# MATH 146 Current Problems in Applied Mathematics: Dynamical Systems and Quantum Information

Dimitris Giannakis dimitrios.giannakis@dartmouth.edu

> Dartmouth College Winter Term 2022

Section 1

Introduction

Ergodic theory

e.g. Hamiltonicy mechanics D = R<sup>6</sup> (positions, momenta in s)

Ergodic theory studies the statistical behavior of measurable actions of groups or semigroups on spaces.

#### Definition 1.1.

A left action, or flow, of a (semi)group G on a set  $\Omega$  is a map  $\Phi: G \times \Omega \to \Omega$  with the following properties:

 $\Phi(e,\omega) = \omega$ , for the the identity element  $e \in G$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . 

The set  $\Omega$  is called the state space.

In this course, G will be an abelian group or semigroup that represents the time domain. Common choices include:

> $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\mathbb R.$

We write  $\Phi^g \equiv \Phi(g, \cdot)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}$ .

# Ergodic theory



Ludwig Boltzmann

James Clerk Maxwell

Ergodic theory has its origin in the mid 19th century with the work of Boltzmann and Maxwell on statistical mechanics.

<u>The term ergodic</u> is an amalgamation of the Greek words ergo (έργο), which means work, and odos  $(o\delta \delta \varsigma)$ , which means street.

# Ergodic theory



George David Birkhoff



Bernard Osgood Koopman



John von Neumann

The mathematical foundations of the subject were established by Koopman, von Neumann, Birkhoff, and many others, in work dating to the 1930s.

Modern ergodic theory is a highly diverse subject with connections to functional analysis, harmonic analysis, probability theory, topology, geometry, number theory, and other mathematical disciplines.

### Observables and ergodic hypothesis

Rather than studying the flow  $\Phi$  directly, ergodic theory focuses on its induced action on linear spaces of observables, e.g.,

$$\mathcal{F} \text{ is a linear space :} \\ \mathcal{F} = \{f: \Omega \to \mathcal{Y}\}, \ f, g \in \mathcal{F} \quad h = f+g \text{ s.d. high eff} \text{ by the set of the states} \\ \text{for a vector space } \mathcal{Y} \text{ (oftentimes, } \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}\text{ )}. \ f \in \mathcal{F}, \ c \text{ scalar } g = cf \text{ s.d. globe c.f}(\omega) \end{cases}$$

Drawing on intuition from mechanical systems, Boltzmann postulated that time averages of observables should well-approximate expectation values with respect to a reference distribution,  $\mu$ .

This is encapsulated in the ergodic hypothesis,

$$\underbrace{\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(\Phi^n(\omega))}_{\text{time average}} = \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu}_{\text{space average}} ,$$

which is stipulated to hold for typical initial conditions  $\omega \in \Omega$  and observables  $f : \Omega \to \mathcal{Y}$  in a suitable class.

۲٫ fz E F : U\$(fi+fz)=(fi+fz) • + ۶ Operator-theoretic perspective/ = R. + 1 + R. + 2 = U\$ f, + U\$ f2 = feF, c value:  $V^{\delta}(cf) = (cf) \cdot \phi^{\delta} = c(f \cdot \phi^{\delta})$ Definition 1.2. **1** For every  $g \in G$ , the composition operator, or Koopman operator is the linear map  ${}^{{}^{{}}}U^{g}: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$  defined as  $U^{g} \stackrel{\mathsf{p}}{=} f \circ \Phi^{g} \Leftrightarrow (U^{\sharp} f)(\omega) = f(\phi^{\sharp}(\omega))$ 2 The transfer operator  $P^g : \mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F}'$  is the adjoint of  $U^g$ , defined as GF' = space of linear hunchionals, i.e.,  $P^{g}\nu = \nu \circ U^{g}$ . Chear Runchins N: F -> 1K Value Bild (R. C)

Koopman and transfer operators allow the study of nonlinear dynamics using techniques from linear operator theory.

#### Quantum mechanics



Niels Bohr

Albert Einstein

Max Planck

Quantum mechanics arose in the late 19th to early 20th century when it was realized that classical physics do not adequately describe phenomena such as the blackbody radiation spectrum, the photoelectric effect, and atomic spectral lines.

### Quantum mechanics







Emmy Noether



Erwin Schrödinger

The mathematical formalism of quantum mechanics was developed by Schrödinger, Heisenberg, Dirac, Noether, von Neumann, and many others. The modern formulation of quantum mechanics makes heavy use of operator theory.

