

Winter 2021 Math 106  
Topics in Applied Mathematics  
Data-driven Uncertainty Quantification

Yoonsang Lee (yoonsang.lee@dartmouth.edu)

Lecture 14: Stationary Stochastic Process

## 14.1 Stationary Stochastic Process

**Definition.**  $u(\omega, t) \in \mathbb{C}$  is a complex-valued stochastic process if the real and imaginary parts of  $u$  are stochastic processes.

Let  $m(t) = E[u(\omega, t)]$ , i.e., the mean of  $u$  at time  $t$ .

**Definition.**  $R(t_1, t_2) = E[(u(\omega, t_1) - m(t_1))\overline{(u(\omega, t_2) - m(t_2))}]$

**Properties of  $R(t_1, t_2)$ .**

1.  $R(t_1, t_2) = \overline{R(t_2, t_1)}$
2.  $R(t_1, t_1) \geq 0$
3.  $|R(t_1, t_2)| \leq \sqrt{R(t_1, t_2)R(t_2, t_1)}$
4. For all  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  and all  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\sum_i^n \sum_j^n R(t_i, t_j) z_i \bar{z}_j \geq 0$$

**Proof of 4.** For any choice of complex numbers  $z_j$ ,

$$\sum_i^n \sum_j^n R(t_i, t_j) z_i \bar{z}_j = E \left[ \left| \sum_j^n (u(\omega, t_j) - m(t_j)) z_j \right|^2 \right] \geq 0$$

## 14.1 Stationary Stochastic Process

**Definition.** A process is stationary in the strict sense if for any  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  and  $T \in \mathbb{R}$   $u(t_1), u(t_2), \dots, u(t_n)$  and  $u(t_1 + T), u(t_2 + T), \dots, u(t_n + T)$  have the same distribution.

- ▶ A stationary stochastic process in the strict sense has moments that are independent of time.
- ▶  $R(t_1 - t_2) = R(t_1, t_2)$

**Properties of  $R(t)$ .**

1.  $R(t) = \overline{R(-t)}$
2.  $R(0) \geq 0$
3.  $|R(t)| \leq R(0)$
4. For any  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\sum_i \sum_j R(t_i - t_j) z_i \bar{z}_j \geq 0$$

## 14.1 Stationary Stochastic Process

**Definition.** A stochastic process is stationary in the wild sense if it has a constant mean and its covariance function depends only on the different between the arguments, i.e.,

- ▶  $m(t) = m$
- ▶  $R(t_1, t_2) = R(t_1 - t_2)$

**Example.**

- ▶ Brownian motion is not stationary.
- ▶ White noise is stationary.
- ▶ A stationary Gaussian stochastic process in the wild sense is stationary in the strict sense.

In this course, we consider stationary processes that are stationary in the wild sense.

## 14.1 Stationary Stochastic Process

Pick  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$  to be a random variable and  $h(t)$  a nonrandom function of time. We will consider

$$u(\omega, t) = \xi(\omega)h(t).$$

- ▶  $E[u(\omega, t)] = E[\xi]h(t)$  is constant if  $h(t)$  is constant or  $E[\xi] = 0$ .
- ▶ Suppose  $E[\xi] = 0$ ,

$$R(t_1, t_2) = E[\xi h(t_1)\xi \bar{h}(t_2)] = E[\xi \bar{\xi}]h(t_1)h(\bar{t}_2)$$

must depend only on  $t_1 - t_2$ .

- ▶ If  $t_1 = t_2 = t$ ,  $E[\xi \bar{\xi}]h(t)h(\bar{t})$  must be  $R(0)$ , and thus  $h(t)h(\bar{t})$  is constant

$$h(t) = Ae^{i\phi(t)}$$

## 14.1 Stationary Stochastic Process

- ▶ Suppose  $A \neq 0$ ,

$$R(t_1 - t_2) = |A|^2 E[\xi \bar{\xi}] e^{i\phi(t_1) - i\phi(t_2)}$$

- ▶ Using  $t_2 = t$ ,  $t_1 - t_2 = T$ ,

$$R(T) = |A|^2 E[\xi \bar{\xi}] e^{i\phi(t+T) - i\phi(t)}$$

- ▶ To satisfy  $R(T) = \overline{R(-T)}$ ,

$$\phi(t+T) - \phi(t) = -\phi(t-T) + \phi(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(t+T) - 2\phi(t) + \phi(t-T) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi''(t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t$$

Thus,  $\phi(t) = \lambda t + \beta$ .

