

SPECIAL HYPERGEOMETRIC MOTIVES AND THEIR L -FUNCTIONS: ASAI RECOGNITION

LASSINA DEMBÉLÉ, ALEXEI PANCHISHKIN, JOHN VOIGHT, AND WADIM ZUDILIN

ABSTRACT. We recognize certain special hypergeometric motives, related to and inspired by the discoveries of Ramanujan more than a century ago, as arising from Asai L -functions of Hilbert modular forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivation. The generalized hypergeometric functions are a familiar player in arithmetic and algebraic geometry. They come quite naturally as periods of certain algebraic varieties, and consequently they encode important information about the invariants of these varieties. Many authors have studied this rich interplay, including Igusa [32], Dwork [14], and Katz [35]. More recently, authors have considered *hypergeometric motives* (HGMs) over \mathbb{Q} , including Cohen [9], Beukers–Cohen–Mellit [3], and Roberts–Rodriguez-Villegas–Watkins [46]. A hypergeometric motive over \mathbb{Q} arises from a parametric family of varieties with certain periods (conjecturally) satisfying a hypergeometric differential equation; the construction of this family was made explicit by Beukers–Cohen–Mellit [3] based on work of Katz [35]. Following the analogy between periods and point counts (Manin’s “unity of mathematics” [8]), counting points on the reduction of these varieties over finite fields is accomplished via *finite field hypergeometric functions*, a notion originating in work of Greene [19] and Katz [35]. These finite sums are analogous to truncated hypergeometric series in which Pochhammer symbols are replaced with Gauss sums, and they provide an efficient mechanism for computing the L -functions of hypergeometric motives. (Verifying the precise connection to the hypergeometric differential equation is usually a difficult task, performed only in some particular cases.)

In this paper, we illustrate some features of hypergeometric motives attached to particular arithmetically significant hypergeometric identities for $1/\pi$ and $1/\pi^2$. To motivate this study, we consider the hypergeometric function

$$(1.1) \quad {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} z^n,$$

where we define the Pochhammer symbol (rising factorial)

$$(1.2) \quad (\alpha)_n := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} \alpha(\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + n - 1), & \text{for } n \geq 1; \\ 1, & \text{for } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Ramanujan [44, eq. (36)] more than a century ago proved the delightful identity

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_n}{n!^3} (28n + 3) \left(-\frac{1}{48}\right)^n = \frac{16}{\pi\sqrt{3}}$$

involving a linear combination of the hypergeometric series (1.1) and its z -derivative (a different, but contiguous hypergeometric function). Notice the practicality of this series for computing the quantity on the right-hand side of (1.3), hence for computing $1/\pi$ and π itself.

The explanation for the identity (1.3) was already indicated by Ramanujan: the hypergeometric function can be parametrized by modular functions (see (2.4) below), and the value $-1/48$ arises from evaluation at a complex multiplication (CM) point! Put into the framework above, we observe that the HGM of rank 3 with parameters $\alpha = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}$ and $\beta = \{1, 1, 1\}$ corresponds to the Fermat–Dwork pencil of quartic K3 surfaces of generic Picard rank 19 defined by the equation

$$(1.4) \quad X_\psi: x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 4\psi x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3$$

whose transcendental L -function is related to the symmetric square L -function attached to a classical modular form (see Elkies–Schütt [15]). At the specialization $t = \psi^{-4} = -1/48$, the K3 surface is singular, having Picard rank 20; it arises as the Kummer surface of $E \times \tau(E)$, where E is the elliptic \mathbb{Q} -curve LMFDB label 144.1-b1 defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ attached to the CM order of discriminant -36 , and $\tau(\sqrt{3}) = -\sqrt{3}$. The corresponding classical modular form f with LMFDB label 144.2.c.a has CM, and we have the identity

$$(1.5) \quad L(T(X), s) = L(f, s, \text{Sym}^2)$$

where $T(X)$ denotes the transcendental lattice of X (as a Galois representation). The rare event of CM explains the origin of the formula (1.1): for more detail, see Example 3.12 below.

Main result. With this motivation, we seek in this paper to explain similar hypergeometric Ramanujan-type formulas for $1/\pi^2$ in higher rank. Drawing a parallel between these examples, our main result is to experimentally identify that the L -function of certain specializations of hypergeometric motives (coming from these formulas) have a rare property: they arise from Asai L -functions of Hilbert modular forms of weight $(2, 4)$ over real quadratic fields.

For example, consider the higher rank analogue

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_n \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_n \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_n}{n!^5} (252n^2 + 63n + 5) \left(-\frac{1}{48}\right)^n \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{48}{\pi^2}$$

given by Guillera [22]; the question mark above a relation indicates that it has been experimentally observed, but not proven. Here, we suggest that (1.6) is ‘explained’ by the existence of a Hilbert modular form f over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{12})$ of weight $(2, 4)$ and level (81) in the sense that we experimentally observe that

$$(1.7) \quad L\left(H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \mid -1/48\right), s\right) \stackrel{?}{=} \zeta(s-2)L(f, s+1, \text{Asai})$$

where notation is explained in section 3. (By contrast, specializing the hypergeometric L -series at other values $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ generically yields a primitive L -function of degree 5.) Our main result, stated more generally, can be found in Conjecture 5.1.

In spite of a visual similarity between Ramanujan’s ${}_3F_2$ formula (1.3) for $1/\pi$ and Guillera’s ${}_5F_4$ formula (1.6) for $1/\pi^2$, the structure of the underlying hypergeometric motives is somewhat different. Motives attached to ${}_3F_2$ hypergeometric functions are reasonably well understood (see e.g. Zudilin [54, Observation 4]), and we review them briefly in Section 2. By contrast, the ${}_5F_4$ motives associated with similar formulas had not been linked explicitly to modular forms. In Conjecture 5.1, we propose that they are related to Hilbert modular forms, and we experimentally establish several other formulas analogous to (1.7); this gives hope that this kind of explanation will lead to further interesting formulas and, perhaps, a proof.

Organization. The paper is organized as follows. After a bit of setup in section 2, we quickly review hypergeometric motives in section 3. In section 4 we discuss Asai lifts of Hilbert modular forms, then in section 5 we exhibit the conjectural hypergeometric relations. We conclude in section 6 with some final remarks.

Acknowledgements. This project commenced during Zudilin’s visit in the Fourier Institute in Grenoble in June 2017, followed by the joint visit of Dembél e, Panchishkin, and Zudilin to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn in July 2017, followed by collaboration between Voight and Zudilin during the trimester on *Periods in Number Theory, Algebraic Geometry and Physics* at the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics in Bonn in March–April 2018. We thank the staff of these institutes for providing such excellent conditions for research.

Demb el e and Voight were supported by a Simons Collaboration grant (550029).

It is our pleasure to thank Frits Beukers, Henri Cohen, Vasily Golyshev, Jes us Guillera, G unther Harder, Yuri Manin, Anton Mellit, David Roberts, Alexander Varchenko, Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas, Mark Watkins and Don Zagier for valuable feedback, stimulating discussions, and crucial observations.

2. HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

In this section, we begin with some basic setup. For $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, define the **generalized hypergeometric function**

$$(2.1) \quad {}_dF_{d-1} \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d-1} \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n (\alpha_2)_n \cdots (\alpha_d)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_{d-1})_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

These functions possess numerous features that make them unique in the class of special functions. It is convenient to abbreviate (2.1) as

$$(2.2) \quad {}_dF_{d-1}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid z) = F(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid z),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d\} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d-1}, 1\}$ are called the **parameters** of the hypergeometric function: they are multisets (that is, sets with possibly repeating elements), with the additional element $\beta_d = 1$ introduced to reflect the appearance of $n! = (1)_n$ in the denominator in (2.1). The hypergeometric function (2.1) satisfies a linear homogeneous differential equation of order d :

$$(2.3) \quad D(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}; z) : \left(z \prod_{j=1}^d \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + \alpha_j \right) - \prod_{j=1}^d \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + \beta_j - 1 \right) \right) y = 0.$$

Among many arithmetic instances of the hypergeometric functions, there are those that can be parameterized by modular functions. One particular example, referenced in the introduction, is

$$(2.4) \quad {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \rho(\tau)\right) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)^{16}}{\eta(2\tau)^8} + 64 \frac{\eta(2\tau)^{16}}{\eta(\tau)^8}\right)^{1/2},$$

for $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$, where

$$(2.5) \quad \rho(\tau) := \frac{256\eta(\tau)^{24}\eta(2\tau)^{24}}{(\eta(\tau)^{24} + 64\eta(2\tau)^{24})^2}$$

and $\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)$ denotes the Dedekind eta function with $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Taking the CM point $\tau = (1 + 3\sqrt{-1})/2$, we obtain $\rho(\tau) = -1/48$ and the evaluation [29, Example 3]

$$(2.6) \quad {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{1}{48}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})^2}{3^{5/4} \Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}.$$

As indicated by Ramanujan [44], CM evaluations of hypergeometric functions like (2.6) are accompanied by formulas for $1/\pi$, like (1.3) given in the introduction.

Remark 2.7. Less is known about the conjectured congruence counterpart of the latter,

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} \left(-\frac{1}{48}\right)^n \stackrel{?}{\equiv} b_p \pmod{p^2}$$

for primes $p \geq 5$, where

$$(2.9) \quad b_p := \begin{cases} 2(x^2 - y^2) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, p = x^2 + y^2 \text{ with } 3 \mid y; \\ -(x^2 - y^2) & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}, p = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) \text{ with } 3 \mid y; \\ 0 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

The congruence (2.8) is in line with a general prediction of Roberts–Rodriguez-Villegas [45], though stated there for $z = \pm 1$ only.

Ramanujan’s and Ramanujan-type formulas for $1/\pi$ corresponding to rational values of z are tabulated in [7, Tables 3–6]. Known ${}_5F_4$ identities for $1/\pi^2$ are due to Guillera [21, 22, 23, 24, 27], also in collaboration with Almkvist [1] and Zudilin [28]. We list the corresponding hypergeometric data α and z for them in Table 2.10, we have $\beta = \{1, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$ in all these cases.

#	α	z	reference
1	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$	$-1/2^2$	[23, p. 46], [1]
2	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$	-2^2	[28, eq. (2)]
3	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$	$-1/2^{10}$	[21, p. 603], [1]
4	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$	-2^{10}	[28, eq. (8)]
5	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}$	$(3/4)^3$	[24, eq. (17)], [1]
6	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}$	-3^3	[27, eq. (36)]
7	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\}$	$-5^5/2^8$	[27, eq. (39)]
8	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}$	$1/2^4$	[21, p. 603], [1]
9	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}$	$-1/48$	[22, eq. (2-3)], [1]
10	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}$	$-3^3/2^4$	[28, eq. (9)]
11	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$	$-(3/4)^6$	[1, Table 3]
12	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$	$(3/5)^6$	[1, eq. (1-1)]
13	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$	$-1/80^3$	[22, eq. (2-4)], [1]
14	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$	$-1/2^{10}$	[22, eq. (2-2)], [1]
15	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}\}$	$1/7^4$	[22, eq. (2-5)], [1]
16	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}$	$3^3((-1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2)^{15}$	[1, Table 3], [27, eq. (38)]

Table 2.10: Hypergeometric data for Guillera’s formulas for $1/\pi^2$

Remark 2.11. Some other entries in Table 2.10 nicely pair up with Ramanujan’s and Ramanujan-type formulas for $1/\pi$ [22]. Apart from case #9 from Table 2.10 discussed above, we highlight another instance [22, eq. (2-4)]:

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{3})_n (\frac{2}{3})_n (\frac{1}{6})_n (\frac{5}{6})_n}{n!^5} (5418n^2 + 693n + 29) \left(-\frac{1}{80^3}\right)^n \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{128\sqrt{5}}{\pi^2}$$

underlying entry #13, which shares similarities with the Ramanujan-type formula

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{6})_n (\frac{5}{6})_n}{n!^3} (5418n + 263) \left(-\frac{1}{80^3}\right)^n = \frac{640\sqrt{15}}{3\pi}.$$

3. HYPERGEOMETRIC MOTIVES

In this section, we quickly introduce the theory of hypergeometric motives over \mathbb{Q} .

Definition. Analogous to the generalized hypergeometric function (2.1), a hypergeometric motive is specified by **hypergeometric data**, consisting of two multisets $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$ and $\beta = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d\}$ with $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1]$ satisfying $\alpha \cap \beta = \emptyset$ and $\beta_d = 1$. Herein, we consider only those hypergeometric motives that are defined over \mathbb{Q} , which means that the polynomials

$$(3.1) \quad \prod_{j=1}^d (t - e^{2\pi i \alpha_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{j=1}^d (t - e^{2\pi i \beta_j})$$

have coefficients in \mathbb{Z} —that is, they are products of cyclotomic polynomials.

Let q be a prime power that is coprime to the least common denominator of $\alpha \cup \beta$, and let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements. Let $\omega: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a generator of the character group on \mathbb{F}_q^\times , and let $\psi_q: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a nontrivial (additive) character. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the **Gauss sum**

$$(3.2) \quad g(m) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \omega(x)^m \psi_q(x);$$

then $g(m)$ is periodic in m with period $q - 1 = \#\mathbb{F}_q^\times$.

When $\alpha_j(q - 1), \beta_j(q - 1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all j , we define the finite field hypergeometric sum for $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ by

$$(3.3) \quad H_q(\alpha, \beta \mid t) = \frac{1}{1 - q} \sum_{m=0}^{q-2} \omega((-1)^{d_t} t)^m \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{g(m + \alpha_j(q - 1)) g(-m - \beta_j(q - 1))}{g(\alpha_j(q - 1)) g(-\beta_j(q - 1))}$$

by direct analogy with the generalized hypergeometric function. More generally, Beukers–Cohen–Mellit [3, Theorem 1.3] have extended this definition to include all prime powers q that are coprime to the least common denominator of $\alpha \cup \beta$.

