## The expected number of rising sequences after a shuffle

Peter G. Doyle

## Version 0.9.1, 23 October 2008 GNU $FDL^*$

Brad Mann found the following simple expression for the expected number of rising sequences in an n-card deck after an a-shuffle:

$$R_{a,n} = a - \frac{n+1}{a^n} \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} r^n.$$

Brad's derivation involved lengthy gymnastics with binomial coefficients. Obviously this beautiful formula cries out for a one-line derivation, but I still don't see how to do this. The following is the best I have been able to manage.

We look at things from the point of view of doing an *a*-unshuffle. You get a new rising sequence each time the last occurrence of label *i* comes after the first occurrence of label i + 1. More generally, you get a new rising sequence each time the last *i* comes after the first i+k, provided that  $i+1, \ldots, i+k-1$ don't occur. The number of labelings with this property is

$$(a-k+1)^n - (a-k)^n - n(a-k)^{n-1}$$

(From all labelings omitting i + 1, ..., i + k - 1 discard those that omit *i*, and then those where there is some card labeled *i* (*n* possibilities for this card)

<sup>\*</sup>Copyright (C) 2008 Peter G. Doyle. Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License, as published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts.

such that no card that comes before it is labelled i + k and no card after it is labeled i.) For any specified value of k there are a - k possibilities for i, so

$$R_{a,n} = 1 + \frac{1}{a^n} \sum_{k=1}^{a} (a-k) \left[ (a-k+1)^n - (a-k)^n - n(a-k)^{n-1} \right]$$
  
=  $1 + \frac{1}{a^n} \sum_{s=0}^{a-1} s \left[ (s+1)^n - (s^n + ns^{n-1}) \right].$ 

When a is large,

$$R_{a,n} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{a^n} \sum_{s=0}^{a-1} s\binom{n}{2} s^{n-2}$$
  
=  $1 + \frac{1}{a^n} \binom{n}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{a-1} s^{n-1}$   
 $\approx 1 + \frac{1}{a^n} \binom{n}{2} \frac{a^n}{n}$   
=  $\frac{n+1}{2}$ ,

which is the expected number of rising sequences in a perfectly shuffled deck.

A little juggling is required to transform the expression for  $R_{a,n}$  derived above into the form that Brad gave. As I said before, I do not see how to write down Brad's form directly.