Let G be a graph and let e = uv be an edge that is not a loop. The contraction of e is the operation that replaces e with a single vertex, which is incident to those edges that were incident to either u or v in G.

Let G be a graph and let e = uv be an edge that is not a loop. The contraction of e is the operation that replaces e with a single vertex, which is incident to those edges that were incident to either u or v in G.

Denote by  $G \cdot e$  the resulting graph.

Let G be a graph and let e = uv be an edge that is not a loop. The contraction of e is the operation that replaces e with a single vertex, which is incident to those edges that were incident to either u or v in G.

Denote by  $G \cdot e$  the resulting graph.

• This construction may create loops and multiple edges.

Let G be a graph and let e = uv be an edge that is not a loop. The contraction of e is the operation that replaces e with a single vertex, which is incident to those edges that were incident to either u or v in G.

Denote by  $G \cdot e$  the resulting graph.

- This construction may create loops and multiple edges.
- $G \cdot e$  has one fewer edge than G.

Proposition (Deletion-contraction recurrence)

If  $e \in E(G)$  is not a loop, then

$$\tau(G) = \tau(G - e) + \tau(G \cdot e).$$

Proposition (Deletion-contraction recurrence)

If  $e \in E(G)$  is not a loop, then

$$\tau(G) = \tau(G - e) + \tau(G \cdot e).$$

[Example]

Proposition (Deletion-contraction recurrence)

If  $e \in E(G)$  is not a loop, then

$$\tau(G) = \tau(G - e) + \tau(G \cdot e).$$

# [Example]

 If e is a loop, one can just delete it since it does not affect the number of spanning trees.

Proposition (Deletion-contraction recurrence)

If  $e \in E(G)$  is not a loop, then

$$\tau(G) = \tau(G - e) + \tau(G \cdot e).$$

# [Example]

- If e is a loop, one can just delete it since it does not affect the number of spanning trees.
- With this recurrence, one can in theory compute  $\tau(G)$  for any graph recursively, but it's computationally impractical, since one would have to compute up to  $2^{e(G)}$  terms.

# Matrix Tree Theorem

# Theorem

Let G be a loopless graph with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Let A be its adjacency matrix.

Let G be a loopless graph with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Let A be its adjacency matrix.

Define the matrix

$$Q = egin{pmatrix} d(v_1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & d(v_2) & \dots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \dots & d(v_n) \end{pmatrix} - A.$$

Let G be a loopless graph with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Let A be its adjacency matrix.

Define the matrix

$$Q = egin{pmatrix} d(v_1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & d(v_2) & \dots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \dots & d(v_n) \end{pmatrix} - A.$$

Let  $Q^*$  be obtained from Q by deleting row s and column t.

Let G be a loopless graph with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Let A be its adjacency matrix.

Define the matrix

$$Q = egin{pmatrix} d(v_1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & d(v_2) & \dots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \dots & d(v_n) \end{pmatrix} - A.$$

Let  $Q^*$  be obtained from Q by deleting row s and column t. Then

$$\tau(G) = (-1)^{s+t} \det Q^{\star}.$$

Let G be a loopless graph with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Let A be its adjacency matrix.

Define the matrix

$$Q = egin{pmatrix} d(v_1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & d(v_2) & \dots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \dots & d(v_n) \end{pmatrix} - A.$$

Let  $Q^*$  be obtained from Q by deleting row s and column t. Then

$$\tau(G) = (-1)^{s+t} \det Q^{\star}.$$

[Example] (We won't prove the theorem here.)

A graceful labeling of a tree T of order n is a bijection

$$f:V(T)\to\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$$

such that

$$\{|f(u) - f(v)| : uv \in E(T)\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

A graceful labeling of a tree T of order n is a bijection

$$f:V(T)\to\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$$

such that

$$\{|f(u) - f(v)| : uv \in E(T)\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

[Example]

A graceful labeling of a tree T of order n is a bijection

$$f:V(T)\to\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$$

such that

$$\{|f(u) - f(v)| : uv \in E(T)\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

# [Example]

Conjecture (Graceful Tree Conjecture '64)

Every tree has a graceful labeling.

The Graceful Tree Conjecture is known to be true in some special cases.

The Graceful Tree Conjecture is known to be true in some special cases.

Definition

A caterpillar is a tree which contains a path that is incident to every edge.

The Graceful Tree Conjecture is known to be true in some special cases.

Definition

A caterpillar is a tree which contains a path that is incident to every edge.

Exercise (optional): Prove that every caterpillar has a graceful labeling.

They can represent the cost of building a road, or a distance, or the amount of data that can be sent per second.

They can represent the cost of building a road, or a distance, or the amount of data that can be sent per second.

A minimum spanning tree is a spanning tree that minimizes the sum of its edge weights.

They can represent the cost of building a road, or a distance, or the amount of data that can be sent per second.

A minimum spanning tree is a spanning tree that minimizes the sum of its edge weights.

Minimum Connector Problem: Given an arbitrary weighted connected graph G, find a minimum spanning tree.

**Output:** A minimum spanning tree T with edges  $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ .

**Output:** A minimum spanning tree T with edges  $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ .

• Start with no edges.

**Output:** A minimum spanning tree T with edges  $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ .

- Start with no edges.
- At each step, add the edge with smallest weight that does not create a cycle with the edges added so far.

**Output:** A minimum spanning tree T with edges  $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ .

- Start with no edges.
- At each step, add the edge with smallest weight that does not create a cycle with the edges added so far.
- Finish when we have a spanning tree of G.

**Output:** A minimum spanning tree T with edges  $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ .

- Start with no edges.
- At each step, add the edge with smallest weight that does not create a cycle with the edges added so far.
- Finish when we have a spanning tree of G.

This is an example of a greedy algorithm.

**Output:** A minimum spanning tree T with edges  $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ .

- Start with no edges.
- At each step, add the edge with smallest weight that does not create a cycle with the edges added so far.
- Finish when we have a spanning tree of G.

This is an example of a greedy algorithm.

# Theorem

Kruskal's algorithm constructs a minimum-weight spanning tree.