Paul Dirac

Werner Heisenberg

Dirac-von Neumann axioms of quantum mechanics  $H = L^{*}(\mathbb{R}^{3})$  (hydren advector) H= span { lo>, 11> } (spin system ) States are density operators, i.e., positive, trace-class operators  $\rho: H \to H$  on a Hilbert space H, with tr $\rho = 1$ .  $\rho > o \Leftrightarrow \forall feH$ <f, pt>>0 **2** Observables are self-adjoint operators,  $A : D(A) \rightarrow H$ . <sup>↓</sup> ③ Measurement expectation and probability: <sup>↓</sup> subspace of H, domain of A: A<sup>#</sup>= A. If A: H→H is bounded, A<sup>#</sup> is the anique greater sd.  $\langle f, A_g \rangle < \langle A^{#}, g \rangle$ ,  $\forall f, g \in H$  $\mathbb{E}_{\rho}A = \operatorname{tr}(\rho A), \quad \mathbb{P}_{\rho}(\Omega) = \mathbb{E}_{\rho}(E(\Omega)), \quad A = \int_{-} a \, dE(a).$ 4 Unitary dynamics between measurements: 4. For a self-adjoint motion  $A_{j}$ , the have  $A = \sum a_{i} E_{i}$  $\operatorname{tr} \rho_{\ell} = \operatorname{tr} ( \mathcal{O}^{\ell \star} \rho_{\bullet} \mathcal{O}^{\ell} )$  $\rho_t = U^{t*} \rho_0 U^t.$ aie Reigenolue = fr ( Po Ut Utx )= frp. = 1 **5** Projective measurement:  $U^{i}U^{i*} = U^{i*}U^{i} = I$ E: projection netrix onto the corresponding  $\rho|_{e} = \frac{\sqrt{e}\rho\sqrt{e}}{\operatorname{tr}(\sqrt{e}\rho\sqrt{e})}, \quad 0 < e \leq I. \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} \epsilon_{ijen,space} \\ A \leq \beta \notin B - A \not \approx 0 \end{array} \right)$ 

Classical probability density	Quantum density opertor
Given measure $\mu$ on $\Sigma$ we say that $p \in L'(\mu)$ ( $\Leftrightarrow \int_{\Sigma}  p  d\mu < \infty$ ) is a probability density if	p E B, (H) Ctrace-class operators on H
$P = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$	$\cdot \rho \neq O$
$\int_{\Omega} p d\mu = 1$	$\cdot \cdot \epsilon_r \rho = 1$
Given dassical observable fe Loo(p),	Given A & B(H)
we define the expectation $\mathbb{E}_p f = \int_{\mathcal{R}} f p  dp$	$\mathbb{E}_{p} \mathbb{A} = \mathfrak{C}_{r}(p \mathbb{A})$
Given a set 5 we can compute the	
probability of S wrt p as	$E_p(E(S)) = fr(E(S)p)$
$E_{p}\chi_{s} = \int_{\Sigma}\chi_{s}p dp$	9 Spectral measure
Xs(w)= 10 it wes Xs(w)= 11 it wes	

# Interpretation of quantum mechanics

A vast subject in its own right, the interpretation of quantum mechanics can be approached by asking the following question:

• Does quantum mechanics describe the world, or an observer's knowledge of the world?

## Interpretation of quantum mechanics

A vast subject in its own right, the interpretation of quantum mechanics can be approached by asking the following question:

• Does quantum mechanics describe the world, or an observer's knowledge of the world?

Quantum informational interpretations take the latter point of view.

Quantum information is the study of the information processing tasks that can be accomplished using quantum mechanical systems.
 (Nicley & Guurz)

# Connections with ergodic theory

For a measure-preserving flow  $\Phi^t : \Omega \to \Omega$  on a probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ :

- The Koopman operator  $U^t: H \to H$  is unitary on  $H = L^2(\mu)$  and thus defines a quantum system.
- The classical statistical dynamics on the space of probability densities with respect to  $\mu$ ,  $P(\mu)$ , induced by the transfer operator  $P^t$  consistently embeds into the quantum dynamics induced by  $U^t$  on the space of density operators Q(H).

$\frac{Classical}{F \in L^{\infty}C\mu}$	$\frac{Quantum}{M_{f} \in B(H)} H = L^{2}(F)$ $M_{f} g = fg$ $M_{f} utiplication$ $Pertor$
f is real-valued	Mp is self-adjoint
$p \in L'(\mu)$ $\ p\ _{L'(H)} \ge 1$	p density operator $pf = \langle Vp, f \rangle Vp$
$\mathbb{E}_{p}f = \mathbb{E}_{p}M_{p}$	Cprojection operator along Up "wavefunction"    [P]] <sub>H</sub> = 1
II II Spfdr tr(pMr)	

# Further reading

- G. Dell'Antonio, Lectures on the Mathematics on Quantum Mechanics I. Amsterdam: Atlantis Press, 2016. DOI: 10.2991/978-94-6239-118-5.
- [2] T. Eisner, B. Farkas, M. Haase, and R. Nagel, *Operator Theoretic Aspects of Ergodic Theory* (Graduate Texts in Mathematics). Cham: Springer, 2015, vol. 272.
- [3] A. S. Holevo, *Statistical Structure of Quantum Theory* (Lecture Notes in Physics Monographs). Berlin: Springer, 2001, vol. 67.
- B. O. Koopman, "Hamiltonian systems and transformation in Hilbert space," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 315–318, 1931. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.17.5.315.
- [5] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.