# Winter 2019 Math 106 Topics in Applied Mathematics Data-driven Uncertainty Quantification

Yoonsang Lee (yoonsang.lee@dartmouth.edu)

Lecture 4: Parametric Inference

**Statistical inference** or **learning** is the process of using data to infer the distribution that generated the data.

Therefore, we can estimate statical functionals of the unknown distribution

Note that any map of a distribution is called a *statistical functional* of the distribution

$$F = F(P)$$
.

For example, for a distribution P(x) and its corresponding density p(x)

- $\blacktriangleright$   $E[X] = \int xp(x)dx$
- median =  $P^{-1}(1/2)$

For a sample of two random variables X and Y with a joint density p(x, y)

$$\triangleright$$
  $E[Y|X=x] = \int yp(x,y)/p(x)dy$ 



**Example.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  is a sample from a density p(x). Infer p(x) using the sample.

1. If we assume that p(x) is a Gaussian, we need to estimate only the mean and variance using the sample mean and variance

$$\hat{m} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} X_{i}$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i (X_i - \hat{m})^2$$

2. Without assuming any form for p(x), we estimate the p(x) using a histogram

**Example.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  is a sample from a density p(x). Infer p(x) using the sample.

1. If we assume that p(x) is a Gaussian, we need to estimate only the mean and variance using the sample mean and variance

$$\hat{m} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} X_{i}$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i (X_i - \hat{m})^2$$

2. Without assuming any form for p(x), we estimate the p(x) using a histogram

Example 1 is an example of *parametric inference* (where the unknown parameters are the mean and the variance). Example is an example of *nonparametric inference*.



Broadly speaking, inferential problems fall into one of the three types

- 1. Point estimation
- 2. Confidence set (interval for 1D)
- 3. Hypothesis testing

Let F be a statistical functional of an unknown distribution P and  $\{X_i\}$  be a independent and identically distributed sample of P.

Point estimation provide a single best guess of F, often denoted by

$$\hat{F} = g(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n),$$

which is a function of the sample.

Let F be a statistical functional of an unknown distribution P and  $\{X_i\}$  be a independent and identically distributed sample of P.

Point estimation provide a single best guess of F, often denoted by

$$\hat{F} = g(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n),$$

which is a function of the sample.

This means that if we have a different sample  $\hat{F}$  changes. To be more precise,  $\hat{F}$  is a random variable.

Let F be a statistical functional of an unknown distribution P and  $\{X_i\}$  be a independent and identically distributed sample of P.

Point estimation provide a single best guess of F, often denoted by

$$\hat{F} = g(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n),$$

which is a function of the sample.

The distribution of  $\hat{F}$  is called the **sampling distribution** and its standard deviation is called the **standard error**, denoted by **se**.

$$\mathbf{se} = \sqrt{\textit{Var}(\hat{F})}$$

Let F be a statistical functional of an unknown distribution P and  $\{X_i\}$  be a independent and identically distributed sample of P.

Point estimation provide a single best guess of F, often denoted by

$$\hat{F} = g(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n),$$

which is a function of the sample.

- If the expected value of the point estimator is equal to the true value  $F_{true}$ , then the estimator is called **unbiased**.
- ▶ If the estimator converges in probability to the true value as the sample size, n, increases, the estimator is called consistent.
- ► The estimator is asymptotically Normal if the estimator converges in distribution to a normal as the sample size increases.

