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## Let's Get Started

(1) We should be recording.
(2) Remember, it is more comfortable for me if you turn on your video so that I feel like I am talking to real people.
(3) We will be skipping $\S 5.3$ and playing in $\S 5.4$ for a bit. Then on to $\S 6.1$.
(4) But first, are there any questions from last time?

## Review

## Definition

A polynomial $p(\lambda) \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{F})$ splits over $\mathbf{F}$ if there are scalars $c, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbf{F}$ such that

$$
p(\lambda)=c\left(\lambda-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(\lambda-a_{n}\right)
$$

## Theorem

Suppose that $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{F}$ and that $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is diagonalizable. Then the characteristic polynomial of $T$ splits over $\mathbf{F}$.

## Review

## Definition

Suppose that $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space and
$T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. If $\lambda_{0}$ is an eigenvalue for $T$, then the multiplicity of $\lambda_{0}$ is the largest positive integer $k$ such that $\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)^{k}$ is a factor of the characteristic polynomial $p(\lambda)$ of $T$. We use the same terminology for the eigenvalues of a matrix.

## Theorem

Suppose that $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. If $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $T$ of multiplicity $m$, then

$$
1 \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(E_{\lambda}\right) \leq m
$$

where $E_{\lambda}$ is the $\lambda$-eigenspace for $T$.

## Theorem (Diagonalizability Theorem)

Suppose that $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{F}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is a linear operator whose characteristic polynomial splits over $\mathbf{F}$. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$ be the distinct eigenvalues of $T$ with multiplicities $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$, respectively.
(1) Then $T$ is diagonalizable if and only if $\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{\lambda_{i}}\right)=m_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.
(2) If $T$ is diagonalizable and $\beta_{i}$ is a basis for $E_{\lambda_{i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $\beta=\beta_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \beta_{k}$ is a basis for $V$ of eigenvectors for $T$.

## Invariant Subspaces

## Definition

Let $T$ be a linear operator on a vector space $V$. A subspace $W$ of $V$ is called a $T$-invariant subspace, or just invariant, if $v \in W$ implies $T(v) \in W$. Alternatively, $T(W) \subset W$.

## Example (Low Hanging Fruit)

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, then the following are always invariant subspaces.
(1) $W=\left\{0_{v}\right\}$.
(2) $W=V$.
(3) $W=\mathrm{R}(T)$.
(1) $W=\mathrm{N}(T)$.
( $W=E_{\lambda}$ for any eigenvalue $\lambda$ for $T$.

## Solution

These are all relatively easy to check and I hope you will do so.
Consider this an (easy) unassigned homework problem.

## Example

## Example

Define $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{R}^{3}\right)$ by $T(x, y, z)=(x+y, y+z, z+x)$ and let $W=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{3}: x+y+z=0\right\}$. Then if $(a, b, c) \in W$, $T(a, b, c)=(a+b, b+c, c+a)$ and

$$
(a+b)+(b+c)+(c+a)=2(a+b+c)=0
$$

That is $T(a, b, c) \in W$ and $W$ is an invariant subspace.

## Cyclic Subspaces

## Definition

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $v \in V$. Then

$$
W=\operatorname{Span}\left\{v, T(v), T^{2}(v), \ldots\right\}
$$

is called the $T$-cyclic subspace of $V$ generated by $v$.

## Remark

It is a homework exercise to verify that the $T$-cyclic subspace $W$ generated by $v$ is $T$-invariant and is contained in any invariant subspace that contains $v$. Otherwise said, $W$ is the smallest $T$-invariant subspace containing $v$.

## Example

## Example

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{R}^{3}\right)$ be given by $T(x, y, z)=(x+y, y+z, z+x)$ as before. Let $v=(1,-1,0)$. Then $T(v)=(0,-1,1)$, $T^{2}(v)=(-1,0,1), T^{3}(v)=(-1,1,0)=-v$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
W & =\operatorname{Span}\left\{v, T(v), T^{2}(v), \ldots\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Span}\{(1,-1,0),(0,-1,1),(-1,0,1)\} \\
& =\operatorname{Span}\{(1,-1,0),(0,-1,1)\} \\
& =\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{3}: x+y+z=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Break Time

## Time for a break. Questions?

## Restrictions

## Remark

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and that $W$ is a $T$-invariant subspace of $V$. Then we can define $T_{W}: W \rightarrow W$ by $T_{W}(v)=T(v)$ for all $v \in W$. It is a straightforward exercise to see that $T_{W}$ is linear.

## Definition

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and if $W$ is a $T$-invariant subspace of $V$, then the operator $T_{W} \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ defined above is called the restriction of $T$ to $W$.

## Remark

Note that since the restriction $T_{W}$ is itself a bonafide linear operator, it has its own characteristic polynomial, eigenvalues, and eigenvectors. Our next result examines how these are related to those for $T$.

## Characteristic Polynomials

## Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ for a finite-dimensional vector space $V$ and that $W$ is a $T$-invariant subspace of $V$. Then the characteristic polynomial $p_{W}(\lambda)$ of $T_{W}$ divides the characteristic polynomial $p(\lambda)$ of $T$.