There exist $p_1, \dots, p_r, q_1, \dots, q_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{x - e^{2\pi i \alpha_j}}{x - e^{2\pi i \beta_j}} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r (x^{p_j} - 1)}{\prod_{j=1}^s (x^{q_j} - 1)},$$

and we define

$$(3.5) \quad M := \frac{p_1^{p_1} \cdots p_r^{p_r}}{q_1^{q_1} \cdots q_s^{q_s}}.$$

Computing the local L -factors at good primes is completely automated in the MAGMA [4] package of hypergeometric motives.

Motive and L -function. The finite field hypergeometric sums arose in counting points on algebraic varieties over finite fields, and they combine to give motivic L -functions following Beukers–Cohen–Mellit [3], as follows. For a parameter λ , let V_λ be the pencil of varieties in weighted projective space defined by the equations

$$(3.6) \quad x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_r = y_1 + \cdots + y_s, \quad \lambda x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_r^{p_r} = y_1^{q_1} \cdots y_s^{q_s}$$

and subject to $x_i, y_j \neq 0$. The pencil V_λ is affine and singular [3, Section 5].

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that $\gcd(p_1, \dots, p_r, q_1, \dots, q_s) = 1$ and $M\lambda \neq 1$. Then there exists a suitable completion \overline{V}_λ of V_λ such that*

$$\#\overline{V}_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = P_{rs}(q) + (-1)^{r+s-1} q^{\min(r-1, s-1)} H(\alpha, \beta \mid M\lambda),$$

and where $P_{rs}(q) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$ is explicitly given.

The completion provided in Theorem 3.7 may still be singular, and a nonsingular completion is not currently known in general. In any event, this theorem shows that the sums (3.3) have an explicit connection to arithmetic geometry and complex analysis.

We accordingly define hypergeometric L -functions, as follows. Let S_λ be the set of primes dividing the numerator or denominator in M together with the primes dividing the numerator

or denominator of λ or $\lambda - 1$. A prime $p \notin S_\lambda$ is called **good** (for α, β, λ). For a good prime p , we define the formal series

$$(3.8) \quad L_p(H(\alpha, \beta | M\lambda), T) := \exp\left(-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} H_{p^r}(\alpha, \beta | M\lambda) \frac{T^r}{r}\right) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[[T]].$$

Corollary 3.9. *For $p \notin S_\lambda$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, we have*

$$L_p(H(\alpha, \beta | M\lambda), T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T].$$

Proof. The zeta function of \overline{V}_λ over \mathbb{F}_p is a rational function by work of Dwork; the exponential series for $P_{rs}(q)$ is also rational, so the result follows from Theorem 3.7. \square

Remark 3.10. In fact, we expect that $L_p(H(\alpha, \beta | M\lambda), T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Z}[T]$ is a polynomial of degree d ; we prove this in the cases we consider as a byproduct of our analysis.

Globalizing, we define the incomplete L -series

$$(3.11) \quad L_S(H(\alpha, \beta | M\lambda), s) = \prod_{p \notin S} L_p(H(\alpha, \beta | M\lambda), p^{-s})^{-1}$$

a Dirichlet series that converges in a right half-plane, but otherwise remains rather mysterious. Our goal in what follows will be to match such L -functions (coming from geometry, one that can be computed rapidly) with L -functions of modular forms in certain cases, so that the former can be completed to inherit the good properties of the latter.

Examples. We conclude this section with two examples.

Example 3.12. We return to our motivating example, with the parameters $\alpha = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}$ and $\beta = \{1, 1, 1\}$, we find $p_1 = 4$ and $q_1 = \cdots = q_4 = 1$. Then eliminating x_1 in (3.6) gives

$$V_\lambda: \lambda(y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4)^4 = y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4;$$

and Theorem 3.7 yields

$$\#\overline{V}_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{q^3 - 1}{q - 1} + H_q(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1, 1, 1 | 4^4\lambda).$$

We make a change of parameters $\lambda^{-1} = 4^4\psi^4$ and consider the pencil of quartic K3 hypersurfaces with generically smooth fibers defined by

$$(3.13) \quad X_\psi: x_0^4 + x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 4\psi x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3$$

as in (1.4), with generic Picard rank 19. The family 3.13 is known as the Fermat–Dwork family and is well studied (going back to Dwork [14, §6j, p. 73]; see e.g. Doran–Kelly–Salerno–Sperber–Voight–Whitcher [13, §1.5] for further references). In the context of mirror symmetry, one realizes \overline{V}_λ as the mirror of X_ψ [12, §5.2] in the following way, due to Batyrev: there is an action of $G = (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^3$ on X_ψ , and V_λ is birational to X_ψ/G . We see again that the finite field hypergeometric sum $H(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1, 1, 1 | \psi^{-4})$ contributes nontrivially to the point counts [13, Main Theorem 1.4.1(a)].

In either model, the holomorphic periods of \overline{V}_λ or X_ψ are given by the hypergeometric series

$$(3.14) \quad F(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1, 1, 1 | \psi^{-4}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} (4^4\lambda)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4n)!}{n!^4} \lambda^n.$$

As mentioned in the introduction, at the specialization $\psi^4 = 4^4\lambda = -1/48$, the K3 surface is singular, with Picard number 20—it is this rare event that explains the formula (1.3). Computing the local L -factors, we find

$$L_p(H(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1, 1, 1 \mid -1/48), T) = (1 - \chi(p)pT)(1 - b_pT + p^2T^2)$$

for $p \neq 2, 3$, where $\chi(p) = \left(\frac{12}{p}\right)$ is the quadratic character attached to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{12})$ and $b_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ defined in (2.9). Indeed, this factorization agrees with the fact that the global L -series can be completed to

$$L(H(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1, 1, 1 \mid -1/48), s) = L(f, s, \text{Sym}^2)$$

where f is the classical modular form with LMFDB label 144.2.c.a: more generally, see Elkies–Schütt [15], Doran–Kelly–Salerno–Sperber–Voight–Whitcher [12, Theorem 5.1.3], or Zudilin [54, Observation 4]. Consequently, the completed hypergeometric L -series inherits analytic continuation and functional equation.

