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## Let's Get Started

(1) We should be recording.
(2) Remember, it is more comfortable for me if you turn on your video so that I feel like I am talking to real people.
(3) But first, are there any questions from last time?

## Review

## Definition

Let $A$ be a $m \times n$-matrix and $B$ a $m \times p$-matrix, then the augmented matrix $(A \mid B)$ is the $m \times(n+p)$-matrix $[A B]$ whose first $n$ columns are the columns of $A$ and the last $p$ columns the columns of $B$.

## Finding Inverses

## Theorem

Let $A$ be a $n \times n$ matrix. Then $A$ is invertible if and only if we can use elementary row operations to transform the augmented matrix $\left(A \mid I_{n}\right)$ to a matrix of the form $\left(I_{n} \mid B\right)$. In that case, $B=A^{-1}$. On the other hand, if we can use elementary row operations to transform $\left(A \mid I_{n}\right)$ into a matrix of the form $(C \mid D)$ with $\operatorname{rank}(C)<n$, then $A$ is not invertible.
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## Remark

Keep in mind that only elementary row operations are allowed here.

## Examples First

## Example

We stated this theorem on Friday and did the following examples to show off. We considered

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 2 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & -1 & 3 \\
3 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Solution

As we saw on the document camera, $A^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}-1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0\end{array}\right)$.
But we discovered $B$ is not invertible since our computation showed that $\operatorname{rank}(B)=2<3$.

## Proof

Before giving the proof of the theorem, we need a little observation that will prove useful for the proof and down the road!

## Lemma

If $(A \mid B)$ is an augmented $m \times(n+p)$-matrix and $C$ is a $r \times m$-matrix, then $C(A \mid B)=(C A \mid C B)$.

## Proof of the Lemma.

Suppose that the columns of $A$ are $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ and the columns of $B$ are $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{p}$. Then $(A \mid B)=\left[v_{1} \cdots v_{n} w_{1} \cdots w_{p}\right]$. But we also know that matrix multiplication works "column by column": $C\left[v_{1} \cdots v_{n} w_{1} \cdots w_{p}\right]=\left[C v_{1} \cdots C v_{n} C w_{1} \cdots c w_{p}\right]$. But the same observation implies this is equal to ( $C A \mid C B$ ).

## Proof

## Proof of the Theorem.

Suppose that we can transform $\left(A \mid I_{n}\right)$ into $\left(I_{n} \mid B\right)$ via a finite sequence of elementary row operations. Then there are elementary matrices $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}$ such that

$$
\underbrace{E_{m} E_{m-1} \cdots E_{1}}_{C}\left(A \mid I_{n}\right)=\left(I_{n} \mid B\right)
$$

Then the Lemma implies $(C A \mid C)=\left(I_{n} \mid B\right)$. Therefore $B=C$ and $B A=C A=I_{n}$. The latter implies that $A$ is invertible with $A^{-1}=B$. This proves half of the first assertion and the second assertion ©

## Proof

## Proof Continued.

Now suppose that $A$ is invertible. Then we know that $A$ is the product $D_{1} D_{2} \cdots D_{m}$ of elementary matrices $D_{k}$. But then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{m}^{-1} \cdots D_{1}^{-1}\left(A \mid I_{n}\right) & =D_{m}^{-1} \cdots D_{1}^{-1}\left(D_{1} D_{2} \cdots D_{m} \mid I_{n}\right) \\
& =D_{m}^{-1} \cdots D_{2}^{-1}\left(D_{2} \cdots D_{m} \mid D_{1}^{-1}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
& =\left(I_{n} \mid D_{m}^{-1} \cdots D_{1}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This says precisely that we can transform $\left(A \mid I_{n}\right)$ into the form $\left(I_{n} \mid B\right)$ via elementary row operations. This proves the remaining half of the first assertion.

## Proof

## Proof Continued.

If we preform elementary row operations on $\left(A \mid I_{n}\right)$ via elementary row operations corresponding to the elementary matrices $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}$, then we get an augmented matrix

$$
(C \mid D)=\left(U A \mid U I_{n}\right)
$$

where $U=E_{n} \cdots E_{1}$. Since $U$ is invertible, $\operatorname{rank}(A)=\operatorname{rank}(U A)=\operatorname{rank}(C)$. Hence if $\operatorname{rank}(C)<n$, then $\operatorname{rank}(A)<n$ and $A$ is not invertible.

## Break Time

The previous theorem justifies our calculations and assertions from the end of the previous lecture.

Now let's take a break see if there are some questions before we move on $\S 3.3$ and the careful study of systems we promised in $\S 1.4$.

