

# Math 24: Winter 2021

## Lecture 10

Dana P. Williams

Dartmouth College

Friday, January 29, 2021

# Let's Get Started

- 1 We should be recording.
- 2 Remember, it is more comfortable for me if you turn on your video so that I feel like I am talking to real people.
- 3 The preliminary exam is due Saturday by 10pm.
- 4 I suggest blocking out a three hour window now so that you can work undisturbed. Although officially a take home exam, it is effectively an “in class” exam where you get to pick when you take it.
- 5 But first, are there any questions from last time?

## Theorem

Suppose that  $V$ ,  $W$ , and  $Z$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces with ordered bases  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\alpha$ , respectively. Suppose that  $T : V \rightarrow W$  and  $S : W \rightarrow Z$  are linear. Then

$$[ST]_{\beta}^{\alpha} = [S]_{\gamma}^{\alpha} [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}.$$

## Proposition

Suppose that  $A = [u_1 \ \cdots \ u_n]$  is a  $m \times n$  matrix with columns  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ . If  $B$  is a  $p \times m$ -matrix, then  $BA$  is the  $p \times n$  matrix with columns equal to  $Bu_j$ . That is, in my notation,

$$BA = B[u_1 \ \cdots \ u_n] = [Bu_1 \ Bu_2 \ \cdots \ Bu_n].$$

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $V$  and  $W$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbf{F}$  and that  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear transformation. Let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be ordered bases for  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively. Then for all  $v \in V$ , we have*

$$[T(v)]_{\gamma} = [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[v]_{\beta}$$

## Remark

You would be wise to note carefully the placement of the superscripts and subscripts.

## Proof.

Fix  $v \in V$ . Let  $U : \mathbf{F} \rightarrow V$  be the linear map given by  $U(a) = av$ . Let  $\alpha = \{1\}$  be the standard ordered basis for  $\mathbf{F}$ . Then  $TU : \mathbf{F} \rightarrow W$  is linear and

$$\begin{aligned} [T(v)]_\gamma &= [TU(1)]_\gamma = [TU]_\alpha^\gamma \\ &= [T]_\beta^\gamma [U]_\alpha^\beta = [T]_\beta^\gamma [U(1)]_\beta \\ &= [T]_\beta^\gamma [v]_\beta. \end{aligned}$$



## Example

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then if  $x = (a, b, c) \in \mathbf{F}^3$ , we get a vector  $Ax \in \mathbf{F}^2$  by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a-2c \\ b+c \end{pmatrix}$ . Since the rules of matrix algebra imply that  $A(kx + y) = kAx + Ay$ , it is easy to see that this map is linear from  $\mathbf{F}^3$  to  $\mathbf{F}^2$ . This is an easy way to produce linear maps, and we will see that all linear maps between finite-dimensional vector spaces are “essentially of this form”.

# Left-Multiplication

## Definition

Let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbf{F})$ . Then we obtain a map  $L_A : \mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^m$  defined by  $L_A(x) = Ax$  where we view  $x \in \mathbf{F}^n$  as a  $n \times 1$ -matrix. We call  $L_A$  the **left-multiplication transformation** determined by  $A$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbf{F})$ . Then  $L_A : \mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^m$  is linear. Moreover, if  $\sigma_n$  and  $\sigma_m$  are the standard ordered bases for  $\mathbf{F}^n$  and  $\mathbf{F}^m$ , respectively, then

- 1  $[L_A]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m} = A$ ,
- 2  $L_A = L_B$  if and only if  $A = B$ ,
- 3  $L_{aA+B} = aL_A + L_B$ ,
- 4 if  $T : \mathbf{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^m$  is linear, then there is a unique  $C \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbf{F})$  such that  $T = L_C$  and  $C = [T]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m}$ ,
- 5 If  $E \in M_{n \times p}(\mathbf{F})$ , then  $L_{AE} = L_A L_E$ , and
- 6 if  $m = n$ , then  $L_{I_n} = I_{\mathbf{F}^n}$ .

## Proof.

Just as in the previous example, the rules of matrix algebra tell us that  $L_A$  is a linear transformation from  $\mathbf{F}^n$  to  $\mathbf{F}^m$ .

