must occur:

- $p^3 \mid m$,
- $p^2 \mid m$ and \exists some prime $q \mid m$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$,
- \exists two primes $q_1, q_2 \mid m$, $q_1 \equiv q_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$,
- \exists some prime $q \mid m$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$.

The first two cases do not contribute much, so most of the work is in the 3rd and 4th cases.

The 3rd case: $q_1, q_2 \mid m$, $q_1 \equiv q_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Write $q - 1 = apb^2$ with ap squarefree. Since $(q_1 - 1)(q_2 - 1) \mid n^2$, we have

$$n = ua_1a_2a_3b_1b_2p,$$

with $a_1a_2a_3p$ squarefree and

$$a_1a_3pb_1^2 + 1 \text{ prime, } a_2a_3pb_2^2 + 1 \text{ prime.}$$

By the sieve and using $p > x^{1/\log \log x}$, the number of n is

$$\ll \sum_{u, a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2} \frac{x(\log \log x)^6}{ua_1a_2a_3b_1b_2(\log x)^3}.$$

You can see we're in a spot of trouble here! But using that we may assume that $\Omega(n) \leq \alpha \log \log x$, with α fixed and a tad larger than 1, we can use Rankin's trick to estimate the contribution here and see that it is

$$\ll \frac{x(\log \log x)^6}{(\log x)^{3-\alpha-\alpha \log(\alpha/6)}}.$$

We win for α small enough (but greater than 1), since $1 + \log 6 < 3$.

The last case when $q \mid m$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$: Here we have $n = uabp$, with $a(bp)^2 + 1$ prime. The sieve is trickier here and we need to consider sub-cases depending on the size of the largest prime factor of ua . But in the end it (barely) works.

We get the same result for numbers n for which n^2 is a σ -value. We also get the same for the number of squarefull numbers $n \leq x^2$ with n a φ -value (and probably too for σ -values).

What about λ (Carmichael's universal exponent function)?

Note that the range of λ has density 0 ([Erdős, P, Schmutz](#)) and there are finer results, but we're asking about squares in the range. We have not proved anything, but I have a heuristic argument that the set of numbers n with n^2 a λ -value has asymptotic density $\frac{1}{2}$. That is, for almost all even n , $n^2 = \lambda(m)$ is solvable.

Happy birthday Ram!