Example 3.15. We consider the hypergeometric data attached to Ramanujan-type formula (2.12), corresponding to #13 in Table 2.10 and with parameters $\alpha = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$ and $\beta = \{1, \dots, 1\}$. This example is, in many aspects, runs parallel to Example 3.12 and the related mirror symmetry construction of the famous quintic threefold [6]. We have

$$V_\lambda: \lambda(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_6)^6 = y_1y_2 \cdots y_6$$

and Theorem 3.7 implies

$$\#\overline{V}_\lambda(\mathbb{F}_q) = \frac{q^5 - 1}{q - 1} + H(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}; 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \mid 6^6\lambda).$$

Alternatively, we consider the pencil of sextic fourfolds

$$X_\psi: x_0^6 + x_1^6 + x_2^6 + x_3^6 + x_4^6 + x_5^6 = 6\psi x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$$

in \mathbb{P}^5 . Under the change of parameter $\lambda^{-1} = 6^6\psi^6$, we find that V_λ is birational to X_ψ/G where $G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^5$. The X_ψ are generically Calabi–Yau fourfolds. A computation (analogous to Candelas–de la Ossa–Greene–Parks [6]) shows that the Picard–Fuchs differential operator is given by

$$\left(\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda}\right)^5 - 6\lambda \left(6\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + 1\right) \left(6\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + 2\right) \left(6\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + 3\right) \left(6\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + 4\right) \left(6\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + 5\right).$$

The unique (up to scalar) holomorphic solution near zero is the hypergeometric function

$$F(\alpha, \beta \mid 6^6\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{3})_n (\frac{2}{3})_n (\frac{1}{6})_n (\frac{5}{6})_n}{n!^5} (6^6\lambda)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6n)!}{n!^6} \lambda^n.$$

Using the MAGMA implementation, we compute the first few (good) L -factors:

$$\begin{aligned} L_7(T) &= (1 + 7^2T)(1 - 7^4T^2)(1 - 35T + 7^4T^2) \\ (3.16) \quad L_{11}(T) &= (1 - 11^2T)(1 - 11^4T^2)(1 - 30T + 11^4T^2) \\ L_{13}(T) &= (1 + 13^2T)(1 - 64T - 1758 \cdot 13T^2 - 64 \cdot 13^4T^3 + 13^8T^4) \end{aligned}$$

and observe that for $p \neq 2, 3, 5$,

$$(3.17) \quad L_p(T) \stackrel{?}{=} (1 - \chi_5(p)p^2T)(1 - a_pT + b_ppT - \chi_{129}(p)a_pp^4T^3 + \chi_{129}(p)p^8T^4).$$

Moreover, when $\chi_{129}(p) = -1$ then $b_p = 0$ and the quartic polynomial factors as

$$1 - a_p T + b_p p T - \chi_{129}(p) a_p p^4 T^3 + \chi_{129}(p) p^8 T^4 \stackrel{?}{=} (1 - p^4 T^2)(1 - a_p T + p^4 T^2)$$

whereas for $\chi_{129}(p) = 1$ it is generically irreducible. This suggests again a rare event which we seek to explain using modular forms.

4. THE ASAI TRANSFER OF A HILBERT MODULAR FORM

Having defined L -functions arising from hypergeometric motives in the previous sections, over the next two sections we follow the predictions of the Langlands philosophy and seek to identify these L -functions as coming from modular forms in the cases of interest. More precisely, we confirm experimentally a match with the Asai transfer of certain Hilbert modular forms over quadratic fields. We begin in this section by setting up the needed notation and background. As general references for Hilbert modular forms, consult Freitag [16] or van der Geer [18]; for a computational take, see Dembélé–Voight [10].

Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ be a real quadratic field of discriminant $d > 0$ with ring of integers \mathbb{Z}_F and Galois group $\text{Gal}(F|\mathbb{Q}) = \langle \tau \rangle$. By a **prime** of \mathbb{Z}_F we mean a nonzero prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_F$. Let $v_1, v_2: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the two embeddings of F into \mathbb{R} . For $x \in F$ we write $x_i := v_i(x)$, and for $\gamma \in \text{M}_2(F)$ we write $\gamma_i = v_i(\gamma)$ for the coordinate-wise application of v_i . An element $a \in F^\times$ is **totally positive** if $v_1(a), v_2(a) > 0$; we write $F_{>0}^\times$ for the group of totally positive elements. The group

$$(4.1) \quad \text{GL}_2^+(F) := \{\gamma \in \text{GL}_2(F) : \det \gamma \in F_{>0}^\times\}$$

acts on the product $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ of upper half-planes by embedding-wise linear fractional transformations $\gamma(z) := (\gamma_1(z_1), \gamma_2(z_2))$.

Let $k_1, k_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, write $k := (k_1, k_2)$, and let $k_0 := \max(k_1, k_2)$ and $w_0 := k_0 - 1$. Let $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_F$ be a nonzero ideal. Let $S_k(\mathfrak{N}; \psi)$ denote the (finite-dimensional) \mathbb{C} -vector space of Hilbert cusp forms of weight k , level $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{N})$, and central character ψ . Hilbert cusp forms are the analogue of classical cusp forms, but over the real quadratic field F . When the narrow class number of F is equal to 1 (i.e., every nonzero ideal of \mathbb{Z}_F is principal, generated by a totally positive element) and ψ is the trivial character, a Hilbert cusp form $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{N})$ is a holomorphic function $f: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, vanishing at infinity, such that

$$(4.2) \quad f(\gamma z) = (c_1 z_1 + d_1)^{k_1/2} (c_2 z_2 + d_2)^{k_2/2} f(z)$$

for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Z}_F)$ such that $c \in \mathfrak{N}$.

The space $S_k(\mathfrak{N})$ is equipped with an action of pairwise commuting Hecke operators $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ indexed by nonzero primes $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{N}$. A Hilbert cusp form f is a **newform** if f is an eigenform for all Hecke operators and f does not arise from $S_k(\mathfrak{M})$ with $\mathfrak{M} | \mathfrak{N}$ a proper divisor.

Let $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{N})$ be a newform. For $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{N}$, we have $T_{\mathfrak{p}} f = a_{\mathfrak{p}} f$ with $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathbb{C}$ a totally real algebraic integer (the **Hecke eigenvalue**), and we factor

$$1 - a_{\mathfrak{p}} T + \text{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})^{w_0} T^2 = (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} T)(1 - \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} T) \in \mathbb{C}[T]$$

where $\text{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})$ is the absolute norm. Then $|\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}| = |\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}| = \sqrt{p}^{w_0}$.

For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime with $p \nmid \text{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$, following Asai [2] we define, abbreviating $\mathfrak{p}' = \tau(\mathfrak{p})$,

$$(4.3) \quad L_p(f, T, \text{Asai}) := \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}'}T)(1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}'}\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}T)(1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\mathfrak{p}'}T)(1 - \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\mathfrak{p}'}T), & \text{if } p\mathbb{Z}_F = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}' \text{ splits;} \\ (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}T)(1 - \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}T)(1 - \psi(\mathfrak{p})p^{2w_0}T^2), & \text{if } p\mathbb{Z}_F = \mathfrak{p} \text{ is inert;} \\ (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^2T)(1 - \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}^2T)(1 - \psi(\mathfrak{p})p^{w_0}T), & \text{if } p\mathbb{Z}_F = \mathfrak{p}^2 \text{ ramifies.} \end{cases}$$

We call the factors $L_p(f, T, \text{Asai})$ the **good** L -factors of f . The **partial Asai** L -function of f is the Dirichlet series defined by the Euler product

$$(4.4) \quad L_S(f, s, \text{Asai}) := \prod_{p \notin S} L_p(f, p^{-s}, \text{Asai})^{-1}$$

where $S = \{p : p \mid \text{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})\}$.