## Proof.

Let $\gamma=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ be an ordered basis for $W$. Let $\beta=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ be an extension of $\gamma$ to an ordered basis of $V$. Let

$$
A=[T]_{\beta}=\left[\left[T\left(v_{1}\right)\right]_{\beta} \cdots\left[T\left(v_{k}\right)\right]_{\beta}\left[T\left(v_{k+1}\right)\right]_{\beta} \cdots\left[T\left(v_{n}\right)\right]_{\beta}\right] .
$$

## Proof

## Proof Continued.

Since $T\left(v_{i}\right) \in W$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the corresponding coordinate vector $\left[T\left(v_{i}\right)\right]_{\beta}$ is of the form $(\underbrace{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}}_{\left[T_{W}\left(v_{i}\right)\right]_{\gamma}}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{n-k})$. Hence

$$
[T]_{\beta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[T_{W}\right]_{\gamma}} & B \\
O & C
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence, using our cute lemma from last lecture,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\lambda) & =\operatorname{det}\left([T]_{\beta}-\lambda I_{n}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[T_{W}\right]_{\gamma}-\lambda I_{k}} & B \\
O & C-\lambda I_{n-k}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left([T]_{\beta}-\lambda I_{n}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(C-\lambda I_{n-k}\right) \\
& =p_{W}(\lambda) g(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{W}(\lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $T_{W}$ and $g(\lambda)$ is a polynomial. Thus $p_{W}(\lambda)$ divides $p(\lambda)$ as claimed.

## Cyclic Subspaces

## Theorem

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ for a finite-dimensional vector space $V$. Suppose that $v \in V$ is nonzero and let $W=\operatorname{Span}\{v, T(v), \ldots\}$ be the $T$-cyclic subspace generated by $v$. Let $k=\operatorname{dim}(W)$.
(1) $\beta=\left\{v, T(v), \ldots, T^{k-1}(v)\right\}$ is an ordered basis for $W$.
(2) If

$$
a_{0} v+a_{1} T(v)+\cdots a_{k-1} T^{k-1}(v)+T^{k}(v)=0 v
$$

then the characteristic polynomial of $T_{W}$ is

$$
p_{W}(\lambda)=(-1)^{k}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} \lambda+\cdots a_{k-1} \lambda^{k-1}+\lambda^{k} .\right)
$$

## Proof

## Proof.

Since $v \neq 0_{v},\{v\}$ is linearly independent. Hence there is a largest $j \geq 1$ such that $\beta=\left\{v, T(v), \ldots, T^{j-1}(v)\right\}$ is linearly independent. (Note that we could have $j=1$ ! Then, here and elsewhere, we interpret $T^{0}=I_{V}$.) Such a $j$ exists because $V$ is finite dimensional. Let $Z=\operatorname{Span}(\beta)$. Note that $\beta$ is a basis for $Z$. We must have $T^{j}(v) \in Z$-otherwise $\beta \cup\left\{T^{j}(v)\right\}$ would be linearly independent. I claim that $Z$ is $T$-invariant. Let $w \in Z$.
Then there are scalars $a_{i}$ such that $w=a_{0} v+a_{1} T(v)+\cdots a_{j-1} T^{j-1}(v)$. But then

$$
T(w)=a_{0} T(v)+\cdots+a_{j-2} T^{j-1}(v)+a_{j-1} T^{j}(v)
$$

Since $T^{j}(v) \in Z=\operatorname{Span}(\beta)$, so it $T(w)$. This proves the claim. Since $v \in Z$ and $Z$ is $T$-invariant, it follows from homework that $W \subset Z$. But we clearly have $Z \subset W$. Hence $W=Z$ and $\operatorname{dim}(W)=j$. Thus $j=k$ and we have proved item (1).

## Proof

## Proof Continued.

(2) Let $\beta=\left\{v, T(v), \ldots, T^{k-1}(v)\right\}$ be our ordered basis from the first part of the proof. Since $T^{k}(v) \in W$, there are unique scalars such that

$$
a_{0} v+a_{1} T(v)+\cdots+a_{k-1} T^{k-1}(v)+T^{k}(v)=0 v
$$

Then

$$
\left[T_{W}\right]_{\beta}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{0} \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -a_{k-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

You get to use induction, on homework, to calculate that the characteristic polynomial of $T_{W}$ is

$$
p_{W}(\lambda)=(-1)^{k}\left(a_{0}+\cdots+a_{k-1} \lambda^{k-1}+\lambda^{k}\right)
$$

## Example

## Example

As in our earlier example, let $T(x, y, z)=(x+y, y+z, z+x)$ and let $W$ be the cyclic subspace generated by $v=(1,-1,0)$. Then $\operatorname{dim}(W)=2$ and

$$
v-T(v)+T^{2}(v)=(1,-1,0)-(0,-1,1)+(-1,0,1)=0_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} .
$$