The mean squared error (MSE) defined as

$$E[(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^2]$$

can be written as

$$\mathsf{MSE} = \mathsf{bias}(\hat{\theta})^2 + \mathit{Var}(\hat{\theta}).$$

**Example.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  is a sample of a Bernoulli(p). The estimator of p is given by

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i.$$

- $\triangleright$   $\hat{p}$  is unbiased.
- From the law of large numbers, it is also consistent.
- From the central limit theorem, it is asymptotically normal.
- ▶ The standard error  $\mathbf{se} = \sqrt{Var(\hat{p})} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$ .
- ▶ The estimated **se** uses the estimated  $\hat{p}$  for the standard error

$$\hat{\mathsf{se}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}.$$

#### 4.1.2 Confidence Sets

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be an independent, identically distributed sample. A  $1-\alpha$  confidence set is a set C, which is a function of the sample, such that

$$\mu(F \in C) = 1 - \alpha.$$

That is, the probability that C traps the true value F is  $1-\alpha$ . **Example.** Let F is a scalar value. If an estimator  $\hat{F}$  is asymptotically normal and the sample size n is large, the  $1-\alpha$  confidence interval  $C_n$  is given by

$$(\hat{F} - z_{\alpha/2}\hat{\mathbf{se}}, \hat{F} + z_{\alpha/2}\hat{\mathbf{se}})$$

where  $z = \Phi^{-1}(1 - (\alpha/2))$  for the standard normal distribution  $\Phi$ .

#### 4.1.2 Confidence Sets

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be an independent, identically distributed sample. A  $1-\alpha$  confidence set is a set C, which is a function of the sample, such that

$$\mu(F \in C) = 1 - \alpha.$$

That is, the probability that C traps the true value F is  $1 - \alpha$ .

A frequently asked question for a data scientist position. The interpretation, "the probability of the true value F is in the set C is  $1-\alpha$ " is an incorrect statement.

When we construct a confidence set C using a sample  $\{X_i\}$ , C is a random variable while the true value F is fixed. Thus, the definition of the confidence set

$$\mu(F \in C) = 1 - \alpha.$$

is about a probability of the random variable C, not F.



### 4.1.3 Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing starts with a null hypothesis and check if the sample provide sufficient evidence to reject the theory. Check one of your favorite statistics books for details.

#### 4.2 Parameteric Inference

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be an IID sample of a distribution P. In the parametric inference, we assume that the form of the unknown distribution is parameterized by a set of parameters  $\theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_m)$ 

$$P(x) = P(x; \theta).$$

If we have an estimate of the parameter, say  $\hat{\theta}$ , the estimator provides an estimate of the distribution  $P(x; \hat{\theta})$ .

#### Example.

- If we assume that the sample is from a Gaussian distribution with a mean m and a variance  $\sigma^2$ , the parameter is a pair  $(m, \sigma^2)$ .
- ▶ If we assume that the sample is from a Bernoulli(p), the parameter is the mean p.

#### 4.2 Parameteric Inference

We will consider two methods for parametric inference

- Method of Moments
- Max Likelihood Estimator (MLE)

#### 4.2.1 Method of Moments

For a sample  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ , the j-th moment is

$$\alpha_j(\theta) = E[X^j] = \int x^j p(x;\theta) dx$$
, i.e., a function of  $\theta$ ,

where  $p(x; \theta)$  is the parametrized density of the parametrized distribution  $P(x; \theta)$ . The *j*-th sample moment,  $\hat{\alpha}_j$ , is

$$\hat{\alpha}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i^j$$

If the size of the parameter  $\theta$  is m, the **method of moments** estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is defined to be the value  $\theta$  such that

$$\alpha_j(\hat{\theta}) = \hat{\alpha}_j, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., k.$$

#### 4.2.1 Method of Moments

**Example.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be an IID sample of Bernoulli(p).

- ▶ The size of parameter  $\theta = p$  is 1.
- The first moment  $\alpha_1(\theta) = \alpha_1(p) = p$  and the first sample moment  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  is

$$\hat{\alpha}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i.$$

▶ By setting  $\alpha_1(\theta) = \hat{\alpha}_1$ , we have

$$\hat{\theta} = \hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i.$$

#### 4.2.1 Method of Moments

**Example.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be an IID sample of Normal $(m, \sigma^2)$ .

- ▶ The size of parameter  $\theta = (m, \sigma^2)$  is 2.
- ▶ The first and the second moments are

$$\alpha_1(m, \sigma^2) = \mu, \quad \alpha_2(m, \sigma^2) = m^2 + \sigma^2$$

The sample first and the sample second moments are

$$\hat{\alpha}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i, \quad \hat{\alpha}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2$$

Solving the system of equations gives

$$\hat{m}u = \frac{1}{n}\sum X_i, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum (X_i - \hat{u})^2.$$

Note that  $\sigma^2$  is biased (but consistent).

Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be IID with a density  $p(x; \theta)$ . The joint distribution of the sample  $p(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n; \theta)$  is

$$p(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i; \theta) = p(x_1; \theta) p(x_2; \theta) \cdots p(x_n; \theta)$$

This joint density as a function of  $\theta$  is called the **likelihood** function

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; \theta).$$

The likelihood is the probability (density) of the sample under the assumption of the parametric model. Note that n is the sample size.

**Warning.** The likelihood function is not a density of  $\theta$ .

**Definition.** The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE)  $\hat{\theta}$  is the value  $\theta$  that maximizes the likelihood function  $\mathcal{L}_n(\theta)$ .

**Definition.** The **maximum likelihood estimator** (MLE)  $\hat{\theta}$  is the value  $\theta$  that maximizes the likelihood function  $\mathcal{L}_n(\theta)$ .

**Example.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  is IID Bernoulli(p). The likelihood function is

$$\mathcal{L}_n(p) = \prod_i^n p^{X_i} (1-p)^{1-X_i} = p^{S} (1-P)^{n-S}$$

where  $S = \sum X_i$ .

Hence,

$$\ln \mathcal{L}(p) = S \ln p + (n - S) \ln(1 - p).$$

Take the derivative and set it equal to zero gives

$$\hat{p} = \frac{S}{n}$$
.

**Definition.** The **maximum likelihood estimator** (MLE)  $\hat{\theta}$  is the value  $\theta$  that maximizes the likelihood function  $\mathcal{L}_n(\theta)$ .

**Example.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  is IID Normal $(m, \sigma^2)$ . The likelihood function after a scaling is

$$\mathcal{L}(m,\sigma) = \Pi \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (X_i - m)^2\right) = \sigma^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (X_i - m)^2\right)$$
$$= \sigma^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{nS^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{n(\overline{X} - m)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

where  $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i$  and  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - m)^2$ . The log-likelihood is

$$I(m,\sigma) = -n \ln \sigma - \frac{nS^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n(\overline{X} - m)^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

Solving the gradient of  $I(m, \sigma)$  equal to zero gives

$$\hat{m} = \overline{X}$$
 and  $\hat{\sigma} = S$ .



**Exercise.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  is IID Uniform $(0, \theta)$ . Find the MLE of  $\theta$ .

Under certain conditions on the model, the MLE has the following properties

- 1. It is **consistent**. That is,  $\hat{\theta}_n \to \theta_{true}$  in probability.
- 2. It is **equivalent**. If  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the MLE of  $\theta$ , then  $g(\hat{\theta})$  is the MLE of  $g(\theta)$ .
- 3. It is **asymptotically normal**.  $\hat{\theta}_n \theta_{true}$  converges in distribution to  $N(0, \mathbf{se}^2)$ .
- 4. It is **asymptotically optimal**. That is, roughly speaking, among all well-behaved estimators, the MLE has the smallest variance, at least for large samples.
- 5. It is approximately the **Bayes estimator**.

#### Idea of the proof for the consistency.

▶ Maximizing  $\mathcal{L}_n(\theta)$  is equivalent to maximizing

$$M_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \ln \frac{p(X_i; \theta)}{p(X_i; \theta_{true})}.$$

From the law of large numbers,  $M_n$  converges to the expected value

$$E\left(\ln \frac{p(X;\theta)}{p(X;\theta_{true})}\right) = \int \ln \frac{p(x;\theta)}{p(x;\theta_{true})} p(x;\theta_{true}) dx$$
$$= -D(p(x;\theta_{true}), p(x;\theta)) \le 0$$

with equality when  $\theta = \theta_{true}$ .