(1) The  $j^{\text{th}}$ -column of  $[L_A]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m}$  is  $[L_A e_j]_{\sigma_m} = [A e_j]_{\sigma_m} = A e_j$ , which is the  $j^{\text{th}}$ -column of  $A$ .

(2) If  $L_A = L_B$ , then  $A = [L_A]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m} = [L_B]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m} = B$ . The converse is immediate.

(3) By item (1),  $[L_{aA+B}]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m} = aA + B$  and  $[aL_A + L_B]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m} = a[L_A]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m} + [L_B]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m} = aA + B$ . Now the result follows from item (2).

(4) Let  $C = [T]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m}$ . Then for all  $x \in \mathbf{F}^n$ ,  $Tx = [Tx]_{\sigma_m} = [T]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m}[x]_{\sigma_n} = Cx = L_C x$ . Hence  $T = L_C$ . Uniqueness follows from item (2).

(5) Let  $\sigma_p$  be the standard ordered basis for  $\mathbf{R}^p$ . Then on the one hand,  $[L_{AE}]_{\sigma_p}^{\sigma_m} = AE$  by item (1). On the other hand,  $[L_A L_E]_{\sigma_p}^{\sigma_m} = [L_A]_{\sigma_n}^{\sigma_m} [L_E]_{\sigma_p}^{\sigma_n} = AE$ . Now the result follows from item (2).

(6) This is straightforward. □



# A Missing Proof

## Proposition

*Suppose that  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are matrices such that  $(AB)C$  is defined. Then  $A(BC)$  is defined and  $(AB)C = A(BC)$ .*

## Proof.

It is an exercise to check that  $A(BC)$  defined if and only if  $(AB)C$  is. Also, it is clear that  $(L_A L_B)L_C = L_A(L_B L_C)$ . Now pass to matrices using the previous result. □

Time for a break and some questions.

# Invertible Maps

## Definition

A linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is said to be **invertible** if there is a function  $U : W \rightarrow V$  such that  $UT = I_V$  and  $TU = I_W$ . Then we call  $U$  an inverse for  $T$ .

## Proposition

*Suppose that  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is linear. Then  $T$  is invertible if and only if  $T$  is one-to-one and onto. Furthermore, the inverse  $U$  is unique and linear. We usually denote the inverse by  $T^{-1}$ .*

## Proof.

Suppose that  $T$  is one-to-one and onto. Then we can define  $U : W \rightarrow V$  by  $U(w) = v$  if and only if  $T(v) = w$ . Then  $UT(v) = v$  and  $TU(w) = w$ . Hence  $T$  is invertible.

On the other hand, suppose  $T$  is invertible with inverse  $U$ . If  $T(x) = T(y)$ , then  $x = UT(x) = UT(y) = y$  and  $T$  is one-to-one. If  $w \in W$ , then  $T(U(w)) = w$  and  $T$  is onto. This proves the first assertion.

Suppose that  $S$  is also an inverse to  $T$ . Then  $S = SI_W = S(TU) = (ST)U = I_V U = U$ . Thus the inverse is unique.

Suppose  $w, w' \in W$ . Then  $w = T(v)$  and  $w' = T(v')$ . Then  $T(av + v') = aw + w'$ . Therefore  $U(aw + w') = U(aT(v) + T(v')) = UT(av + v') = av + v' = aU(w) + U(w')$  and  $U$  is linear. □

## Definition

If  $V$  and  $W$  are vector spaces over  $\mathbf{F}$ , then we say that  $V$  and  $W$  are **isomorphic** if there is an invertible linear transformation  $T : V \rightarrow W$ . In that case, we call  $T$  an **isomorphism** of  $V$  onto  $W$ .

## Remark

Note that if  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is an isomorphism of  $V$  onto  $W$ , then  $T^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism of  $W$  onto  $V$ . Hence the situation is symmetric.

# Isomorphism and Dimension

## Proposition

*Suppose that  $V$  and  $W$  are isomorphic. Then  $V$  is finite dimensional if and only if  $W$  is. In that case,  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ .*

## Proof.

Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be an isomorphism. Suppose that  $\beta$  is finite basis for  $V$ , then  $T(\beta)$  generates  $R(T) = W$ . Hence  $W$  is finite dimensional. Since we can reverse the roles of  $V$  and  $W$ , this proves the first statement. Now if  $V$  and  $W$  are finite dimensional, we have  $\dim(V) = \text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T)$ . Since  $T$  is one-to-one,  $\text{nullity}(T) = 0$  and  $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(V)$ . Since  $T$  is onto,  $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(R(T)) = \dim(W)$ . Hence  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ .  $\square$

Time for a break and questions.

