## Selected Answers to 3.4 and 4.4 Suggested Problems

## 3.4

10. (a) $S$ is clearly linearly independent, so we need only verify it is in $V .0-2+3-1+0=0$, so it is.
(b) We need a spanning set for $V$ to which we can add $S$, then pare down into a basis. $V$ has dimension 4 , since determining any four entries fixes the fifth, but determining 3 leaves you freedom. Some vectors of $V:(1,0,0,1,0),(0,1,0,0,1),(1,0,-1,0,1),(2,1,0,0,0)$. This is a linearly independent set and hence a basis. If we make a matrix with $S$ as the first column (this ensures $S$ will be included in our basis) and this basis as the remaining four columns and row-reduce it, we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since the first, second, third, and fifth columns correspond to the first four elements of the standard basis, they correspond to our basis elements. Hence, a basis for $V$ which includes $S$ would be

$$
\{(0,1,1,1,0),(1,0,0,1,0),(0,1,0,0,1),(2,1,0,0,0)\}
$$

(among many others).
14. We will prove by contrapositive. Suppose that $A$ is not in reduced row echelon form. Then at least one of the following applies:
(a) A row of all zeros is above a row with a nonzero entry.
(b) The first nonzero entry in some row is not the only nonzero entry in its column.
(c) The first nonzero entry in some row is $c \neq 1$.
(d) The first nonzero entry in some row is to the left of the first nonzero entry in a higher row.

It is clear that if $(\mathrm{b}),(\mathrm{c})$, or $(\mathrm{d})$ applies, $(A \mid b)$ cannot be in reduced row echelon form, because the addition of $b$ will not affect the first nonzero entry in a row of $A$. Hence we must only worry about (a).

If the entry of $b$ corresponding to the zero row of $A$ in question is zero, then $(A \mid b)$ is not in reduced row echelon form because it has a row of all zeros above a row which is not all zero. If the entry of $b$ is nonzero, then $(A \mid b)$ has property (d) above, since the nonzero row of $A$ below the all-zero row of $A$ is now a row whose first nonzero entry is to the left of the first nonzero entry (the entry of $b$ ) of a higher row. Hence $A$ not in reduced row echelon form implies $(A \mid b)$ is not in reduced row echelon form.

## 4.4

5. There are two ways to approach this. One is to say that $M$ can be put into upper triangular form by dealing only with rows of $(A B)$, and then the determinant is taken by the product of the diagonal elements. That product will be the product of the diagonal elements of the altered $A$ and will have the same relationship to the determinant of $M$ as it
does to the determinant of $A$ (in the sense of sign; we may have had to perform some odd number of row swaps to get it to upper triangular form), and hence $\operatorname{det}(M)=\operatorname{det}(A)$.

The other method is by successive cofactor expansion along the last row of $M$ and its submatrices. Since $A$ and $I$ are square, $M$ must also be square. Suppose $A$ is $k \times k$ and $M$ is $n \times n$ for some $n \geq k$. Let $M_{i}$ denote the $i \times i$ matrix taken from the first $i$ rows and columns of $M$, so $M_{n}=M$ and $M_{k}=A$. Then by cofactor expansion, since all but one entry of each of the last $n-k$ rows of $M$ is zero,

$$
\operatorname{det}(M)=1 \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(M_{n-1}\right)=1 \cdot 1 \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(M_{n-2}\right)=\ldots=1 \cdot \ldots \cdot 1 \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(M_{k}\right)=\operatorname{det}(A)
$$

6. It is easy to show that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & B \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

since $A$ and $C$ are square. By exercise 5 and the fact that $\operatorname{det}(X Y)=\operatorname{det}(X) \operatorname{det}(Y)$, we get that the determinant of $M$ is $\operatorname{det}(A) \operatorname{det}(C)$.