**Conclusion.**  $u(\omega, t) = \xi(\omega)h(t)$  is stationary in the wild sense if  $h(t) = Ce^{i\lambda t}$  and  $E[\xi] = 0$ . Its covariance function is  $R(T) = E[\xi^2]e^{i\lambda T}$ .

## 14.2 Covariance and Spectrum

Consider a more general form  $u(\omega, t) = \xi_1(\omega)e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \xi_2(\omega)e^{i\lambda_2 t}$  with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ .

- ▶  $E[u] = E[\xi_1]e^{i\lambda_1 t} + E[\xi_2]e^{i\lambda_2 t}$ , which is independent of  $t$  if  $E[\xi_1] = E[\xi_2] = 0$ .
- ▶  $E[(\xi_1(\omega)e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \xi_2(\omega)e^{i\lambda_2 t})(\overline{\xi_1(\omega)e^{i\lambda_1 t} + \xi_2(\omega)e^{i\lambda_2 t}})]$   
 $= E[|\xi_1|^2 e^{i\lambda_1 T} + |\xi_2|^2 e^{i\lambda_2 T} + \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_2 e^{i\lambda_1 t_2 - i\lambda_2 t_2} + \bar{\xi}_1 \xi_2 e^{i\lambda_1 t_1 - i\lambda_2 t_2}]$   
which can be stationary only if  $E[\xi_1 \bar{\xi}_2] = 0$ .
- ▶ If  $E[\xi_1 \bar{\xi}_2] = 0$ ,

$$R(T) = E[|\xi_1|^2]e^{i\lambda_1 T} + E[|\xi_2|^2]e^{i\lambda_2 T}$$

A generalization of this says a process  $u = \sum_j \xi_j e^{i\lambda_j t}$  is stationary in the wild sense if  $E[\xi_j \bar{\xi}_k] = 0$  when  $j \neq k$  and  $E[\xi_j] = 0$ .

In this case,

$$R(T) = \sum_j E[|\xi_j|^2]e^{i\lambda_j T}.$$

## 14.2 Covariance and Spectrum

**Definition.**  $G(k) = \sum_{j|\lambda_j \leq k} E[|\xi_j|^2]$ , the sum of expected values of the squares of the amplitudes with frequencies less than  $K$ .



## 14.2 Covariance and Spectrum

**Definition.**  $G(k) = \sum_{j|\lambda_j \leq k} E[|\xi_j|^2]$ , the sum of expected values of the squares of the amplitudes with frequencies less than  $K$ .

**Theorem.** (Khinchin)

1. If  $R(T)$  is the covariance function of a stochastic process  $u(\omega, t)$  stationary in the wild sense such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} E[(u(t+h) - u(t))^2] = 0,$$

then  $R(T) = \int e^{ikT} dG(k)$ .

2. If a function  $R(T)$  can be written as  $\int e^{ikT} dG(k)$  for some nondecreasing function  $G$ , then there exists a stochastic process, stationary in the wild sense, satisfying the condition in part (1) of the theorem, that has  $R(T)$  as its covariance.

## 14.2 Covariance and Spectrum

- ▶ If  $G(k)$  is differentiable, i.e.,  $dG = g(k)dk$ ,  $g(k)$  is called the **spectral density of the process**.
- ▶ That is,  $R(T)$  is a Fourier transform of the spectral density
- ▶ Also,  $g(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikT} R(T) dT$

**Example.** White noise  $R(T) = \delta(T)$  and  $g(k)$  is constant.

## 14.3 Time Series

Time series  $u(\omega, t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ , a stochastic process indexed by a discrete set.

Assume that  $E[u(t)] = 0$ . Then  $R(T) = E[u(t+T)\overline{u(t)}]$  has the following properties

1.  $R(0) \geq 0$
2.  $|R(T)| \leq R(0)$
3.  $R(T) = \overline{R(-T)}$
4.  $\sum_{ij} R(i-j)z_j\bar{z}_j \geq 0$ .

▶ If  $u = \xi(\omega)h(t)$ , we have  $h(t) = Ae^{i\phi(t)}$ .

▶ Using  $R(1) = \overline{R(-1)}$ , we obtain

$$\phi(t+1) - \phi(t) = -(\phi(t-1) - \phi(t)) \pmod{2\pi} \text{ for } t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

▶ Set  $\phi(0) = \alpha$  and  $\phi(0) - \phi(-1) = \lambda$ . Using induction,  $\phi(t) = \alpha + \lambda t \pmod{2\pi}$  and  $h(t) = Ae^{i(\alpha + \lambda t)} = Ce^{i\lambda t}$ .

▶  $g(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(T)e^{-iT k}$  and  $R(T) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{iT k} g(k) dk$ .