# Invertible Matrices

## Definition

A matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{F})$  is **invertible** if there is a matrix  $B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{F})$  such that  $AB = I_n = BA$ .

## Remark

As with linear maps, if  $B$  exists, it is unique. If  $C$  were another such matrix, then  $C = CI_n = C(AB) = (CA)B = I_n B = B$ . Hence we call  $B$  **the** inverse of  $A$  and foolishly write  $A^{-1}$  in place of  $B$ .



# Example

## Example

If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and if  $ad - bc \neq 0$ , then you can verify (by multiplying matrices) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

As we will discover in the next chapter, finding when inverses exist and computing them is something of a chore.

## Theorem

Suppose that  $V$  and  $W$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces over with ordered bases  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , respectively. Then a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$  is invertible if and only if  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  is invertible. In this case,  $[T^{-1}]_{\gamma}^{\beta} = ([T]_{\beta}^{\gamma})^{-1}$ .

## Proof.

If  $T$  is invertible, then we have already seen that  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ . Hence  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  is a square matrix. Let  $\dim(V) = n$ . Then

$$I_n = [I_V]_{\beta} = [T^{-1}T]_{\beta}^{\beta} = [T^{-1}]_{\gamma}^{\beta} [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$$

Similarly,  $[I_n] = [I_W]_{\gamma} = [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} [T^{-1}]_{\gamma}^{\beta}$ . It follows that  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  is invertible with inverse  $[T^{-1}]_{\gamma}^{\beta}$ .

## Proof.

Now suppose that  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  is invertible with inverse  $B = (B_{ij})$  (so that  $B[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}B = I_n$ ). Let  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $\gamma = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ . Let  $S$  be the unique linear transformation  $S : W \rightarrow V$  such that  $S(w_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n B_{kj}v_k$ . Then  $[S]_{\gamma}^{\beta} = B$ . Then

$$[ST]_{\beta} = [S]_{\gamma}^{\beta}[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = B[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = I_n.$$

Therefore  $ST = I_V$ . Similarly,  $TS = I_W$  and  $S$  is an inverse for  $T$ . That is,  $T$  is invertible as claimed.  $\square$

## Corollary

*If  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{F})$ , then  $A$  is invertible if and only if  $L_A$  is and  $L_A^{-1} = L_{A^{-1}}$ .*

# Once You've Seen One, ...

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $V$  and  $W$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbf{F}$ . Then  $V$  is isomorphic to  $W$  if and only if  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ .*

## Proof.

We have already see that if  $V$  and  $W$  are isomorphic, then  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ . So suppose that  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ . Let  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis for  $V$  and  $\gamma = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be a basis for  $W$ . Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be the unique linear transformation such that  $T(v_k) = w_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $R(T) = \text{Span}(T(\beta)) = \text{Span}(\gamma) = W$ . Therefore  $T$  is onto. Since  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ ,  $T$  is also one-to-one. Then  $T$  is invertible and hence an isomorphism.  $\square$

## Corollary

Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}$ . Then  $\dim(V) = n$  if and only if  $V$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{F}^n$ .

## Remark

We have the tools to say a bit more. If  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$ , then you showed on homework that  $\varphi_\beta(x) := [x]_\beta$  is an onto linear transformation of  $V$  onto  $\mathbf{F}^n$ . Since  $\dim(V) = n = \dim(\mathbf{F}^n)$ ,  $\varphi_\beta$  is an isomorphism called the **standard representation of  $V$  with respect to  $\beta$** .

## Remark

Suppose that  $V$  and  $W$  are finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbf{F}$  with  $\dim(V) = n$  and  $\dim(W) = m$ . Then we showed earlier that  $T \mapsto [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  is a one-to-one and onto linear transformation of  $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(V, W) \rightarrow M_{m \times n}(\mathbf{F})$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  and  $M_{m \times n}(\mathbf{F})$  are isomorphic and  $\dim(\mathcal{L}(V, W)) = \dim(M_{m \times n}(\mathbf{F})) = mn$ .

# Enough

- 1 That is enough for today.