Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

What are the diameter and the radius of:

• the Petersen graph?

Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

What are the diameter and the radius of:

• the Petersen graph? diam = rad = 2.

Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

- the Petersen graph? diam = rad = 2.
- the hypercube  $Q_k$ ?

Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

- the Petersen graph? diam = rad = 2.
- the hypercube  $Q_k$ ? diam = rad = k.

Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

- the Petersen graph? diam = rad = 2.
- the hypercube  $Q_k$ ? diam = rad = k.
- the cycle  $C_n$ ?

Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

- the Petersen graph? diam = rad = 2.
- the hypercube  $Q_k$ ? diam = rad = k.
- the cycle  $C_n$ ? diam = rad =  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

What are the diameter and the radius of:

- the Petersen graph? diam = rad = 2.
- the hypercube  $Q_k$ ? diam = rad = k.
- the cycle  $C_n$ ? diam = rad =  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

For  $n \ge 3$ , what are the *n*-vertex trees of smallest/largest diameter?

Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

What are the diameter and the radius of:

- the Petersen graph? diam = rad = 2.
- the hypercube  $Q_k$ ? diam = rad = k.
- the cycle  $C_n$ ? diam = rad =  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

For  $n \ge 3$ , what are the *n*-vertex trees of smallest/largest diameter?

#### Theorem

If G is a simple graph with diam $(G) \ge 3$ , then diam $(\overline{G}) \le 3$ .

Note that the diameter is the maximum of the vertex eccentricities, whereas the radius is the minimum.

What are the diameter and the radius of:

- the Petersen graph? diam = rad = 2.
- the hypercube  $Q_k$ ? diam = rad = k.
- the cycle  $C_n$ ? diam = rad =  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

For  $n \ge 3$ , what are the *n*-vertex trees of smallest/largest diameter?

#### Theorem

If G is a simple graph with diam $(G) \ge 3$ , then diam $(\overline{G}) \le 3$ .

#### Definition

The **center** of *G* is the subgraph induced by the vertices of minimum eccentricity.

# 2.2 Spanning trees and enumeration

There are  $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  simple graphs with vertex set  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

We often call these *labeled* trees, meaning that the vertices are labeled with  $1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

We often call these *labeled* trees, meaning that the vertices are labeled with  $1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

### Theorem (Cayley)

The number of labeled trees with n vertices is  $n^{n-2}$ .

We often call these *labeled* trees, meaning that the vertices are labeled with  $1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

#### Theorem (Cayley)

The number of labeled trees with n vertices is  $n^{n-2}$ .

We will give a bijective proof, by encoding each tree with a unique sequence of length n-2 with entries in [n], called the **Prüfer code**.

**Input:** A labeled tree T with n vertices. **Output:** A sequence  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-2})$  where  $a_i \in [n]$  for all i. **Input:** A labeled tree *T* with *n* vertices.

**Output:** A sequence  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-2})$  where  $a_i \in [n]$  for all *i*.

For *i* from 1 to n-2:

- Find the leaf v with the smallest label.
- Let *a<sub>i</sub>* be the label of the neighbor of this leaf.
- Remove v from the tree to create a new tree.

The first deleted leaf  $x_1$  must be the smallest such element, and it is adjacent to  $a_1$ .

The first deleted leaf  $x_1$  must be the smallest such element, and it is adjacent to  $a_1$ .

The second deleted leaf  $x_2$  must be the smallest element  $\neq x_1$  that does not appear in  $(a_2, \ldots, a_{n-2})$ , and it is adjacent to  $a_2$ .

The first deleted leaf  $x_1$  must be the smallest such element, and it is adjacent to  $a_1$ .

The second deleted leaf  $x_2$  must be the smallest element  $\neq x_1$  that does not appear in  $(a_2, \ldots, a_{n-2})$ , and it is adjacent to  $a_2$ .

In general, the *i*th deleted leaf  $x_i$  must be the smallest element not in the set  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n-2}\}$ , and it is adjacent to  $a_i$ .

The first deleted leaf  $x_1$  must be the smallest such element, and it is adjacent to  $a_1$ .

The second deleted leaf  $x_2$  must be the smallest element  $\neq x_1$  that does not appear in  $(a_2, \ldots, a_{n-2})$ , and it is adjacent to  $a_2$ .

In general, the *i*th deleted leaf  $x_i$  must be the smallest element not in the set  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n-2}\}$ , and it is adjacent to  $a_i$ .

