

Here is a simple proof of the existence of lots of continuous nowhere differentiable functions on the real line. The argument here follows the outline given in Pedersen's *Analysis Now* [1]. In fact, I will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *The collection of continuous nowhere differentiable functions is dense in the Banach space  $X = C([0, 1])$  of continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$  with the supremum norm.*

The first step is to consider the collection  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of  $f \in X$  with the property that there is a  $x_f \in [0, 1]$  such that  $|f(y) - f(x_f)| \leq n|y - x_f|$  for all  $y \in [0, 1]$ .

**Lemma 2.** *For each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is closed in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{f_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$  and converges to  $f$  in  $X$ . For notational convenience, I'll write  $x_k$  for  $x_{f_k}$ . Using the compactness of  $[0, 1]$ , we can, by passing to a subsequence and relabeling, assume that  $\{x_k\}$  converges to  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $f_k \rightarrow f$  uniformly,  $\{f_k(x_k)\}$  converges to  $f(x)$ . Therefore for all  $y \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y) - f(x)| &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_k(y) - f_k(x_k)| \\ &\leq n \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |y - x_k| = n|y - x|. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . □

**Lemma 3.** *If  $f \in X$  and if  $f$  is differentiable at  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then  $f \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to see that there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $|y - x| < \delta$  implies that

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq (|f'(x)| + 1)|y - x|.$$

Thus  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_n$  for any  $n \geq \max\{2\delta^{-1}\|f\|_{\infty}, |f'(x)| + 1\}$ . □

In the sequel, it will be important to remember that a continuous, piecewise linear function always has one-sided derivatives at every point. I'll use the notation

$$D^+ f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad D^- f(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}.$$

If  $|D^+ f(x)| \geq n$  and  $|D^- f(x)| \geq n$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then I'll write  $f \in \text{PW}_n$ . Thus  $\text{PW}_n$  is the collection of continuous, piecewise linear functions whose one-sided derivatives are *always* numerically larger than  $n$ . It will also be handy to let  $\phi$  be the continuous function on  $\mathbf{R}$  of period one determined by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 - 2x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

Finally let  $\phi_n(x) = 2^{-n}\phi(4^n x)$ , and notice that  $\phi_n$  is in  $\text{PW}_{2^n}$  and satisfies  $\|\phi_n\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{-n}$ . Now we can make our final observation.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $f \in X$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , then there is a  $g \in \text{PW}_N$  such that  $\|f - g\|_\infty < \epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is uniformly continuous, there is a  $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$  such that  $|x - y| < 1/m$  implies that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon/2$ . Let  $x_i = i/m$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ , and define

$$g_0(\lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)x_{i+1}) = \lambda f(x_i) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_{i+1})$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Then  $g_0$  is a continuous, piecewise linear function on  $[0, 1]$  which satisfies  $\|f - g_0\|_\infty < \epsilon/2$ . Let  $M = \max_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} m|f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)|$ . Then  $|D^+g_0(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  (and similarly for  $|D^-g_0(x)|$ ). Thus if we take  $k$  such that  $2^k \geq M + N$  and  $2^{-k} < \epsilon/2$ , then  $g = g_0 + \phi_k$  will satisfy the requirements of the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Lemmas 2 and 4 imply that each  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is closed with empty interior in  $X$ . Therefore each  $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{F}_n^c$  is open and dense. The Baire Category Theorem then implies that

$$\left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n \right)^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_n$$

is dense in  $X$ . The theorem now follows from Lemma 4.  $\square$

*Remark 5.* We've actually shown that the collection of nowhere differentiable functions are a bit more than dense in  $C([0, 1])$ . In a complete metric space  $X$ , the countable intersection of dense open sets must be of "second category;" in particular, such a set must be uncountable if  $X$  is.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gert K. Pedersen, *Analysis now*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 118, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. MR90f:46001