

ERRATA:
RINGS OF LOW RANK WITH A STANDARD INVOLUTION

JOHN VOIGHT

This note gives errata for the article *Rings of low rank with a standard involution* [1].

- (1) Proof of Lemma 1.3: it is assumed in the second paragraph that B is locally free of constant rank, so no parenthetical appeal to the connectedness of R is required.
- (2) Lemma 2.9: in the second sentence, Lemma 1.3 does not immediately imply that there is a basis $1, x$ for S : it only says that $S \simeq R \oplus S/R$. This is not needed, however: S/R is itself locally free, so locally we still choose a generator for this summand. (It is, in fact, true that a free quadratic R -algebra has a basis including 1 [2, Lemma 3.2].)

Also, it is simpler to work directly with the affine cover of localization at distinguished opens, rather mixing localization at primes and at elements.

- (3) Corollary 3.2: In the second case where $2 = 0$, we have

$$R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^2 - a_1, x_2^2 - a_2, \dots, x_n^2 - a_n)$$

with $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ —this is what was proved in Proposition 3.1.

- (4) Proposition 4.10: One needs to take *isomorphism classes* of flags.

REFERENCES

- [1] John Voight, *Rings of low rank with a standard involution*, Illinois J. Math. **55** (2011), no. 3, 1135–1154.
- [2] John Voight, *Discriminants and the monoid of quadratic rings*, [arXiv:1504.05228](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.05228), 13 January 2016.