The key input we need is the following theorem. For a newform $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{N}, \psi)$, let $\tau(f)$ be the newform of weight (k_2, k_1) and level $\tau(\mathfrak{N})$ with $T_{\mathfrak{p}}\tau(f) = a_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})}\tau(f)$, with central character $\psi \circ \tau$. Finally, for central character ψ (of the idele class group of F) let ψ_0 denote its restriction (to the ideles of \mathbb{Q}).

Theorem 4.5 (Krishnamurty [37], Ramakrishnan [43]). *Let $f \in S_k(\mathfrak{N}, \psi)$ be a Hilbert newform, and suppose that $\tau(f)$ is not a twist of f . Then the partial L -function $L_S(f, s, \text{Asai})$ can be completed to a \mathbb{Q} -automorphic L -function*

$$\Lambda(f, s, \text{Asai}) = N^{s/2}\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)^2 L(f, s, \text{Asai})$$

of degree 4, conductor $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, with central character ψ_0^2 .

More precisely, there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation $\Pi = \Pi_{\infty} \otimes (\otimes_p \Pi_p)$ of $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $L_p(f, p^{-s}, \text{Asai}) = L(s, \Pi_p)^{-1}$ for all $p \nmid \text{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$. In particular, $L(f, s, \text{Asai})$ is entire and satisfies a functional equation $\Lambda(s) = \varepsilon \overline{\Lambda}(8-s)$ with $|\varepsilon| = 1$.

The automorphic representation Π in Theorem 4.5 goes by the name **Asai transfer**, **Asai lift**, or **tensor induction** of the automorphic representation π attached to f , and we write $\Pi = \text{Asai}(\pi)$.

Proof. We may identify $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \cong \text{Res}_{F|\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, with L -group

$$(4.6) \quad {}^L(\text{Res}_{F|\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{al}}|\mathbb{Q})$. We define the 4-dimensional representation

$$(4.7) \quad r : \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \simeq \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$$

$$r(g_1, g_2, \sigma) = \begin{cases} g_1 \otimes g_2, & \text{if } \sigma|_F = \text{id}; \\ g_2 \otimes g_1, & \text{if } \sigma|_F = \tau. \end{cases}$$

For a place v of \mathbb{Q} , let r_v be the restriction of r to $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_v}$.

Let $\pi = \pi_{\infty} \otimes (\otimes_p \pi_p)$ be the cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ attached to f . Then π_p is an admissible representation of $\text{GL}_2(F \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)$ corresponding to an L -parameter

$$(4.8) \quad \phi_p : W_p' \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p},$$

where W'_p is the Weil–Deligne group of \mathbb{Q}_p . We define $\text{Asai}(\pi_p)$ to be the irreducible admissible representation of $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ attached to $r_p \circ \phi_p$ by the local Langlands correspondence, and we combine these to

$$(4.9) \quad \text{Asai}(\pi) := \text{Asai}(\pi_\infty) \otimes \bigotimes_p \text{Asai}(\pi_p).$$

By a theorem of Ramakrishnan [43, Theorem D] or Krishnamurty [37, Theorem 6.7], $\text{Asai}(\pi)$ is an automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ whose L -function is defined by

$$(4.10) \quad L(s, \pi, \text{Asai}) := L(s, \pi_\infty, r_\infty \circ \phi_\infty) \prod_p L(s, \pi_p, r_p \circ \phi_p)$$

whose good L -factors agree with (4.3) [37, §4]. Under the hypothesis that $\tau(f)$ is not a twist of f , we conclude that $\text{Asai}(\pi)$ is cuspidal [43, Theorem D(b)]. Consequently, we may take $\Pi = \text{Asai}(\pi)$ in the theorem. \square

Remark 4.11. Some authors also define the representation $\text{Asai}^-(\pi)$, which is the quadratic twist of $\text{Asai}(\pi)$ by the quadratic character attached to F .

In addition to the direct construction (4.4) and the automorphic realization in Theorem 4.5, one can also realize the Asai L -function via Galois representations. By Taylor [47, Theorem 1.2], attached to f is a Galois representation

$$\rho: \text{Gal}_F \rightarrow \text{GL}(V) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\text{al}})$$

such that for each prime $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{N}$, we have

$$\det(1 - \rho(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})T) = 1 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}T + \text{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})^{w_0}T^2.$$

Then there is a natural extension of ρ to $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, a special case of **multiplicative induction** (or **tensor induction**) [42, §7] defined as follows: for a lift of τ to $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which by abuse is also denoted τ , we define [36, p. 1363] (taking a left action)

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{Asai}(\rho): \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V \otimes V) \simeq \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell^{\text{al}}) \\ \text{Asai}(\rho)(\sigma)(x \otimes y) &= \begin{cases} \rho(\sigma)(x) \otimes \rho(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau)(y), & \text{if } \sigma|_F = \text{id}; \\ \rho(\sigma\tau)(y) \otimes \rho(\tau^{-1}\sigma)(x), & \text{if } \sigma|_F = \tau|_F. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Up to isomorphism, this representation does not depend on the choice of lift τ . A direct computation [36, Lemma 3.3.1] then verifies that $\det(1 - \text{Asai}(\rho)(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})T) = L_p(f, T, \text{Asai})$ as defined in (4.4).

The bad L -factors $L_p(f, T, \text{Asai})$ and conductor N of $L(f, s, \text{Asai})$ are uniquely determined by the good L -factors, but they are not always straightforward to compute.

5. MATCHING THE HYPERGEOMETRIC AND ASAI L -FUNCTIONS

We now turn to the main conjecture of this paper.

Main conjecture. We propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.1. *Let α be a set of parameters from Table 2.10 and let $\beta = \{1, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$. Then there exist quadratic Dirichlet characters χ, ε and a Hilbert cusp form f over a real quadratic field F of weight $(2, 4)$ such that for all good primes p we have*

$$L_p(H(\alpha, \beta | z), T) \stackrel{?}{=} (1 - \chi(p)p^2T)L_p(f, T/p, \text{Asai}, \varepsilon).$$

In particular, we have the identity

$$L(H(\alpha, \beta | z), s) \stackrel{?}{=} L(s - 2, \chi)L(f, s + 1, \text{Asai}, \varepsilon).$$

We can be more precise in Conjecture 5.1 for some of the rows, as follows. Let $\#n$ be a row in Table 2.10 with $n \neq 7, 13, 14, 15, 16$. Then we conjecture that the central character ψ of f is a quadratic character of the class group of F induced from a Dirichlet character; and the conductors of χ, ε, ψ , the discriminant d_F of F , and the level \mathfrak{N} of f are indicated in Table 5.2.

