Math 40 Probability and Statistical Inference Winter 2021

Yoonsang Lee (yoonsang.lee@dartmouth.edu)

Lecture 9-1: Conditional Density (3.3)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Doing data science is related to estimating a conditional density. That is, by knowing about a random variable Y, we want to make a better (in some sense) estimation of X. This process can be done by knowing the conditional density of X by Y

p(X|Y)

Example 3.19 The exponential distribution is memoryless. Let random variable X have an exponential distribution. Prove that Pr(X > s + t | X > s) = Pr(X > t), where s and t are positive numbers.

Example 3.19 The exponential distribution is memoryless. Let random variable X have an exponential distribution. Prove that Pr(X > s + t | X > s) = Pr(X > t), where s and t are positive numbers.

Solution

$$Pr(X > s+t|X > s) = \frac{Pr(X > s+t \text{ and } X > s)}{Pr(X > s)} = \frac{Pr(X > s+t)}{Pr(X > s)}$$
$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda(s+t)}}{e^{-\lambda s}} = e^{-\lambda t} = Pr(X > t)$$

Example 3.22 Bayes formula. The joint distribution of (X, Y) is defined through the conditional density of Y given X and marginal density of X. Find the conditional density of X given Y.

$$f_{X|Y=y}(x) = \frac{f_{Y|X=x}(y)f_X(x)}{f_Y(y)}$$
$$= \frac{f_{Y|X=x}(y)f_X(x)}{\int f_{Y|X=x}(y)f_X(x)dx}$$

A regression describes a relationship between two random variables, X and Y. The conditional mean of Y given X, E[Y|X] is related to a regression function, say $\mu(x)$, (under some condition) in describing Y given X.

The conditional mean of Y given X

$$\mu(x) = E[Y|X = x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{Y|X}(y) dy.$$

The conditional variance of Y given X

$$Var(Y|X = x) = E[(Y - \mu(x))^2|X = x)$$
$$= E[Y^2|X = x) - E^2[Y|X = x]$$

Note If X and Y are independent, there are simple structures for the conditional mean and variance (they are constants; check Theorem 3.24).

Example 3.26 Regression is an optimal predictor Show that the conditional mean/regression, $E[Y|X = x] = \mu(x)$, is the optimal predictor of Y given X = x.

The minimum is attained when $c = \mu(x) = E[Y|X = x]$.

Example 3.26 Regression is an optimal predictor Show that the conditional mean/regression, $E[Y|X = x] = \mu(x)$, is the optimal predictor of Y given X = x. **Solution** For an unknown value c depending on x (thus c is in fact

a function of x), we have

$$E[(Y - c)^2 | X = x] = E[(Y - \mu(x) + \mu(x) - c)^2 | X = x]$$

= $E[(Y - \mu(x))^2 | X = x] + 2E[(Y - \mu(x))(\mu(x) - c)] + (\mu(x) - c)^2$
= $E[(Y - \mu(x))^2 | X = x] + (\mu(x) - c)^2$

The minimum is attained when $c = \mu(x) = E[Y|X = x]$.

Example 3.26

- What is the meaning of this example?
- It says c = E[Y|X = x] minimizes $E[(Y c)^2|X = x]$.
- If we interpret c as a predictor of Y given X, Y c is the error of c.
- ► E[(Y c)²|X = x] is the expected square of the error given X. Thus, the conditional mean E[Y|X = x] has the minimum expected squared error.

Here is another question. What is your predictor c that minimizes the expected squared error $E[(Y - c)^2]$? (there is no conditioning). Surprisingly, your predictor c must be E[Y|X].

The left hand side is minimized if the inner term of the right hand side is minimized for each X.

Here is another question. What is your predictor c that minimizes the expected squared error $E[(Y - c)^2]$? (there is no conditioning). Surprisingly, your predictor c must be E[Y|X]. Why? Theorem 3.27 says $E[Y] = E_X[E[Y|X]]$. The same rule applies to

$$E[(Y-c)^2] = E_X[E[(Y-c)^2|X]]$$

The left hand side is minimized if the inner term of the right hand side is minimized for each X.

Take-home message Throughout the course, we will focus on calculating the conditional expected value of Y, E[Y|X = x] (aka regression function), as the predictor of Y with the minimum expected squared error.

Here is another question. What is your predictor c that minimizes the expected squared error $E[(Y - c)^2]$? (there is no conditioning). Surprisingly, your predictor c must be E[Y|X]. Why? Theorem 3.27 says $E[Y] = E_X[E[Y|X]]$. The same rule applies to

$$E[(Y-c)^2] = E_X[E[(Y-c)^2|X]]$$

The left hand side is minimized if the inner term of the right hand side is minimized for each X.

Take-home message Throughout the course, we will focus on calculating the conditional expected value of Y, E[Y|X = x] (aka regression function), as the predictor of Y with the minimum expected squared error.

Note If X and Y are independent, the conditional expected value E[Y|X = x] is a constant. That is, any information of X does not provide any new information for Y.

 Regarding the variance, we have the following result (called Variance Decomposition)

$$Var(Y) = E(Var(Y|X)) + Var(E(Y|X))$$

where Var(E(Y|X)) is called **explained** variance while E(Var(Y|X)) is called **unexplained** (or residual) variance.