## Systems

Recall that a system of $m$ linear equations in $n$ unknowns $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ over a field $\mathbf{F}$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{11} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{1 n} x_{n}=b_{1} \\
a_{21} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{2 n} x_{n}=b_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
a_{m 1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{m n} x_{n}=b_{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the $a_{i j}$ and $b_{k}$ are scalars in $\mathbf{F}$. The $m \times n$ matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & \cdots & a_{m n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is called the coefficient matrix of the system.

## Matrix Version

Then if we let $x=\left(\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}\end{array}\right)$ and $b=\left(\begin{array}{c}b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{m}\end{array}\right)$, we can write our system in matrix form:

$$
A x=b
$$

where $x \in \mathbf{F}^{n}$ is viewed as a $n \times 1$-matrix and $b \in \mathbf{F}^{m}$ is viewed as a $m \times 1$-matrix. A solution to our system is just a vector $s=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ so that $x=s$ satisfies each equation simultaneously. The set K of all solutions is called the solution set of the system. If the solution set is nonempty, then the system is called consistent. If the solution set is empty, then the system is called inconsistent.

## Example

## Example

The system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+x_{2} & =3 \\
x_{2}-3 x_{2} & =-1 \\
2 x_{1}-x_{2} & =3
\end{aligned}
$$

has matrix form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -3 \\
2 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{r}
3 \\
-1 \\
3
\end{array}\right) .
$$

I leave it to you to check that this system it consistent and has the unique solution $(2,1)$. What happens if we change $b=(3,-1,3)$ to (3, -1, 2)? (Document Camera)

## Homogeneous Equations

## Definition

A system $A x=b$ of $m$ linear equations in $n$ unknowns is called homogeneous if $b=0$. (Here, $0=0_{\mathbf{F} m \text {.) }}$. Otherwise the systems is called nonhomogeneous.

## Remark (The Trivial Solution)

Every homogeneous system $A x=0$ has at least one solution, 0 , called the trivial solution. (Note that the trivial solution is $0_{\mathbf{F}^{n}}$ ! Then $A 0_{\mathbf{F}^{n}}=0_{\mathbf{F}^{m}}$.)

## Theorem

Let $A x=0$ be a homogeneous system of $m$ equations and $n$ unknowns over a field $\mathbf{F}$. Let K be the set of all solutions to $A x=0$. Then $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{N}\left(L_{A}\right)$. Hence K is a subspace of $\mathrm{F}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{K})=n-\operatorname{rank}(A)$.

## Proof.

We clearly have $\mathrm{K}=\left\{x \in \mathbf{F}^{n}: A x=0\right\}=\mathrm{N}\left(L_{A}\right)$. Then we already know $N\left(L_{A}\right)$ is a subspace. The rest follows from the Dimension Theorem.

## Fewer Equations Then Unknowns

## Corollary (Fewer Equations Than Unknowns)

Suppose that $m<n$ and that $A$ is a $m \times n$-matrix. Then the homogeneous system $A x=0$ has a nontrivial solution.

## Proof.

We have $m \geq \operatorname{rank}(A)=\operatorname{rank}\left(L_{A}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{K})=\operatorname{dim}\left(N\left(L_{A}\right)\right)=n-\operatorname{rank}\left(L_{A}\right) \geq n-m>0$ by the Dimension Theorem. Therefore $K \neq\{0\}$ and there is a nontrivial solution.

## Examples

## Example

Consider the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+2 x_{2}+3 x_{3} & =0 \\
2 x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

over $\mathbf{R}$. Then $A=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ is our coefficient matrix. Clearly $\operatorname{rank}(A)=2$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{K})=1$. Since $x=(1,1,-1)$ is a solution, we know that $\mathrm{K}=\{t(1,1,-1): t \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

## Example

## Example

Consider the one equation system $x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}=0$. Here $A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1-1 & 1-1\end{array}\right)$ has rank 1 and $\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{K})=3$. It is not hard to see that $\beta=\{(1,0,0,1),(-1,0,1,0),(1,1,0,0)\}$ is a set of linearly independent solutions. Thus $\beta$ is a basis for K and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{K} & =\operatorname{Span}(\beta) \\
& =\left\{t_{1}(1,0,0,1)+t_{2}(-1,0,1,0)+t_{3}(1,1,0,0): t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in \mathbf{R}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left(t_{1}-t_{2}+t_{3}, t_{3}, t_{2}, t_{1}\right): t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in \mathbf{R}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Break Time

## Time for a break and questions.

## Nonhomogeneous Equations

## Definition

If $A x=b$ is a nonhomogeneous system of $m$ equations in $n$ unknown, then $A x=0$ is the corresponding homogeneous system.

