Point-Set Topology: Homework 3

Summer 2023

Problem 1 (Separability)

A separable topological space is a space (X, τ) such that there is a countable subset $A \subseteq X$ such that $\operatorname{Cl}_{\tau}(A) = X$. A metric space is separable if and only if it is second-countable. This feature is special to metric spaces. Take \mathbb{R} with the standard topology, and equip \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} with the quotient topology. Intuitively this is infinite many circles all touching at 0. It is not first-countable, and hence not second-countable, even though \mathbb{R} is. It is still separable.

• (6 Points) Let (X, τ) be a separable topological space. Let R be any equivalence relation on X. Prove that $(X/R, \tau_{X/R})$ is separable. That is, separability is a topological property preserved by quotients.

Solution. Since (X, τ) is separable, there is a countable dense subset A. Let $q: X \to X/R$ be the quotient map, q(x) = [x] where [x] is the equivalence class of x, and let B = q[A]. Since B is the image of a countable set, it too is countable. We must prove $\operatorname{Cl}_{\tau_{X/R}}(B) = X/R$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq X/R$ be a closed set containing B. But q is continuous, and so the pre-image of closed sets is closed, meaning $q^{-1}[\tilde{\mathcal{C}}]$ is closed. Let $\mathcal{C} = q^{-1}[\tilde{\mathcal{C}}]$. Then \mathcal{C} is a closed set that contains A since q[A] = B. But if $A \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{C} is closed, then $\operatorname{Cl}_{\tau}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. But $\operatorname{Cl}_{\tau}(A) = X$, and hence $\mathcal{C} = X$. But then, since quotient maps are surjective, we have that $q[\mathcal{C}] = q[X] = X/R$. But $q[\mathcal{C}] \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, by definition of \mathcal{C} and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = X/R$. That is, if $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a closed subset of X/R such that $B \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = X/R$. Hence $\operatorname{Cl}_{\tau_{X/R}}(B) = X/R$, so B is a countable dense subset and $(X/R, \tau_{X/R})$ is separable.



Figure 1: The Bug-Eyed Line Construction

Problem 2 (Embeddings)

The bug-eyed line is a quotient space of $X = \mathbb{R} \times \{0, 1\}$ where \mathbb{R} has the standard Euclidean topology and $\{0, 1\}$ has the discrete topology. X is given the product topology. We identity (x, 0) with (x, 1) for all $x \neq 0$ and then take the quotient of X under this relation. This idea is shown in Fig. 1

• (6 Points) Prove that it is impossible to embed the bug-eyed line into \mathbb{R}^n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution. This space is not Hausdorff. For let $q: X \to X/R$ be the canonical quotient map, and define 0' = q((0, 0)) and 0'' = q((0, 1)). These are the two origins in the buy-eyed line. Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq X/R$ be an open set about 0' and $\mathcal{V} \subseteq X/R$ be an open set about 0". Then $q^{-1}[\mathcal{U}], q^{-1}[\mathcal{V}] \subseteq X$ are open subsets of X since q is continuous. Moreover, (0, 0) is an element of $q^{-1}[\mathcal{U}]$ and (0, 1) is an element of $q^{-1}[\mathcal{V}]$. But the topology on X is the product topology from \mathbb{R} and $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$, the latter given the discrete topology. So an open subset about (0, 0) must contain all points between $(-\varepsilon, 0)$ and $(\varepsilon, 0)$. A similar statement can be made for (0, 1). Since (x, 0) and (x, 1) are identified by the relation for all $x \neq 0$, projecting these open intervals down to the quotient space shows that \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} must overlap. Hence $(X/R, \tau_{X/R})$ is not Hausdorff. But if $f: X/R \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is an embedding, then X/R is homeomorphic to the subspace $f[X/R] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. But \mathbb{R}^n is Hausdorff, so all of its subspaces are Hausdorff. So no such embedding could possibly exist.



Figure 2: Open Sets in the Bug-Eyed Line

Fig. 2 provides a visual of the description of open sets given in solution. Any open set containing the first origin must overlap with any open set containing the second. We imagine the bug-eyed line as the real line with an extra origin that is almost indistinguishable from the first. This space is Fréchet, however. The two origins are indeed still closed.

Problem 3 (Quotients)

Let $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$, equipped with the quotient topology where \mathbb{R} carries the usual Euclidean topology.

• (4 Points) Is this space Hausdorff? Is it Fréchet?