To recover T, we repeat the above procedure for i from 1 to n-2. Finally, we join the two vertices not in  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}\}$ .

# Remarks on the Prüfer code

We saw that the leaves of  $\mathcal{T}$  are the elements that do not appear in the Prüfer code.

# Remarks on the Prüfer code

We saw that the leaves of T are the elements that do not appear in the Prüfer code.

More generally, how can we determine the degree of a vertex?

More generally, how can we determine the degree of a vertex?

The degree of a vertex is the number of times that it appears in the Prüfer code, plus 1.

More generally, how can we determine the degree of a vertex?

The degree of a vertex is the number of times that it appears in the Prüfer code, plus 1.

#### Proposition

Given positive integers  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$  summing to 2n - 2, there are exactly

$$\frac{(n-2)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n (d_i-1)!}$$

trees with vertex set [n] such that vertex i has degree  $d_i$  for each i.

More generally, how can we determine the degree of a vertex?

The degree of a vertex is the number of times that it appears in the Prüfer code, plus 1.

#### Proposition

Given positive integers  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$  summing to 2n - 2, there are exactly

$$\frac{(n-2)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n (d_i-1)!}$$

trees with vertex set [n] such that vertex i has degree  $d_i$  for each i.

Example: The number of trees with vertex set [7] with degrees  $(d_1, \ldots, d_7) = (3, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1)$  is

More generally, how can we determine the degree of a vertex?

The degree of a vertex is the number of times that it appears in the Prüfer code, plus 1.

#### Proposition

Given positive integers  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$  summing to 2n - 2, there are exactly

$$\frac{(n-2)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n (d_i-1)!}$$

trees with vertex set [n] such that vertex i has degree  $d_i$  for each i.

Example: The number of trees with vertex set [7] with degrees  $(d_1, ..., d_7) = (3, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1)$  is 30.

Question: Given a graph G, how many spanning trees does it have?

Let  $\tau(G)$  denote the number of spanning trees of G.

Question: Given a graph G, how many spanning trees does it have?

Let  $\tau(G)$  denote the number of spanning trees of G.

Examples:

 $\tau(K_n) =$ 

Question: Given a graph G, how many spanning trees does it have?

Let  $\tau(G)$  denote the number of spanning trees of G.

Examples:

 $\tau(K_n)=n^{n-2}$ 

• • •

Let G be a graph and let e = uv be an edge. The contraction of e is the operation that replaces e with a single vertex, which is incident to those edges that were incident to either u or v in G.

Let G be a graph and let e = uv be an edge. The contraction of e is the operation that replaces e with a single vertex, which is incident to those edges that were incident to either u or v in G.

Denote by  $G \cdot e$  the resulting graph.

Let G be a graph and let e = uv be an edge. The contraction of e is the operation that replaces e with a single vertex, which is incident to those edges that were incident to either u or v in G. Denote by  $G \cdot e$  the resulting graph.

• This construction may create loops and multiple edges.

Let G be a graph and let e = uv be an edge. The contraction of e is the operation that replaces e with a single vertex, which is incident to those edges that were incident to either u or v in G. Denote by  $G \cdot e$  the resulting graph.

- This construction may create loops and multiple edges.
- $G \cdot e$  has one fewer edge than G.

Proposition (Deletion-contraction recurrence)

If  $e \in E(G)$  is not a loop, then

$$\tau(G) = \tau(G - e) + \tau(G \cdot e).$$

Proposition (Deletion-contraction recurrence)

If  $e \in E(G)$  is not a loop, then

$$\tau(G) = \tau(G - e) + \tau(G \cdot e).$$

[Example]

Proposition (Deletion-contraction recurrence)

If  $e \in E(G)$  is not a loop, then

$$\tau(G) = \tau(G - e) + \tau(G \cdot e).$$

### [Example]

 If e is a loop, one can just delete it since it does not affect the number of spanning trees.

Proposition (Deletion-contraction recurrence)

If  $e \in E(G)$  is not a loop, then

$$\tau(G) = \tau(G - e) + \tau(G \cdot e).$$

### [Example]

- If e is a loop, one can just delete it since it does not affect the number of spanning trees.
- With this recurrence, one can in theory compute  $\tau(G)$  for any graph recursively, but it's computationally impractical, since one would have to compute up to  $2^{e(G)}$  terms.