

# Math 63: Winter 2021

## Lecture 6

Dana P. Williams

Dartmouth College

Thursday January 21, 2021

# Getting Started

- 1 We should be recording.
- 2 The second homework assignment is due tomorrow before lecture starts via gradescope.
- 3 Time for some questions!

# Some Necessary Repairs

## Proposition

Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then

$$U_k = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n : x_k > a \} \quad \text{and}$$

$$V_k = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n : x_k < a \}$$

are both open in  $E^n$ .

## Proof.

Suppose that  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in U_k$ . Then  $r = x_k - a > 0$ . Suppose that  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in B_r(x)$ . Then

$$|x_k - y_k| \leq \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2} = d(x, y) < x_k - a.$$

Then  $y_k = x_k - (x_k - y_k) \geq x_k - |x_k - y_k| > x_k - (x_k - a) = a$ . Therefore  $y \in U_k$ . This shows that  $U_k$  is open and the proof for  $V_k$  is similar.  $\square$

# Last Time

## Definition

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in a metric space  $(E, d)$ . We say that  $(x_n)$  **converges to**  $x \in E$  if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$ . We say that  $x$  is a **limit** of  $(x_n)$ .

## Proposition

*A sequence  $(x_n)$  in a metric space  $E$  has at most one limit.*

## Notation

If  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in a metric space  $E$  converging to  $x \in E$ , then we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

We may get sloppy and write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or just  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . If  $(x_n)$  does not have a limit, then we say that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  “does not exist” or that  $(x_n)$  “diverges”.

# Subsequences

## Definition

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence. Let  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  be a strictly increasing sequence in  $\mathbf{N}$ . Then the sequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is called a **subsequence** of  $(x_n)$ .

## Example

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then the even terms  $(x_{2n})$  form a subsequence. Thus if  $x_n = (-1)^n$ , then the constant sequence  $1, 1, 1, \dots$  is a subsequence of  $-1, 1, -1, 1, \dots$ .

# Convergence of Subsequences

## Proposition

*Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in a metric space  $E$  which converges to  $x \in E$ . Then every subsequence of  $(x_n)$  also converges to  $x$ .*

## Proof.

Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  and that  $(x_{n_k})$  is a subsequence. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there is a  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$ . Notice that we always have  $n_k \geq k$ . (This follows from a simple induction argument.) Hence if  $k \geq N$ , then  $n_k \geq N$  and  $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we have shown that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x$ . □

# Bounded Sequences

## Definition

We say that a sequence  $(x_n)$  in a metric space  $E$  is **bounded** if the set  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is bounded.

## Proposition

*A convergent sequence in a metric space is bounded.*

## Proof.

Suppose  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x$ . Let  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $d(x_n, x) < 1$ . Let  $M = \max\{d(x_1, x), \dots, d(x_N, x), 1\} + 1$ . Then  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset B_M(x)$  and  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is bounded.  $\square$

## Theorem

*Let  $F$  be a subset of a metric space  $E$ . Then  $F$  is closed if and only if whenever we have a sequence  $(x_n)$  of points in  $F$  converging to  $x \in E$  it follows that  $x \in F$ .*

## Proof.

First suppose that  $F$  is closed. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of points in  $F$  converging to  $x \in E$ . Suppose contrary to what we which to prove that  $x \notin F$ . Then  $x \in \mathcal{C}F$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}F$  is open, there is a  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(x) \subset \mathcal{C}F$ . But there is a  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $x_n \in B_r(x)$ . But then  $x_N \in \mathcal{C}F$  which is a contradiction.



## Proof Continued.

Conversely, suppose that convergent sequences in  $F$  must converge to a point in  $F$ . Suppose to the contrary of what we want to show that  $F$  is not closed. Then  $\mathcal{C}F$  is not open. Thus there is a  $x \in \mathcal{C}F$  such that  $B_r(x) \not\subset \mathcal{C}F$  for all  $r > 0$ . That is,  $B_r(x) \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for all  $r > 0$ . Then we can find  $x_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap F$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Now it is easy to show that  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is in  $F$  and  $x \notin F$ , this is a contradiction.  $\square$

Time for a short break and questions

# The Real Field Again

## Theorem

Suppose that  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  are sequences of real numbers such that  $\lim_n a_n = a$  and  $\lim_n b_n = b$ . Then

- 1  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + b_n) = a + b$ ,
- 2  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n - b_n) = a - b$ ,
- 3  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n b_n = ab$ , and
- 4 provided  $b$  and all the  $b_n$  are not equal to 0,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{a}{b}$ .

## Proof.

(1) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $N_1 \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $n \geq N_1$  implies  $|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $N_2 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n \geq N_2$  implies  $|b_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Then if  $n \geq N$  we have  $|(a_n + b_n) - (a + b)| \leq |a_n - a| + |b_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$ . This shows  $a_n + b_n \rightarrow a + b$ .

## Proof Continued.

(3) Since convergent sequences are bounded, there is a  $M > 0$  such that  $|a_n| \leq M$  and  $|b_n| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $\{x \in \mathbf{R} : |x| \leq M\}$  is closed, we have  $|a| \leq M$  and  $|b| \leq M$  as well. Thus if  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$  and  $|b_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$ . Thus if  $n \geq N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n b_n - ab| &\leq |a_n b_n - ab_n + ab_n - ab| \\ &\leq |a_n - a| |b_n| + |a| |b_n - b| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2M} M + \frac{\epsilon}{2M} M = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $a_n b_n \rightarrow ab$ .

## Proof Continued.

(2) Of course, we could just mimic the proof of (1). However,  $-b_n = (-1)b_n$ , so we can use part (3) to conclude that  $-b_n = (-1)b_n \rightarrow (-1)b = -b$ . Now by part (1),  $a_n - b_n = a_n + (-b_n) \rightarrow a + (-b) = a - b$ .

(4) We'll first show that  $\frac{1}{b_n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{b}$ . Then we can apply part (3):  $\frac{a_n}{b_n} = a_n \frac{1}{b_n} \rightarrow a \frac{1}{b} = \frac{a}{b}$ .

For motivation, observe that

$$\left| \frac{1}{b_n} - \frac{1}{b} \right| = \frac{|b_n - b|}{|b_n||b|}.$$

We have to be sure that the denominator doesn't get too small!  
We can accomplish this if we ensure that  $|b - b_n| < \frac{|b|}{2}$ .

We ran out of time. We will pick this up tomorrow.