

**Math 35: Real Analysis**  
**Winter 2018**

Tuesday 01/16/18

---

**Lecture 5**

**Last time: Theorem 10 (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)** Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be two vectors. Then

$$|\mathbf{a} \bullet \mathbf{b}|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{a}\|^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{b}\|^2, \quad \text{where}$$
$$\mathbf{a} \bullet \mathbf{b} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cdot b_k \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{a}\| = (\mathbf{a} \bullet \mathbf{a})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We conclude this chapter with the following corollary:

**Corollary 11:** (  $\triangle \neq$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ) Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be two vectors. Then

$$\|\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}\| \leq \|\mathbf{a}\| + \|\mathbf{b}\|.$$

**Figure:**

**proof:** We prove the equivalent statement which we obtain by squaring both sides:

$$\|\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}\| \leq \|\mathbf{a}\| + \|\mathbf{b}\| \Leftrightarrow (\|\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}\|)^2 \leq (\|\mathbf{a}\| + \|\mathbf{b}\|)^2.$$

We rewrite the left-hand side of the equation using the dot product. Then we use the linearity of the dot product:

---

**Math 35: Real Analysis**  
**Winter 2018**

Tuesday 01/16/18

---

**Chapter 1.5 - Completeness Axiom**

**Aim:**  $\mathbb{Q}$  is incomplete, as it misses numbers like  $\sqrt{2}$  or  $\pi$ . We can fix this defect by adding suprema.

We start with the definition of bounded sets:

**Definition 1** Let  $S$  be a non-empty set of real numbers then

- a) the set  $S$  is **bounded above** if there is an  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$x \leq M \text{ for all } x \in S.$$

In this case  $M$  is called an **upper bound** of  $S$ .

- b) the set  $S$  is **bounded below** if there is an  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$m \leq x \text{ for all } x \in S.$$

In this case  $m$  is called a **lower bound** of  $S$ .

- c) the set  $S$  is **bounded** if there is an  $M_a \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$|x| \leq M_a \text{ for all } x \in S.$$

In this case  $M_a$  is called a **bound** of  $S$ .

**Examples:** - Find a set  $S$  that has an upper bound, but no lower bound.

- What can you say about the set  $-S = \{-x, x \in S\}$ ?

- What is the greatest lower bound of the set  $\tilde{S} := \{\frac{1}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

---

**Math 35: Real Analysis**  
**Winter 2018**

Tuesday 01/16/18

---

**Definition 2 (Supremum and Infimum)** Let  $S$  be a non-empty set of real numbers.

- a) If the set  $S$  is **bounded above** then a number  $\beta$  is the **supremum** of  $S$  or shortly  $\beta = \sup(S)$  if  $\beta$  is an upper bound of  $S$ , i.e.

$$x \leq \beta \text{ for all } x \in S.$$

and for any number  $b < \beta$  we have that  $b$  is not an upper bound of  $S$ .

This means that for all  $b < \beta$  there is an  $x \in S$ , such that  $b < x$ .

The supremum is also called the **least upper bound**.

- b) If the set  $S$  is **bounded below** then a number  $\alpha$  is the **infimum** of  $S$  or shortly  $\alpha = \inf(S)$  if  $\alpha$  is a lower bound of  $S$ , i.e.

$$x \geq \alpha \text{ for all } x \in S.$$

and for any number  $a > \alpha$  we have that  $a$  is not a lower bound of  $S$ .

This means that for all  $a > \alpha$  there is an  $x \in S$ , such that  $x < a$ .

The infimum is also called the **greatest lower bound**.

**Example:** - Find  $\sup\{x \in \mathbb{Q}, x^2 < 2\}$ :

We add the final axiom for the real numbers:

**Completeness Axiom:** Each non-empty set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  of real numbers that is bounded above has a supremum  $\sup(S)$ .

A consequence is the Archimedean property of the real numbers:

**Theorem 3 (Archimedean property of the real numbers)** For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$  there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$a \cdot n > b.$$

**Figure:**

**proof:**

---

**Math 35: Real Analysis**  
**Winter 2018**

Tuesday 01/16/18

---

We have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4** The following statements are equivalent:

1. If  $a, b > 0$  then there is a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $na > b$ .
2. The set  $\mathbb{N}$  of positive integers is not bounded above.
3. For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there is an integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $n \leq x < n + 1$ .
4. For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  there is a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\frac{1}{n} < x$ .

**proof:** Only 1.  $\Leftrightarrow$  4.:

---