## Selected answers to assignment 7: 2.5, 3.1

2.5

9. "is similar to" is an equivalence relation: reflexive:  $A = I^{-1}AI$ symmetric: if  $B = Q^{-1}AQ$ , then  $A = (Q^{-1})^{-1}B(Q^{-1})$ . transitive: if  $B = Q^{-1}AQ$  and  $C = P^{-1}BP$ , then  $C = P^{-1}Q^{-1}AQP = (QP)^{-1}A(QP)$ .

12. The part of the corollary requiring proof is that the equality holds. Q is the change of coordinate matrix from  $\gamma$  coordinates to  $\beta$  (= standard basis) coordinates automatically (see the top of page 112). The equality's proof comes from remembering  $L_A$  is defined specifically with respect to the standard basis  $\beta$  and applying Theorem 2.23.

13. If  $\beta'$  is a basis, then definitionally Q is the change-of-coordinate matrix. Hence we need only prove  $\beta'$  is a basis. Suppose there is a nontrivial representation of zero from elements of  $\beta'$ ; in other words,

$$a_1x'_1 + a_2x'_2 + \ldots + a_nx'_n = 0$$

for some  $a_i$  not all zero. Then by definition of  $\beta'$ , we get

$$a_1 \sum_{i=1}^n Q_{i1} x_i + a_2 \sum_{i=1}^n Q_{i2} x_i + \ldots + a_n \sum_{i=1}^n Q_{in} x_i = 0$$

Rearrange the sums and collect together the different  $x_i$ :

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j Q_{1j}\right) x_1 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j Q_{2j}\right) x_2 + \ldots + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j Q_{nj}\right) x_n = 0.$$

This is a representation of  $\theta$  by vectors from  $\beta$  and hence must be trivial, so for all i,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j Q_{ij} = 0$$

In other words, there is a linear combination of the columns of Q which gives zero. Since Q is invertible, it must be a trivial representation of zero, and finally we see  $a_i = 0$  for all i (phew!).

**3.1**  
3. (b) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

4. The key is that elementary matrices always are obtained by applying a single elementary operation to the identity matrix, and therefore we can characterize them well. If E is our elementary matrix and it is obtained by swapping the i, jth rows, then E has entries which are identical to the identity in rows other than i, and j, and in those rows it has a single 1: entry ij and ji (instead of ii and jj). However, this is exactly what is accomplished by swapping columns i and j.

Likewise, multiplying row i by c is equivalent to multiplying column i by c.

For the third sort of operation we must be a little more careful. Suppose E is obtained by multiplying the *i*th row of I by c and adding it to the *j*th row. Then the only difference between E and I is a c in the *ji*th position. This can be equivalently gotten by multiplying the *j*th column of I and adding it to the *i*th row (note the interchange of indices).

6. This should be clear. Probably the quickest way to prove it is to say that if E is elementary and EA = B, then  $A^tE^t = B^t$ , and E on the left and  $E^t$  on the right are corresponding row and column operations.