Idea of the proof for the asymptotically normal property.

For  $I_n(\theta) = \log \mathcal{L}_n(\theta)$ 

$$0 = I'_n(\hat{\theta}) \approx I'_n(\theta) + (\hat{\theta} - \theta)I''_n(\theta)$$

which yields

$$\hat{\theta} - \theta = -\frac{l_n'(\theta)}{l_n''(\theta)}$$

From the central limit theorem,  $I_n'(\theta)/\sqrt{n}$  converges in distribution to  $N(0,I(\theta))$  where  $I(\theta)$  is the variance of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln p(x;\theta)$ . Also, from the law of large numbers,  $I_n''(\theta)/n$  converges in probability to the mean of  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \ln p(x;\theta)$ , which is  $I(\theta)$ .

**Exercise.** Show that the mean of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln p(x; \theta)$  is 0.

**Exercise.** Show that the mean of  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \ln p(x; \theta)$  is the variance of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln p(x; \theta)$ , that is  $I(\theta)$ .

► The score function is the first derivative of the parametrized density

$$s(X;\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln p(x;\theta).$$

▶ The variance of the sum of the score functions is called **Fisher** information

$$I_n(\theta) = Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s(X_i; \theta)).$$

That is, the Fisher information is  $nI(\theta)$  where  $I(\theta)$  is the variance of the score function.

# 4.2.4 The Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm

**Goal:** Find a  $\theta$  that maximize  $\mathcal{L}_n(\theta)$ , i.e., the MLE estimator. **Algorithm:** 

- 1. Pick an initial value  $\theta^0$ . For j = 1, 2, ..., repeat steps 1 and 2
- 2. (The E-step): Calculate

$$J(\theta|\theta^{j}) = E\left(\ln\frac{\mathsf{\Pi}p(x_{i}, y_{i}; \theta)}{\mathsf{\Pi}p(x_{i}, y_{i}; \theta^{j})}|x\right)$$

This expectation is over the missing variable  $\{y_i\}$  treating  $\theta^j$  and  $\{x_i\}$  are fixed.

3. Find  $\theta^{j+1}$  maximizing  $J(\theta|\theta^j)$ .

## 4.2.4 The Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm

**Idea of the proof.** We want to show that the procedure increases the likelihood, that is,  $\mathcal{L}(\theta^{j+1}) \geq \mathcal{L}(\theta^j)$ .

From

$$J(\theta^{j+1}|\theta^{j}) = E\left(\ln\frac{\Pi p(x_{i}, y_{i}; \theta^{j+1})}{\Pi p(x_{i}, y_{i}; \theta^{j})}|\{x_{i}\}\right)$$
$$= \ln\frac{\mathcal{L}(\theta^{j+1})}{\mathcal{L}(\theta^{j})} + E\left(\ln\frac{\Pi p(y_{i}|x_{i}; \theta^{j+1})}{\Pi p(y_{i}|x_{i}; \theta^{j})}|\{x_{i}\}\right)$$

we have

$$\ln \frac{\mathcal{L}(\theta^{j+1})}{\mathcal{L}(\theta^{j})} = J(\theta^{j+1}|\theta^{j}) - E\left(\ln \frac{\Pi p(y_{i}|x_{i};\theta^{j+1})}{\Pi p(y_{i}|\{x_{i}\};\theta^{j})}|\{x_{i}\}\right)$$
$$= J(\theta^{j+1}|\theta^{j}) + D(f_{j}, f_{j+1}) \ge 0$$

where  $f_j = \prod p(y_i|x_i;\theta^j)$ .

# 4.2.4 The Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm

**Example.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  be a sample from a parametrized density

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(x; \mu_1, 1) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(x; \mu_0, 1)$$

where  $\phi(x; \mu_i, 1)$  is a Gaussian density with a mean  $\mu_i$  and a variance 1. Find the MLE.