#	α	z	χ	d_F	\mathfrak{N}	ψ	ε
1, 2	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$	$-2^{\pm 2}$	1	5	(4)	1	1
3, 4	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$	$-2^{\pm 10}$	1	41	(1)	1	1
5	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}$	$(3/4)^3$	1	37	(1)	1	1
6	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\}$	-3^3	1	28	(8)	1	-4
7	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\}$	$-5^5/2^8$	1	69	?	?	1?
8	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}$	$1/2^4$	1	60	(4)	3	1
9	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}$	$-1/48$	1	12	(81)	1	1
10	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\}$	$-3^3/2^4$	1	172	(4)	1	1
11	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$	$-(3/4)^6$	1	193	(1)	1	1
12	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$	$(3/5)^6$	1	76	(8)	1	-4
13	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$	$-1/80^3$	5?	129	?	?	1?
14	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}\}$	$-1/2^{10}$	12?	492	?	?	1?
15	$\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}\}$	$1/7^4$	28?	168	?	?	-7?

Table 5.2: Hilbert modular form data

Evidence. We verified Conjecture 5.1 for the rows indicated in Table 5.2 using MAGMA [4]; the algorithms for hypergeometric motives were implemented by Watkins, algorithms for L -functions implemented by Tim Dokchitser, and algorithms for Hilbert modular forms by Dembélé, Donnelly, Kirschmer, and Voight. The code is available online [11].

Example 5.3. Consider row #1. In the space $S_{(2,4)}(4)$ of Hilbert cusp forms over $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ of weight $(2, 4)$ and level (4) with trivial central character, we find a unique newform f with first few Hecke eigenvalues $a_{(2)} = 0$, $a_{(3)} = -30$, $a_{(\sqrt{5})} = -10$, $a_{(7)} = -70$, and $a_p, a_{\tau(p)} = 12 \pm 8\sqrt{5}$, giving for example

$$L_3(f, T, \text{Asai}) = (1 - 3^6T^2)(1 + 10 \cdot 3T + 3^6T^2);$$

we then match

$$L_3(H(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}; 1, \dots, 1 \mid -1/2^2), T) = (1 - 3^2T)L_3(f, T/3, \text{Asai}).$$

We matched L -factors for all good primes p such that a prime \mathfrak{p} of F lying over p has $\text{Nm}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 200$.

Example 5.4. For row #9, the space of Hilbert cusp forms over $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{12})$ of weight $(2, 4)$ and level $\mathfrak{N} = (81)$ has dimension 2186 with a newspace of dimension 972. We find a form f with Hecke eigenvalues $a_{(5)} = 140$, $a_{(7)} = 98$, \dots ; accordingly, we find

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} L_5(H(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid -1/48), T) &= (1 - 5^2T)L_5(f, T/5, \text{Asai}) \\ &= (1 - 5^2T)^2(1 + 5^2T)(1 + 28T + 625T^2), \end{aligned}$$

and so on. We again matched Hecke eigenvalues up to prime norm 200.

Moreover, using the L -factor data in Table 5.6, we have confirmed the functional equation for $L(H(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid z), s)$ up to 20 decimal digits for the specified rows. When the discriminant d and the level \mathfrak{N} are coprime, we observe that the conductor of $L(f, s, \text{Asai})$ is $N = D \text{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$.

#	N	p	$\text{ord}_p(N)$	$L_p(f, T, \text{Asai}, \varepsilon)$
1, 2	$80 = 2^4 5^1$	2	4	1
		5	1	$(1 - p^2T)(1 + 6pT + p^4T^2)$
3, 4	$41 = 41^1$	2	0	$1 + 5T + 5pT^2 + 5p^4T^3 + p^8T^4$
		41	1	$(1 - p^2T)(1 - 18pT - p^4T^2)$
5	$37 = 37^1$	2	0	$(1 - p^2T)^2(1 + 3pT + p^4T^2)$
		3	0	$1 + 11T + 28pT^2 + 11p^4T^3 + p^8T^4$
		37	1	$(1 - p^2T)(1 + 70pT + p^4T^2)$
6	$112 = 2^4 7^1$	2	4	$1 - p^4T^2$
		3	0	$1 + 8T + 10pT^2 + 8p^4T^3 + p^8T^4$
		7	1	$(1 + p^2T)(1 + 46T + p^4T^2)$
8	$60 = 2^2 3^1 5^1$	2	2	$(1 - p^2T)(1 + 3pT + p^4T^2)$
		3	1	$(1 + 3^2T)(1 + 2T + p^4T^2)$
		5	1	$(1 + 5^2T)(1 - 2T + p^4T^2)$
9	$972 = 2^2 3^5$	2	2	$(1 - p^2T)(1 - p^4T^2)$
		3	5	$1 - p^4T^2$
10	$172 = 2^2 43^1$	2	2	$(1 - p^2T)(1 - p^4T^2)$
		3	0	$1 + 14T + 34pT^2 + 14p^4T^3 + p^8T^4$
		43	1	$(1 - p^2T)(1 + 22pT + p^4T^2)$
11	$193 = 193^1$	2	0	$(1 - p^4T^2)^2$
		193	1	$(1 - p^2T)(1 + 361pT + p^4T^2)$
12	$304 = 2^4 19^1$	2	4	$1 - p^4T^2$
		3	0	$1 + 5T - 8pT^2 + 5p^4T^3 + p^8T^4$
		5	0	$1 - 250pT^2 + p^8T^4$
		19	1	$(1 + p^2T^2)(1 + 178T + p^4T^2)$

Table 5.6: L -factor data for $L_p(H(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \mid z), T) \stackrel{?}{=} L_p(f, T, \text{Asai}, \varepsilon)$

Remark 5.7. To match row #16 in Table 2.10 with a candidate Hilbert modular form, we would need to extend the implementation of hypergeometric motives to apply for specialization at points $z \notin \mathbb{Q}$; we expect this extension to be straightforward, given the current implementation of finite field hypergeometric sums.

By contrast, to match the final rows #7 and #13–#15, we run into difficulty with computing spaces of Hilbert modular forms: we looked for forms in low level, but the dimensions grow too quickly with the level. We also currently lack the ability to efficiently compute with arbitrary nontrivial central character. We plan to return to these examples with a new approach to computing systems of Hecke eigenvalues for Hilbert modular forms in future work.

Method. We now discuss the recipe by which we found a match. For simplicity, we exclude the case #8 and suppose that the central character ψ is trivial. In a nutshell, our method uses good split ordinary primes to recover the Hecke eigenvalues up to sign.

We start with the hypergeometric motive and compute $L_p(H, T) := L_p(H(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} | z), T)$ for many good primes p . We first guess χ and d_F by factoring $L_p(H, T) = (1 - \chi(p)p^2T)Q_p(T)$: for primes p that are split in F , we usually have $Q_p(T)$ irreducible whereas for inert primes we find $(1 - p^4T^2) | Q_p(T)$. Combining this information gives us a good guess for χ and d_F .