## 14.3 Time Series

**Example.** We want to estimate  $u(\omega, t + m)$ ,  $m \geq 0$  given  $u(\omega, t - n), \dots, u(\omega, t - 1)$ .

- ▶ Our estimate  $\hat{u}(t + m)$  is the minimizer of

$$E[|u(t + m) - \hat{u}(t + m)|^2] \quad (1)$$

- ▶ That is,

$$\hat{u}(t + m) = E[u(t + m) | u(t - n), \dots, u(t - 1)] \quad (2)$$

## 14.3 Time Series

**Example.** We want to estimate  $u(\omega, t + m)$ ,  $m \geq 0$  given  $u(\omega, t - n), \dots, u(\omega, t - 1)$ .

- ▶ Our estimate  $\hat{u}(t + m)$  is the minimizer of

$$E[|u(t + m) - \hat{u}(t + m)|^2] \quad (1)$$

- ▶ That is,

$$\hat{u}(t + m) = E[u(t + m) | u(t - n), \dots, u(t - 1)] \quad (2)$$

**Exercise.** Derive (2) from (1).

## 14.3 Time Series

For a basis  $\{\phi_i\}$  in the space of functions of  $u(t-n), u(t-n+1), \dots, u(t-1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{u}(t+m) &= E[u(t+m)|u(t-n), \dots, u(t-1)] \\ &\approx \sum_j^n a_j \phi_j(\{u(t-n), u(t-n+1), \dots, u(t-1)\})\end{aligned}$$

A natural choice for  $\phi_i$  is  $\{u(t-n), u(t-n+1), \dots, u(t-1)\}$ , i.e.,

$$\hat{u}(t+m) = \sum_j^n a_j u(t-j)$$

**a linear prediction** for time series (or a autoregressive model).

## 14.3 Time Series

**How to find  $a_j$ .** Find  $\{a_j\}$  that minimizes

$$E[|u(t+m) - \sum_j a_j u(t-j)|^2]$$

$$= E[(u(t+m) - \sum_j a_j u(t-j))(u(t+m) - \sum_k a_k u(t-k))^*]$$

$$= E[u(t+m)\overline{u(t+m)} - \sum_k \bar{a}_k u(t+m)\overline{u(t-k)} - \sum_j a_j \overline{u(t+m)}u(t-j) + \sum_j \sum_k a_j \bar{a}_k u(t-j)\overline{u(t-k)}]$$

$$= R(0) - 2\text{Re}(\sum_j \bar{a}_j R(m+j)) + \sum_j \sum_k a_j \bar{a}_k R(k-j)$$

Now take a partial derivative  $\frac{\partial E[|u(t+m) - \sum_j a_j u(t-j)|^2]}{\partial \bar{a}_j}$

$$= -R(m+j) + \sum_k a_k R(j-k) = 0.$$

There are  $n$ -linear equations of  $n$  unknowns, which is solvable

(sure?)

# Homework

1. Derive equation (2) from equation (1).
2. Numerically solve  $du = -udt + dw$  up to  $t = 100$ .  $u(0) = 10$  and use a time step  $k = 0.01$ . Use `seed(1)` in your code. Plot the covariance function of your solution.
3. Repeat 2 with  $u(0) = 0$ . Compare with problem 2. Discuss the results.
4. Repeat 2 with  $u(0) = 0$  and  $k = 1$ . Compare with problem 3. Discuss the results.



# Homework

- 5 Numerically solve the following deterministic 40-dimensional ODE with a periodic boundary condition

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = (u_{i+1} - u_{i-2})u_{i-1} - u_i + F, i = 1, \dots, N = 40$$

Use a time step  $k = 0.1$  and  $F = 8$ . Initialize  $u$  using a Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance 1. Solve up to  $t = 1000$ . Using the solution from  $t = 500$  to  $t = 1000$ ,

- plot the distribution of  $u_1$  and  $u_{20}$ . Calculate the relative entropy using the distribution of  $u_1$  as truth.
  - plot the covariance function of  $u_{20}$ .
  - plot the absolute values of the Fourier transform of the covariance function of  $u_{20}$ .
- 6 Repeat problem 5 with  $F = 6$

# Homework

- 7 Download the stock price data of Google from the course webpage (GOOG.csv)
  - (a) Calculate the covariance function using data up to Dec 31, 2019.
  - (b) Use the covariance function to make predictions after Dec 31, 2019.
  - (c) Repeat the question using data from Jan 1, 2019 to Dec 31, 2019.
  - (d) What else can you try to improve your prediction performance? Discuss your results using the mathematical assumptions we had.