

Mathematical Induction

Ryan Maguire

Summer 2022

Peano arithmetic is a weaker system of axioms that is sufficient to prove a lot of the elementary properties of the natural numbers. The system of set theory we have been working with, Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with the axiom of choice (ZFC) contains Peano arithmetic as a *subset* (via the axiom of infinity, and a few others). This system postulates the following:

1. There is a set \mathbb{N} with $0 \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. There is a function $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
3. If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma(m) = \sigma(n)$, then $m = n$.
4. $\sigma(n) = 0$ is always false.
5. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is such that $0 \in A$ and $n \in A$ implies $\sigma(n) \in A$, then $A = \mathbb{N}$.

This is constructable in ZFC, the function σ is the *plus one* function, $\sigma(n) = n + 1$. This last property is crucial. It says if $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is such that $0 \in A$ and $n \in A$ implies $n + 1 \in A$, then $A = \mathbb{N}$. The intuition goes like this. $0 \in A$ is true. But $0 \in A$ implies $0 + 1 \in A$, so $1 \in A$. But $1 \in A$ implies $1 + 1 \in A$, so $2 \in A$. But $2 \in A$ implies $2 + 1 \in A$, so $3 \in A$. And so on. This fact is also provable in ZFC (it is an *axiom* in Peano arithmetic). Containment \in defines an order on the integers. Remember, in ZFC, that $0 = \emptyset$, $1 = \{0\}$, $2 = \{0, 1\}$, and so on. We write $m < n$ if and only if $m \in n$. This defines a *well-order*. Every non-empty subset of \mathbb{N} has a *smallest* element. This well-ordering property gives us property 5 in Peano arithmetic.

Let P be a predicate on the natural numbers. That is, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(n)$ is a sentence which we may say is true or false. Suppose $P(0)$ is true, and the truth of $P(n)$ implies the truth of $P(n + 1)$. Then $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Why? Well, $P(1)$ is true since $P(0)$ is true, and $P(0)$ being true implies $P(0 + 1)$ is true. Then $P(2)$ is true since $P(1)$ is true and $P(1)$ being true implies $P(2)$ is true, and so on. We can prove this rigorously.

Theorem 1 (Principle of Mathematical Induction). *If P is a predicate on the natural numbers such that $P(0)$ is true and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the truth of $P(n)$ implies the truth of $P(n + 1)$, then $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Suppose not. Then there is some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P(m)$ is false. Define A via:

$$A = \{ k \in \mathbb{N} \mid P(k) \text{ is false.} \} \quad (1)$$

Since $m \in \mathbb{N}$, A is non-empty. So there is a least element $N \in A$. But $N \neq 0$ since $P(0)$ is true by hypothesis. Since $N \neq 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write $N = n + 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But then $n < N$, and since N is the least element of A , $P(n)$ must be true. But the truth of $P(n)$ implies the truth of $P(n + 1)$, so $P(n + 1)$ is true. But $n + 1 = N$, so $P(N)$ is true, a contradiction. Hence, $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Let's use this.

Example 1 Consider the partial sums S_N for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ defined by:

$$S_N = \sum_{n=0}^N n \quad (2)$$

We can provide a closed-form formula for this via the principle of induction. We want to prove that:

$$S_N = \frac{N(N + 1)}{2} \quad (3)$$

That is, $P(N)$ is the predicate on the natural numbers that $S_N = N(N + 1)/2$. We prove $P(N)$ is true for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ via induction. The case $N = 0$ says $0 = 0(0 + 1)/2$, which is true. Suppose the statement is true for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We must prove this implies the statement is true for $N + 1$. We compute:

$$S_{N+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} n \quad (4)$$

$$= N + 1 + \sum_{n=0}^N n \quad (5)$$

$$= N + 1 + S_N \quad (6)$$

But $P(N)$ is true, by hypothesis, so $S_N = N(N + 1)/2$. We get:

$$S_{N+1} = N + 1 + S_N \quad (7)$$

$$= N + 1 + \frac{N(N + 1)}{2} \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{2N + 2 + N^2 + N}{2} \quad (9)$$

$$= \frac{N^2 + 3N + 2}{2} \quad (10)$$

$$= \frac{(N + 1)(N + 2)}{2} \quad (11)$$

and therefore $P(N + 1)$ is true. Since the formula is true for $N = 0$ and $P(N)$ implies $P(N + 1)$, we see that by the principle of induction $P(N)$ is true for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. \blacksquare