

# Trees and expectations

TSILB\*

Version 0.91, 10 March 2003

## Notation for probability trees

Elementary probability theory is hampered by the lack of a good linear notation for probability trees. Here we propose the  $\rightarrow$  notation, based on representing a probability distribution with outcomes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and associated probabilities  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  as

$$\{p_1 \rightarrow x_1, \dots, p_n \rightarrow x_n\}.$$

Definition. A *probability tree* is defined recursively as either an outcome (assumed distinguishable as such), or an expression of the form

$$\{p_1 \rightarrow T_1, \dots, p_n \rightarrow T_n\}$$

where  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  are probability trees, and  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are non-negative real numbers adding to 1.

Example: I bet a dollar on the toss of a fair coin:

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 1, \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow -1\right\}.$$

Example: I am playing a best-of-3 coin-tossing tournament. The prize is  $a$ , and I have already won one toss:

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow a, \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \left\{\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow a, \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 0\right\}\right\}.$$

---

\*This Space Intentionally Left Blank. Contributors include: Peter Doyle. Copyright (C) 2003 Peter G. Doyle. This work is freely redistributable under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.

This is assuming that we stop if I win the second toss. If we really play best-of-3, meaning we play out all 3 tosses no matter what, then the payoff is

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow a, \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow a \right\}, \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow a, \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 0 \right\} \right\}.$$

These two situations are equivalent, and we want some transformation rules that will allow us to convert between the two representations.

## Transformation rules

The most basic transformation rules are those that allow us to permute comma-separated terms, e.g.

$$\{p_1 \rightarrow x_1, p_2 \rightarrow x_2\} \longleftrightarrow \{p_2 \rightarrow x_2, p_1 \rightarrow x_1\}.$$

We won't bother to spell out these rules formally.

In the following rules,  $T, T_1, \dots$  are metavariables representing probability trees.

Certainty:

$$\{1 \rightarrow T\} \longleftrightarrow T.$$

Pruning:

$$\{0 \rightarrow p_1, p_2 \rightarrow T_2, \dots\} \longleftrightarrow \{p_2 \rightarrow T_2, \dots\}.$$

Identical outcomes:

$$\{p_1 \rightarrow T, p_2 \rightarrow T, p_3 \rightarrow T_3, \dots\} \longleftrightarrow \{(p_1 + p_2) \rightarrow T, p_3 \rightarrow T_3, \dots\}$$

Conditioning:

$$p \rightarrow \{q_1 \rightarrow T_1, \dots, q_n \rightarrow T_n\} \longleftrightarrow \{pq_1 \rightarrow T_1, \dots, pq_n \rightarrow T_n\}.$$

These rules are sufficient to allow us to transform any gamble into *standard form*

$$\{p_1 \rightarrow x_1, \dots, p_n \rightarrow x_n\},$$

where the outcomes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are all distinct, and the probabilities  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are all positive (and  $\leq 1$ , since their sum is necessarily 1).

## The expected value of a gamble

A *gamble* is a probability tree whose outcomes are all real numbers, representing payments. A gamble is *rational* if all branch probabilities are rational numbers. We call the gamble  $x$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , a *constant gamble*, or an *ungamble*.

The *expected value* of a gamble is defined recursively as follows:

$$\text{Val}(x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$\text{Val}(\{p_1 \rightarrow T_1, \dots, p_n \rightarrow T_n\}) = p_1 \text{Val}(T_1) + \dots + p_n \text{Val}(T_n).$$

We wish to interpret  $\text{Val}(T)$  as the fair market value of the gamble  $T$ . To justify this, we are going to introduce one additional transformation rule, which changes a gamble only to the extent of incorporating a manifestly fair side bet.

Side bet:

$$\{p \rightarrow a, p \rightarrow b, p_3 \rightarrow T_3, \dots, p_n \rightarrow T_n\} \longleftrightarrow \{p \rightarrow a-c, p \rightarrow b+c, p_3 \rightarrow T_3, \dots, p_n \rightarrow T_n\}.$$

Like the previously introduced transformation rules, this rule preserves  $\text{Val}(T)$ . With its help we may transform any rational gamble  $T$  to (or from) an ungamble, which will necessarily be the constant gamble  $\text{Val}(T)$ , the ‘gamble’ that always pays  $\text{Val}(T)$ . Indeed, we can first transform  $T$  to normal form, explode it into a normal form gamble where all probabilities are equal, use side bets to make all outcomes equal, and finally consolidate to an ungamble. We illustrate the procedure for Huygens’s example of a gamble where you have two chance to win 8, and three chances to win 13.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{2}{5} \rightarrow 8, \frac{3}{5} \rightarrow 13 \right\} \\ \longleftrightarrow & \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 8, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 8, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 13, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 13, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 13 \right\} \\ \longleftrightarrow & \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 8+8, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 13, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 13, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 13 \right\} \\ \longleftrightarrow & \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 8+8+13, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 13, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 13 \right\} \\ \longleftrightarrow & \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 8+8+13+13, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 13 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 8 + 8 + 13 + 13 + 13, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0 \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 55, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0 \right\} \\
&\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 44, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0 \right\} \\
&\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 33, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0 \right\} \\
&\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 22, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 0 \right\} \\
&\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11, \frac{1}{5} \rightarrow 11 \right\} \\
&\longleftrightarrow \{1 \rightarrow 11\} \\
&\longleftrightarrow 11
\end{aligned}$$

This is not the most efficient method of reducing this gamble to an ungamble. What we did was to first convert the gamble to a *lottery*

$$\{1/n \rightarrow nc, 1/n \rightarrow 0, \dots, 1/n \rightarrow 0\},$$

and then convert the lottery to an ungamble. This was done in honor of Huygens, who in addition to side bets allowed an additional transformation:

Lottery:

$$c \longleftrightarrow \{1/n \rightarrow nc, 1/n \rightarrow 0, \dots, 1/n \rightarrow 0\}$$

In fact this transformation is redundant: As we have seen, it can be accomplished by means of side bets.

## Sources

This work is based on earlier works copyright 2003 Peter G. Doyle.