By the theorem, $p_{W}(\lambda)=(-1)^{2}\left(1-\lambda+\lambda^{2}\right)$.
But $\beta=\{(1,-1,0),(0,-1,1)\}$ is a basis for $W$ and

$$
\left[T_{W}\right]_{\beta}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus we also can compute that

$$
p_{W}(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\lambda & -1 \\
1 & 1-\lambda
\end{array}\right)=\lambda^{2}-\lambda+1 .
$$

## Break Time

## Time for a break and questions.

## Polynomials in $T$

## Remark

Suppose that $V$ is a vector space over $\mathbf{F}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then if $p \in \mathrm{P}(\mathbf{F})$ is given by $p(t)=a_{0}+a_{1} t+\cdots+a_{n} t^{n}$, then we get a new operator $p(T) \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by letting

$$
p(T)=a_{0} I_{V}+a_{1} T+\cdots+a_{n} T^{n}
$$

Note the appearance of $I_{V}$ in the "constant term". As before, we can think of $I_{V}$ as $T^{0}$. Then if $v \in V$,

$$
p(T)(v)=a_{0} v+a_{1} T(v)+\cdots+a_{n} T^{n}(v) .
$$

For example, if $p(t)=t^{2}-1$, then $p(T)=T^{2}-I_{V}$ and $p(T)(v)=T^{2}(v)-v$. Of course, we can play the same game with matrices $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{F})$. Then $p(A)=A^{2}-I_{n}$. For example, if $p(t)=t-\lambda$, then the characteristic polynomial of $A$ is $\operatorname{det}(p(A))=\operatorname{det}\left(A-\lambda I_{n}\right)$.

## Killing $T$

## Remark

If $\operatorname{dim}(V)=n$, then since $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is isomorphic to $M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{F})$, $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{L}(V))=n^{2}$. Therefore the set $\left\{I_{V}, T, T^{2}, \ldots, T^{n^{2}}\right\}$ is linearly dependent and there are scalars $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n^{2}}$ such that

$$
a_{0} I_{V}+a_{1} T+\cdots+a_{n^{2}} T^{n^{2}}=T_{0}
$$

Thus if we let $p \in P_{n^{2}}(\mathbf{F})$ be given by $p(t)=a_{0}+a_{1} t+\cdots+a_{n^{2}} t^{n^{2}}$, then

$$
p(T)=T_{0}
$$

where as always $T_{0}$ is the zero map. Of course, if $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{F})$, we can do the analogous thing and find $p \in P_{n^{2}}(\mathbf{F})$ such that $p(A)$ is the zero matrix. We now have the tools to show that we can do the same thing with a polynomial of degree $n$. In fact, we will show that the characteristic polynomial always does the job.

## Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

## Theorem (Cayley-Hamilton Theorem)

Suppose that $V$ is a finite-dimensional vector space and that $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. If $p(\lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $T$, then $p(T)=T_{0}$.

## Remark

I the language of the text, we way that $T$ "satisfies" its characteristic polynomial.

## Proof

## Proof.

It will suffice to show that $p(T)(v)=0_{v}$ for all $v \in V$. Since $p(T)$ is a linear operator, this is automatic if $v=0_{V}$, so we assume $v \neq 0 v$. We let $W$ be the $T$-cyclic subspace generated by $v$ and suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(W)=k$. Then as in the proof of our theorem, there are constants $a_{i}$ such that

$$
a_{0} v+a_{1} T(v)+\cdots+a_{k-1} T^{k-1}(v)+T^{k}(v)=0 v
$$

Then we proved that the characteristic polynomial of $T_{W}$ is

$$
p_{W}(\lambda)=(-1)^{k}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} \lambda+\cdots+a_{k-1} \lambda^{k-1}+\lambda^{k}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{W}(T)(v) & =(-1)^{k}\left(a_{0} v+a_{1} T(v)+\cdots+a_{k-1} T^{k-1}(v)+T^{k}(v)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k} 0_{v}=0_{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

## Proof Continued.

We also proved that $p_{W}(\lambda)$ divides $p(\lambda)$ so that $p(\lambda)=g(\lambda) p_{W}(\lambda)$ for some $g \in P_{n}(\mathbf{F})$. But then,
$p(T)(v)=g(T) p_{W}(T)(v)=g(T)\left(p_{W}(T)(v)\right)=g(T)\left(0_{V}\right)=0_{v}$.
This completes the proof of the theorem.

## Corollary

If $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{F})$ and $p(\lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $A$, then $p(A)=0$.

## Sketch of the Proof.

By the theorem, $p\left(L_{A}\right)=T_{0}$. Consider $\left[p\left(L_{A}\right)\right]_{\sigma}$ where $\sigma$ is the standard basis.

## Example

## Example

Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4\end{array}\right)$. Then its characteristic polynomial is $p(\lambda)=\lambda^{2}-5 \lambda-2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(A) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
3 & 4
\end{array}\right)^{2}-5\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
3 & 4
\end{array}\right)-2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
7 & 10 \\
15 & 22
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 10 \\
15 & 20
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as per the theorem.

## Enough

(1) That is enough for today.