- The unexplained variance is related to the error using E[Y|X] as a predictor of Y given X.
- Coefficient of determination (or variation)

$$\rho^2 = \frac{Var(E(Y|X))}{Var(Y)}$$

represents the proportion of the variation explained by the predictor.

Example 3.35 Bivariate Bernoulli distribution. Let Y and X be two Bernoulli random variables, distributed as specified by the 2×2 elementary probability table:

 $Pr(X = 0, Y = 0) = p_{00}, Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = p_{01}$ $Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = p_{10}, Pr(X = 1, Y = 1) = p_{11}$ Find the conditional mean E[Y|X = x] where x = 0 or 1.

Example 3.35 Bivariate Bernoulli distribution. Let Y and X be two Bernoulli random variables, distributed as specified by the 2×2 elementary probability table:

 $Pr(X = 0, Y = 0) = p_{00}, \quad Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = p_{01}$ $Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = p_{10}, \quad Pr(X = 1, Y = 1) = p_{11}$ Find the conditional mean E[Y|X = x] where x = 0 or 1. Solution

$$E[Y|X = 1] = \frac{p_{11}}{p_{10} + p_{11}}$$
$$E[Y|X = 0] = \frac{p_{01}}{p_{00} + p_{01}}$$

$$Pr(X = 0, Y = 0) = p_{00} = 1/4, Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = p_{01} = 1/4$$

 $Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = p_{10} = 1/4, Pr(X = 1, Y = 1) = p_{11} = 1/4,$

do you believe that knowing the outcome of X is useful to know about Y?

$$Pr(X = 0, Y = 0) = p_{00} = 1/4, Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = p_{01} = 1/4$$

 $Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = p_{10} = 1/4, Pr(X = 1, Y = 1) = p_{11} = 1/4,$
do you believe that knowing the outcome of X is useful to know
about Y?

$$E[Y|X = 1] = \frac{p_{11}}{p_{10} + p_{11}} = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$[E[Y|X = 0] = \frac{p_{01}}{p_{00} + p_{01}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Example 3.35 Let's do some explicit calculations. Case 2 If

$$Pr(X = 0, Y = 0) = p_{00} = 5/12, Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = p_{01} = 1/12$$

 $Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = p_{10} = 1/12, Pr(X = 1, Y = 1) = p_{11} = 5/12,$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

do you believe that knowing the outcome of X is useful to know about Y?

Example 3.35 Let's do some explicit calculations. **Case 2** If

$$Pr(X = 0, Y = 0) = p_{00} = 5/12, Pr(X = 0, Y = 1) = p_{01} = 1/12$$

 $Pr(X = 1, Y = 0) = p_{10} = 1/12, Pr(X = 1, Y = 1) = p_{11} = 5/12,$
do you believe that knowing the outcome of X is useful to know
about Y?

$$E[Y|X = 1] = \frac{p_{11}}{p_{10} + p_{11}} = \frac{5}{6}$$
$$E[Y|X = 0] = \frac{p_{01}}{p_{00} + p_{01}} = \frac{1}{6}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Example 3.35 For the case 1 and 2, what are the coefficients of variation?

Example 3.35 For the case 1 and 2, what are the coefficients of variation?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Case 1:
$$Var(Y) = 1/4$$
, $Var(E(Y|X)) = 0$. Thus, $\rho^2 = 0$

Example 3.35 For the case 1 and 2, what are the coefficients of variation?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Case 1:
$$Var(Y) = 1/4$$
, $Var(E(Y|X)) = 0$. Thus, $\rho^2 = 0$
Case 2: $Var(Y) = 1/4$, $Var(E(Y|X)) = 1/9$. Thus, $\rho^2 = 4/9$.

- Let f(x) be the density of the height of all people at Dartmouth.
- Also, let f(x|Y = w) be the density of the height of all women at Dartmouth while f(x|Y = m) is the density of the height of all men at Dartmouth.
- Thus, the two random variables X and Y are height and gender.
- If we let p = Pr(Y = w), then from the law of total probability, we have

$$f(x) = pf(x|Y = w) + (1 - p)f(x|Y = m),$$

which is a mixture density.

By knowing the height of a person, is it possible to classify the gender of the person?

By knowing the height of a person, is it possible to classify the gender of the person?

Not perfect, but there is a way. It is called **Bayesian classifier**.

$$Pr(Y = w | X = x) = \frac{pPr(X = x | Y = w)}{pPr(X = x | Y = w) + (1 - p)Pr(X = x | Y = m)}$$

Similarly,

$$Pr(Y = m | X = x) = \frac{(1 - p)Pr(X = x | Y = m)}{pPr(X = x | Y = w) + (1 - p)Pr(X = x | Y = m)}$$

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □

Example 3.37 $f(x|Y = w) = \phi(\frac{x-64}{3})$ and $f(x|Y = m) = \phi(\frac{x-70}{4})$. Also p = 0.5.

If the height of a person is 68, the probability of being a man is

$$Pr(Y = m | X = 68) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\phi(\frac{68-70}{4})}{\frac{1}{2}\phi(\frac{68-70}{4}) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(\frac{68-64}{3})} = 0.62$$

If X = 67.1, the probability of being a man is 0.5 (check the textbook regarding how to get this value). If X > 67.1, we expect that the person is a man.

Homework

Read sections 3.3.3 and 3.3.4.