Solution. This space is not Hausdorff. A subset of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} is open if and only if the pre-image is open. This is one of the defining characteristics of the quotient map q. Let $[x], [y] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$. There are three possibilities. Both x and y are irrational, only one of x and y are irrational, and both x and y are rational. If x and y are rational, then [x] = [y], so we may discard this possibility. Suppose both x and y are irrational. Let \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} be open sets about [x] and [y], respectively. Then $q^{-1}[\mathcal{U}]$ and $q^{-1}[\mathcal{V}]$ are open sets containing x and y, respectively. But open sets in \mathbb{R} are described by the metric. So there is some $\varepsilon_x > 0$ and some $\varepsilon_y > 0$ such that $(x - \varepsilon_x, x + \varepsilon_x) \subseteq q^{-1}[\mathcal{U}]$ and $(y - \varepsilon_y, y + \varepsilon_y) \subseteq q^{-1}[\mathcal{V}]$. But there must be a rational number between $x + \varepsilon_x$ and a rational number between $y + \varepsilon_y$. But all rationals are identified together by the equivalence relation, meaning these rationals map to the same point under q. Hence \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} must overlap, so [x] and [y] can not be separated by open sets.

If x is irrational and y is rational, the argument is almost identical. Any open set about [x] must contain [y] by the previous argument, and hence [x] and [y] can not be separated by open sets either. So \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} is not Hausdorff.

The space is also not Fréchet. Given irrational numbers x and y we can indeed find open sets for [x] and [y] satisfying the Fréchet condition. Namely, set $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{y\}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}$. These sets are open in \mathbb{R} and moreover they are saturated with respect to q. Hence $q[\mathcal{U}]$ and $q[\mathcal{V}]$ are open sets with $[x] \in q[\mathcal{U}]$, $[x] \notin q[\mathcal{V}]$, and $[y] \in q[\mathcal{V}]$ and $[y] \notin q[\mathcal{U}]$. The problem arises when we consider x irrational and y rational. When exploring the Hausdorff property we saw that any open set containing [x] must also contain [y], and hence the Fréchet condition cannot be satisfied. \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} is neither Hausdorff nor Fréchet.

Problem 4 (Products)

Consider topological spaces (X, τ_X) , (Y, τ_Y) , and (Z, τ_Z) . Equip $X \times Y$ with the product topology $\tau_{X \times Y}$.

• (6 Points) Prove that a function $f: Z \to X \times Y$ is continuous if and only if the component functions $\operatorname{proj}_X \circ f: Z \to X$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y \circ f: Z \to Y$ are continuous.

Solution. One direction is easier than the other. If f is continuous, then since projections are continuous, $\mathrm{proj}_X \circ f$ and $\mathrm{proj}_Y \circ f$ are the compositions of continuous functions, which are therefore continuous. That is, if f is continuous, then so are the component functions. In the other direction, suppose the component functions are continuous. Let $z \in Z$ and $\mathcal{W} \in \tau_{X \times Y}$ be any open set containing f(z). Since $\tau_{X \times Y}$ has as a basis the set of all open rectangles, there must be some open sets $\mathcal{U} \in \tau_X$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \tau_Y$ such that $f(z) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. But $\operatorname{proj}_X \circ f$ is continuous, and $(\operatorname{proj}_X \circ f)(z) \in \mathcal{U}$, so there is an open set \mathcal{E}_X such that $z \in \mathcal{E}_X$ and $(\operatorname{proj}_X \circ f)[\mathcal{E}_X] \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Similarly there exists a set \mathcal{E}_Y for $\operatorname{proj}_Y \circ f$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_X \cap \mathcal{E}_Y$. Then \mathcal{E} is open, being the intersection of two open sets, and $z \in \mathcal{E}$. Moreover, $f[\mathcal{E}] \subseteq \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$. For let $(x, y) \in f[\mathcal{E}]$. Then $\operatorname{proj}_X((x, y)) = x$ and hence $x \in \mathcal{U}$, and $\operatorname{proj}_Y((x, y)) = y$ and so $y \in \mathcal{V}$. But then $(x, y) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$, meaning $f[\mathcal{E}] \subseteq \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$. But $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$, and hence $f[\mathcal{E}] \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. That is, for all $z \in Z$ and for every open set \mathcal{W} containing f(z) there is an open set $\mathcal{E} \subseteq Z$ such that $z \in \mathcal{E}$ and $f[\mathcal{E}] \subseteq \mathcal{W}$. So f is continuous.