

Necklaces

10/18/2019

A necklace of length l is a circular arrangement of l colored beads, and two necklaces are considered equivalent if they are the same up to a cyclic rotation.



Here, the group that acts on the necklace is \mathbb{Z}_l .

Problem

Given a set of uncolored beads, how many inequivalent necklaces can we build out of it, if we have n colors?

We know from the previous class that this number is

$$N_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(n) = \frac{1}{\#\mathbb{Z}_l} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_l} n^{c(\sigma)}$$

where $c(\sigma)$ is the number of cycles in σ .

How many cycles does it have?

Proposition

Let π be the rotation of angle $2\pi/l$ (so a primitive element of \mathbb{Z}_l). The number of cycles in π^k is $\gcd(k, l)$.

Proof

If $\gcd(k, l) = d$, then the cycles of π^k are $(0, d, 2d, \dots, (\frac{l}{d}-1)d)$, $(1, d+1, 2d+1, \dots, l-d+1)$, \dots , $(d-1, 2d-1, \dots, l-1)$. These cycles are $\underbrace{l/d}$ disjoint.

So we can rewrite

(2)

$$N_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(n) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} n^{\gcd(k, l)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq l \\ \gcd(k, l) = d}} n^d$$

$$= \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} n^d \cdot \# \{1 \leq k \leq l \mid \gcd(k, l) = d\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} n^d \cdot \# \left\{1 \leq \frac{k}{d} \leq \frac{l}{d} \mid \gcd\left(\frac{k}{d}, \frac{l}{d}\right) = 1\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} n^d \cdot \underbrace{\phi(l/d)}$$

Euler-Phi function:
 $\phi(n) = \#$ of integers smaller than and coprime with n .

Theorem

The number of inequivalent necklaces ^{of length l .} with n colors is

$$N_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(n) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} n^d \phi(l/d).$$

The generating function of inequivalent necklaces ^{of length l .} with i_j beads of color r_j is

$$\bar{F}_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} \phi(l/d) (r_1^d + r_2^d + \dots + r_n^d)^{l/d}$$

Proof of the second part.

We first need to compute the cycle indicator.

$$Z_{\mathbb{Z}_l} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} z_1^{c_1} z_2^{c_2} \dots z_k^{c_k} = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} z_{l/d}^d \phi(l/d).$$

Then, we can use Pólya's theorem to get

$$F_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} \phi(l/d) (r_1^{l/d} + r_2^{l/d} + \dots + r_n^{l/d})^d$$

$$= \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} \phi(d) (r_1^d + r_2^d + \dots + r_n^d)^{l/d}$$

by substituting
 d by l/d .
 d divides l iff
 l/d divides l .

Notice that this definition of necklace should be considered as a necklace that is currently worn by someone, since no symmetry is allowed (we must remove the necklace from someone's neck to be able to apply a symmetry). A necklace to which we can apply symmetries is a dihedral necklace.

What if the number of beads is a prime number?

Then

$$N_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(n) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{d|p} n^d \phi(p/d) = \frac{1}{p} (n \cdot \phi(p) + n^p \phi(1)) = \frac{1}{p} ((p-1)n + n^p)$$

A primitive necklace is a necklace with no symmetries (i.e. no non-identity rotation preserves it).

How many primitive necklaces of length l are there?

If l is prime, then these corresponds to the necklaces whose beads are not all the same color: $\frac{1}{p} (n^p - n)$

Otherwise, we need more sophisticated techniques.

First, observe that a non-primitive necklace is a sequence of length $d|l$ ($d \neq l$) that is repeated l/d times

Let $M_d(n)$ be the number of primitive necklaces of length l . Then,

$$N_{\mathbb{Z}_l}(n) = \sum_{d|l} M_d(n)$$

(4)

To solve this equation, one can think of the divisors' lattice, and then use Möbius inversion

$$M_l(n) = \sum_{d|l} \underbrace{\mu(d, l)}_{\mu(l/d)} N_{\mathbb{Z}_d}(n)$$

because the interval of D_l starting at d is isomorphic to $D_{l/d}$.

Plugging the value of $N_{\mathbb{Z}_d}(n)$, and by computations, one can get the following:

Theorem

The number of primitive necklaces of length l is

$$M_l(n) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{d|l} \mu(l/d) n^d.$$

Example

with p prime, we recover what we first computed: $\frac{1}{p}(n^p - n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(n) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{d|p} \mu(p/d) n^d \\ &= \frac{1}{p} (\mu(p) n + \mu(1) n^p) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} (n^p - n). \end{aligned}$$

References: Richard P. Stanley Algebraic Combinatorics §7.