We now try to guess the Hecke eigenvalues of a candidate Hilbert newform f of weight $(2, 4)$. Let $p = \mathfrak{p}\tau(\mathfrak{p})$ be a good split prime, and suppose that p is **ordinary** for f , i.e., the normalized valuations $\text{ord}_p(a_{\mathfrak{p}}), \text{ord}_p(a_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})}) = 0, 1$ are as small as possible, or equivalently, factoring

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{\mathfrak{p}}(f, T) &= 1 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}T + p^3T^2 = (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}T)(1 - \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}T) \\ L_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})}(f, T) &= 1 - a_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})}T + p^3T^2 = (1 - \alpha_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})}T)(1 - \beta_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})}T) \end{aligned}$$

we may choose \mathfrak{p} so that $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}, \alpha_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})}/p$ are p -adic units. We expect that such primes will be abundant, though that seems difficult to prove. Then $L_p(f, T, \text{Asai})$ has Hodge–Tate weights (i.e., reciprocal roots with valuations) $(0, 3) \otimes (1, 2) = (1, 2, 4, 5)$ (adding pairwise) so the Tate twist $L_p(f, T/p, \text{Asai})$ has Hodge–Tate weights $(0, 1, 3, 4)$ and coefficients with valuations $0, 0, 1, 3, 4, 8$, matching that of the hypergeometric motive.

So we factor $Q_p(T)$ over the p -adic numbers, identifying ordinary p when the roots $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_3, \delta_4$ have corresponding valuations $0, 1, 3, 4$. Then we have the equations

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} p\delta_0 &= \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})} \\ p\delta_1 &= \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})} \end{aligned}$$

and two similar equations for δ_3, δ_4 . Therefore

$$(5.10) \quad p^2\delta_0\delta_1 = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^2\alpha_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})}\beta_{\tau(\mathfrak{p})} = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}^2p^3$$

so

$$(5.11) \quad \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\delta_0\delta_1}{p}};$$

and this determines the Hecke eigenvalue

$$(5.12) \quad a_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} + \beta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} + p^3/\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

up to sign.

We then go hunting in MAGMA by slowly increasing the level and looking for newforms whose Hecke eigenvalues match the value a_p in (5.12) up to sign. With a candidate in hand, we then compute all good L -factors using (4.3) to identify a precise match. The bottleneck in this approach is the computation of systems of Hecke eigenvalues for Hilbert modular forms.

6. CONCLUSION

The $1/\pi$ story brings many more puzzles into investigation, as formulas discussed in this note do not exhaust the full set of mysteries. Some of them are associated with the special ${}_4F_3$ evaluations of $1/\pi$, like the intermediate one in the trio

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^3} (40n + 3) \frac{1}{7^{4n}} = \frac{49\sqrt{3}}{9\pi},$$

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{8})_n (\frac{3}{8})_n (\frac{5}{8})_n (\frac{7}{8})_n}{n!^3 (\frac{3}{2})_n} (1920n^2 + 1072n + 55) \frac{1}{7^{4n}} = \frac{196\sqrt{7}}{3\pi},$$

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n (\frac{1}{8})_n (\frac{3}{8})_n (\frac{5}{8})_n (\frac{7}{8})_n}{n!^5} (1920n^2 + 304n + 15) \frac{1}{7^{4n}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{56\sqrt{7}}{\pi^2}.$$

Here the first equation is from Ramanujan's list [44, eq. (42)], the second one is recently established by Guillera [25, eq. (1.6)], while the third one corresponds to Entry #15 in Table 2.10 and is given in [22, eq. (2-5)]. There is also one formula for $1/\pi^3$, due to B. Gourevich (2002),

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n^7}{n!^7} (168n^3 + 76n^2 + 14n + 1) \frac{1}{2^{6n}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{32}{\pi^3},$$

which shares similarities with Ramanujan's [44, eq. (29)]

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n^3}{n!^3} (42n + 5) \frac{1}{2^{6n}} = \frac{16}{\pi}$$

(observe that $168 = 42 \times 4$). And the pattern extends even further with the support of the experimental findings

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n^7 (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^9} (43680n^4 + 20632n^3 + 4340n^2 + 466n + 21) \frac{1}{2^{12n}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{2048}{\pi^4},$$

due to J. Cullen (December 2010), and

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_n^5 (\frac{1}{3})_n (\frac{2}{3})_n (\frac{1}{4})_n (\frac{3}{4})_n}{n!^9} (4528n^4 + 3180n^3 + 972n^2 + 147n + 9) \left(-\frac{27}{256}\right)^n \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{768}{\pi^4},$$

due to Yue Zhao [51] (September 2017). On the top of these examples there are 'divergent' hypergeometric formulas for $1/\pi^3$ and $1/\pi^4$ coming from 'reversing' Zhao's experimental formulas for π^4 and $\zeta(5)$ in [51], and corresponding to the hypergeometric data

$${}_7F_6 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \\ 1, \dots, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{3^3}{2^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_9F_8 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5} \\ 1, \dots, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| -\frac{5^5}{2^{10}} \right),$$

respectively. We hope to address the arithmetic-geometric origins of the underlying motives in a near future.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALMKVIST, G., GUILLERA, J., Ramanujan-like series for $1/\pi^2$ and string theory, *Experiment. Math.* **21** (2012), no. 3, 223–234.
- [2] ASAI, T., On certain Dirichlet series associated with Hilbert modular forms and Rankin’s method, *Math. Ann.* **226** (1977), 81–94.
- [3] BEUKERS, F., COHEN, H., and MELLIT, A., Finite hypergeometric functions, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **11**:4 (2015), 559–589.
- [4] BOSMA, W., CANNON, J., and PLAYOUST, C., The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24**:3–4 (1997), 235–265.
- [5] BRUMER, A., PACETTI, A., POOR, C., TORNARIA, G., VOIGHT, J., YUEN, D.Y., On the paramodularity of typical abelian surfaces, *Algebra Number Theory* (to appear); *Preprint* [arXiv:1805.10873 \[math.NT\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.10873) (2018), 46 pp.
- [6] CANDELAS, P., DE LA OSSA, X., GREEN, P.S., PARKES, L., A pair of Calabi–Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory, *Nuclear Phys. B* **359** (1991), no. 1, 21–74.
- [7] CHAN, H.H., COOPER, S., Rational analogues of Ramanujan’s series for $1/\pi$, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **153** (2012), no. 2, 361–383.
- [8] CLEMENS, C. H., *A scrapbook of complex curve theory*, 2nd ed., Grad. Studies in Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2003).
- [9] COHEN, H., Computing L -functions: a survey, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **27** (2015), no. 3, 699–726.
- [10] DEMBÉLÉ, L., VOIGHT, J., Explicit methods for Hilbert modular forms, *Elliptic curves, Hilbert modular forms and Galois deformations*, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel (2013), 135–198.
- [11] DEMBÉLÉ, L. and VOIGHT, J., Code for Asai recognition (2019), available at http://www.math.dartmouth.edu/~jvoight/HGM_Asai.m.
- [12] DORAN, C.F., KELLY, T.L., SALERNO, A., SPERBER, S., VOIGHT, J., WHITCHER, U., Zeta functions of alternate mirror Calabi–Yau families, *Israel J. Math.* **228** (2018), no. 2, 665–705.
- [13] DORAN, C.F., KELLY, T.L., SALERNO, A., SPERBER, S., VOIGHT, J., WHITCHER, U., Hypergeometric decomposition of symmetric K3 quartic pencils, *Preprint* [arXiv:1810.06254 \[math.NT\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.06254) (2018), 69 pp.
- [14] DWORK, B., p -adic cycles, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **37** (1969), 27–115.
- [15] ELKIES, N.D., SCHÜTT, M., *K3 families of high Picard rank*, <http://www2.iag.uni-hannover.de/~schuett/K3-fam.pdf> (2008).
- [16] FREITAG, E., *Hilbert modular forms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990).
- [17] FUSELIER, J.G., MCCARTHY, D., Hypergeometric type identities in the p -adic setting and modular forms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **144** (2016), no. 4, 1493–1508.
- [18] VAN DER GEER, G., *Hilbert modular surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1988).
- [19] GREENE, J., Hypergeometric functions over finite fields, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **301** (1987), 77–101.
- [20] GROSS, B., KOBLITZ, N., Gauss sums and the p -adic Γ -function, *Ann. Math.* **109** (1979), no. 3, 569–581.
- [21] GUILLERA, J., Some binomial series obtained by the WZ-method, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **29** (2002), no. 4, 599–603.
- [22] GUILLERA, J., [About a new kind of Ramanujan-type series](#), *Experiment. Math.* **12** (2003), no. 4, 507–510.
- [23] GUILLERA, J., Generators of some Ramanujan formulas, *Ramanujan J.* **11** (2006), no. 1, 41–48.
- [24] GUILLERA, J., A new Ramanujan-like series for $1/\pi^2$, *Ramanujan J.* **26** (2011), no. 3, 369–374.
- [25] GUILLERA, J., More Ramanujan–Orr formulas for $1/\pi$, *New Zealand J. Math.* **47** (2017), 151–160.
- [26] GUILLERA, J., WZ pairs and q -analogues of Ramanujan’s series for $1/\pi$ (with an appendix by W. Zudilin), *J. Diff. Equat. Appl.* **24** (2018), no. 12, 1871–1879.
- [27] GUILLERA, J., Bilateral sums related to Ramanujan-like series, *Preprint* [arXiv:1610.04839v2 \[math.NT\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.04839v2) (2019), 13 pp.
- [28] GUILLERA, J., ZUDILIN, W., “Divergent” Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (2012), no. 3, 765–777.