## Theorem

Suppose that $A x=b$ is a consistent nonhomogeneous system with solution set K . Let $\mathrm{K}_{H}$ be the solution set to the corresponding homogeneous system $A x=0$. Then for any $s \in K$,

$$
\mathrm{K}=\{s\}+\mathrm{K}_{H}=\left\{s+h: h \in \mathrm{~K}_{H}\right\} .
$$

## Proof.

Fix $s \in \mathrm{~K}$ as above. If $w \in K$, then
$A(w-s)=A w-A s=b-b=0$. Thus $w-s \in \mathrm{~K}_{H}$ and $w=s+(w-s) \in\{s\}+\mathrm{K}_{H}$. Therefore $\mathrm{K} \subset\{s\}+\mathrm{K}_{H}$.

## Proof

## Proof Continued.

On the other hand, if $h \in K_{H}$, then $A(s+h)=A s+A h=b+0=b$ and $s+h \in \mathrm{~K}$. This shows $\{s\}+\mathrm{K}_{H} \subset \mathrm{~K}$. Therefore $\mathrm{K}=\{s\}+\mathrm{K}_{H}$ as claimed.

## Remark

The upshot here is that if we know the solutions $\mathrm{K}_{H}$ to the homogeneous system $A x=0$, then to completely solve the nonhomogeneous system $A x=b$, we just need to find a "particular solution", say $s_{0}$, to $A x=b$. Then the solution set K to the nonhomogeneous system is $\left\{s_{0}\right\}+\mathrm{K}_{H}$.

## Example

## Example

Consider the nonhomogeneous system

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{1}+2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}=6 \\
2 x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}=2 .
\end{array}
$$

over $\mathbf{R}$. Then $A=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ is our coefficient matrix as in
our example. Clearly $s=(1,1,1)$ is a solution. Thus the solution set is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{s\}+\mathrm{K}_{H} & =\{(1,1,1)+t(1,1,-1): t \in \mathbf{R}\} \\
& =\{(1+t, 1+t, 1-t): t \in \mathbf{R}\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Invertible Coefficient Matrix

## Theorem

Let $A x=b$ be a system of $n$ equations in $n$ unknowns. If $A$ is invertible, then the system has a unique solution-namely, $A^{-1} b$. Conversely, if the system $A x=b$ has a unique solution, then $A$ is invertible.

## Proof.

Suppose that $A$ is invertible. Then $A\left(A^{-1} b\right)=b$ and $s=A^{-1} b$ is a solution to $A x=b$. On the other hand, if $A s=b$, then $s=A^{-1}(A s)=A^{-1} b$. So $A^{-1} b$ is the unique solution to $A x=b$.

Conversely, suppose that $A x=b$ has the unique solution $s \in \mathbf{F}^{n}$. Then $\{s\}=\{s\}+\mathrm{K}_{H}$ where $\mathrm{K}_{H}$ is the set of solutions to $A x=0$. Then $K_{H}=\{0\}$ and $N\left(L_{A}\right)=\{0\}$. Then $L_{A}$ is one-to-one and hence invertible. Since $A$ is a square, this implies $A$ is invertible

## Example

## Example

Consider the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+x_{2}+2 x_{3} & =1 \\
x_{1}+x_{2} & =2 \\
2 x_{1}+x_{2}+2 x_{3} & =3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$. We saw earlier that
$A^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}-1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0\end{array}\right)$. Thus the unique solution is
$\left(\begin{array}{rrr}-1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2 \\ 3\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right)$.

## Consistency

## Theorem

The system $A x=b$ is consistent if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(A)=\operatorname{rank}(A \mid b)$.

## Proof.

Let $A=\left[v_{1} \cdots v_{n}\right]$. If $A x=b$ is consistent, then $b \in R\left(L_{A}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, b\right\}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, b\right\}\right)\right)
$$

and $\operatorname{rank}(A)=\operatorname{rank}(A \mid b)$.

## Proof

## Proof Continued.

Conversely, if $\operatorname{rank}(A)=\operatorname{rank}(A \mid b)$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, b\right\}\right)\right)
$$

Since $\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right)\right.$ is a subspace of $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, b\right\}\right)$, this implies

$$
\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, b\right\}\right)
$$

But then $b \in \operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}\right)=\mathrm{R}\left(L_{A}\right)$ and $A x=b$ is consistent.

## Example

## Example

Consider the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & =1 \\
x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3} & =0 \\
x_{1}+x_{3} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $A=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ while $(A \mid b)=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
We see immediately that $\operatorname{rank}(A)=2$. (Consider $\operatorname{Col}(A)$.) With just a bit of thought, it is clear that $\operatorname{rank}((A \mid b))=3$. Hence the system is inconsistent.

## Enough

(1) That is enough for today.

