

# Math 29: Arslanov's Completeness Criterion

May 20th, 2022

## 1 Complete C.e. Sets

Recall that a c.e. set is complete if it computes every c.e. set.  $K$  is the prototypical complete set, but we have seen many others. We proved that creative sets are complete, and in fact 1-complete. We proved that, while not 1-complete, effectively simple sets are still complete. However, these are not all of the complete sets.

A total function  $f$  is **fixed point-free** if  $W_{f(x)} \neq W_x$  for all  $x$ . Notice that this seems to be a slightly stronger requirement than being fixed point-free under the previous notion, i.e.  $\phi_{f(x)} \neq \phi_x$  for all  $x$ . However, one can prove that these are essentially the same thing.

**Lemma 1.** *There is a fixed point-free function  $f \leq_T X$  if and only if there is  $g \leq_T X$  such that  $g$  is fixed point-free in the previous sense, i.e.  $\varphi_{g(x)} \neq \varphi_x$ .*

*Proof.* Homework 7 Question 4. □

Fixed point-free functions turn out to be the key to describing the complete sets.

**Theorem 2.** (*Arslanov's Completeness Criterion*) *A c.e. set  $X$  is complete if and only if it computes a fixed point-free function*

**Proof:** First, suppose that  $X$  is complete. Recall that  $K \leq_1 Nem = \{e : W_e \neq \emptyset\}$  by the Index Set Theorem. Because  $Nem \leq_1 K$  as it is c.e.,  $K \equiv_T Nem \equiv_T X$ . Therefore the following function  $f$  obtained is  $X$ -computable:

$$W_{f(x)} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & x \in Nem \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $f$  is fixed point-free, so  $X$  computes a fixed point-free function.

**Proof:** (Cont.) Suppose that  $X$  computes a fixed point-free function  $f$ . Because  $X$  is c.e.,  $X \leq_T \emptyset'$ , so  $f$  is limit computable via some computable  $g(n, s)$  by the Limit Lemma.

Let  $\{K_s\}_{s \in \omega}$  be an enumeration of  $K$ , and define the function  $\theta(x)$  to be the least  $s$  such that  $x \in K_s$  if  $x \in K$ , and  $\theta(x) \uparrow$  otherwise. Using the recursion theorem with parameters, let  $h(x)$  be such that

$$W_{h(x)} = \begin{cases} W_{g(h(x), \theta(x))} & x \in K \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

That is,  $W_{h(x)}$  waits for  $x$  to enter  $K$ , then enumerates the  $g(h(x), \theta(x))$ -th c.e. set if it does.

Now let  $m$  be a modulus for  $f$ . Then by the definition of a modulus we have  $g(h(x), t) = f(h(x))$  for all  $t \geq m(h(x))$ . Thus, if  $\theta(x) \downarrow > m(h(x))$ , we have  $f(h(x)) = g(h(x), \theta(x))$ , and therefore  $W_{h(x)} = W_{f(h(x))}$ . But this is a contradiction of the fact that  $f$  is fixed point-free, so either  $\theta(x) \uparrow$  (and thus  $x \notin K$ ), or  $\theta(x) \leq m(h(x))$ . That means we can compute whether or not  $x$  is in  $K$  by checking if it is in  $K_{m(h(x))}$ . (This is similar to the proof of the fact that effectively simple sets compute  $K$ .)

Lastly, recall that we proved last time that if  $f \leq_T X$  and  $X$  is c.e., then  $X$  computes a modulus for  $f$ . Therefore  $X$  can compute some  $m$  as above, and thus can compute  $K$ . Therefore,  $X$  is complete.

Notice that, along with the homework problem, this proves an incredible strengthening of the recursion theorem: if  $f$  is a total function computable from a non-complete c.e. set, then  $f$  has a fixed point! For example, the principle function of any non-complete c.e. set has a fixed point.

There are some generalizations of this theorem. While functions which can compute the halting problem will not necessarily have a fixed point, we can generalize the idea of a fixed point. An **almost fixed point** for a function  $f$  is a number  $e$  such that  $W_e =^* W_{f(e)}$ , where  $=^*$  means that the sets agree on all but finitely many  $n$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $f \leq_T \emptyset'$ , then  $f$  has an almost fixed point.*

**Proof:** Suppose that  $f \leq_T \emptyset'$ . Then  $f$  is limit computable by the limit lemma, so there is a computable function  $g$  such that  $f(n) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} g(n, s)$ . By the s-m-n theorem, there is a total computable function  $\theta(x)$  such that

$$W_{\theta(x)} = \bigcup_{s \in \omega} W_{g(x,s),s}$$

That is,  $\varphi_{\theta(x)}$  is the machine which starts enumerating each of the  $W_{g(x,s),s}$ -es for each  $s$ .

Then by the recursion theorem,  $\theta$  has a fixed point  $e$  such that

$$W_e = W_{\theta(e)} = \bigcup_{s \in \omega} W_{g(e,s),s}$$

Now we claim that  $W_e =^* W_{f(e)}$ . Because  $f$  is limit computable, there is some  $k$  at which  $g(e, t) = f(e)$  for all  $t \geq k$ . Then  $W_{g(e,t),t} = W_{f(e),t}$  for all such  $t$ , so all of the places where  $W_{f(e)}$  and  $W_{\theta(e)} = W_e$  differ are, at worst,  $\bigcup_{s < k} W_{g(e,s),s}$ . This is a finite union of finite sets, and thus finite.

Therefore  $W_e =^* W_{f(e)}$ , so  $f$  has an almost fixed point.

This opens up a new question: The Arslanov Completeness Criterion extended the recursion theorem by proving that many more functions than the computable ones have fixed points. We proved above a recursion-like theorem in terms of almost fixed points: every jump-computable function has an almost fixed points. Can we extend this to more functions.

We won't prove this, but it turns out that we can. If  $X$  is c.e. in  $\emptyset'$ , i.e. is the domain of some  $\Phi_e^X$ , then it can compute a function which has no almost fixed points if and only if it can compute  $\emptyset''$ . Recall that the proof that  $K$  is complete relativizes, so  $\emptyset''$  is complete for the sets which are c.e. in  $\emptyset'$ . This shows the relationship with Arslanov's Completeness Criterion.

One final extension of this result is about **Turing fixed points**. A Turing fixed point for a function  $f$  is an  $e$  such that  $W_e = W_{f(e)}$ . One can show that every  $\emptyset''$ -computable function can compute a Turing fixed point.