- [29] GUILLERA, J., ZUDILIN, W., Ramanujan-type formulae for $1/\pi$: the art of translation, in “The Legacy of Srinivasa Ramanujan”, B.C. Berndt & D. Prasad (eds.), *Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser.* **20** (2013), 181–195.
- [30] HECKE, E., Theorie der Eisensteinschen Reihen und ihre Anwendung auf Funktionentheorie und Arithmetik, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg* **5** (1927), 199–224.
- [31] HIDA, H., *Elementary theory of L-functions and Eisenstein series*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts **26**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993).
- [32] IGUSA, J., Class number of a definite quaternion with prime discriminant, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **44** (1958), 312–314.
- [33] IKEDA, T., On the lifting of elliptic cusp forms to Siegel cusp forms of degree $2n$, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **154** (2001), 641–681.
- [34] KATZ, N.M., p -Adic interpolation of real analytic Eisenstein series, *Ann. of Math.* **104** (1976), 459–571.
- [35] KATZ, N.M., *Exponential sums and differential equations*, Annals of Math. Studies **124**, Princeton (1990).
- [36] KRISHNAMURTHY, M., Determination of cusp forms on $GL(2)$ by coefficients restricted to quadratic subfields (with an appendix by Dipendra Prasad and Dinakar Ramakrishnan), *J. Number Theory* **132** (2012), no. 6, 1359–1384.
- [37] KRISHNAMURTHY, M., The Asai transfer to GL_4 via the Langlands-Shahidi method, *Int. Math. Res. Not* **41** (2003), 2221–2254.
- [38] KOBLITZ, N., *p -Adic analysis: a short course on recent work*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Series **46**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1980).
- [39] KUBOTA, T., *Elementary theory of Eisenstein series*, Kodansha Ltd. & John Wiley and Sons, Halsted Press (1973).
- [40] LANG, S., *Introduction to modular forms*, With appendices by D. Zagier and W. Feit, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1995).
- [41] MIYAKE, T., *Modular forms*, Berlin etc., Springer-Verlag (1989).
- [42] PRASAD, D. Invariant forms for representations of GL_2 over a local field, *Amer. J. Math.* **114** (1992), no. 6, 1317–1363.
- [43] RAMAKRISHNAN, D. Modularity of solvable Artin representations of $GO(4)$ -type, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2002), no. **1**, 1–54
- [44] RAMANUJAN, S., Modular equations and approximations to π , *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **45** (1914), 350–372; Reprinted in *Collected papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan*, G. H. Hardy, P. V. Sechu Aiyar, and B. M. Wilson (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1927) & Chelsea Publ., New York (1962), 23–39.
- [45] ROBERTS, D.P., RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F., [Hypergeometric supercongruences](#), in *2017 MATRIX Annals*, MATRIX Book Ser. **2**, Springer (2019), 435–439.
- [46] ROBERTS, D., RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F., WATKINS, M., *Hypergeometric motives*, in preparation.
- [47] TAYLOR, R. On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms. *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989), no. 2, 265–280.
- [48] RODRIGUEZ-VILLEGAS, F., *Hypergeometric motives*, Lecture notes (2017).
- [49] WEIL, A., Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1949), 497.
- [50] WEIL, A., Jacobi sums as “Größencharaktere”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73** (1952), no. 3, 487–495.
- [51] ZHAO, Y., A mysterious connection between Ramanujan-type formulas for $1/\pi^k$ and hypergeometric motives, <http://mathoverflow.net/questions/281009/> (September 2017).
- [52] ZUDILIN, W., Ramanujan-type formulae for $1/\pi$: A second wind?, *Modular forms and string duality* (Banff, June 2006), N. Yui, H. Verrill, and C. F. Doran (eds.), Fields Inst. Commun. Ser. **54**, Amer. Math. Soc. & Fields Inst., Providence, RI (2008), 179–188.
- [53] ZUDILIN, W., Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Number Theory* **129** (2009), no. 8, 1848–1857.
- [54] ZUDILIN, W., A hypergeometric version of the modularity of rigid Calabi–Yau manifolds, *SIGMA* **14** (2018), 086, 16 pages.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, 6188 KEMENY HALL, HANOVER, NH 03755,
USA

E-mail address: lassina.dembele@gmail.com

INSTITUT FOURIER, UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE-ALPES, B.P. 74, 38402 ST.-MARTIN D'HÈRES, FRANCE

E-mail address: alexei.pantchichkine@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

URL: <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~panchish/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, 6188 KEMENY HALL, HANOVER, NH 03755,
USA

E-mail address: jvoight@gmail.com

URL: <http://www.math.dartmouth.edu/~jvoight/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IMAPP, RADBOUD UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 9010, 6500 GL NIJME-
GEN, NETHERLANDS

E-mail address: w.zudilin@math.ru.nl

URL: <http://www.math.ru.nl/~wzudilin/>