How can we show that a graph G is not bipartite?

How can we show that a graph G is not bipartite? It is enough to exhibit an odd cycle.

How can we show that a graph G is not bipartite? It is enough to exhibit an odd cycle.

How can we show that a matching M is a maximum matching?

How can we show that a graph G is not bipartite? It is enough to exhibit an odd cycle.

How can we show that a matching M is a maximum matching? We could show that it has no M-augmenting path, but that's too hard!

How can we show that a graph G is not bipartite? It is enough to exhibit an odd cycle.

How can we show that a matching M is a maximum matching?

We could show that it has no *M*-augmenting path, but that's too hard!

We will show that, in some cases, there is an easier way.

Definition

A vertex cover of G is a set $Q \subseteq V(G)$ that contains at least one endpoint of every edge.

Definition

A vertex cover of G is a set $Q \subseteq V(G)$ that contains at least one endpoint of every edge.

Think of security guards in an art gallery.

Definition

A vertex cover of G is a set $Q \subseteq V(G)$ that contains at least one endpoint of every edge.

Think of security guards in an art gallery.

Observation: size of a vertex cover in $G \ge$ size of a matching in G.

Definition

A vertex cover of G is a set $Q \subseteq V(G)$ that contains at least one endpoint of every edge.

Think of security guards in an art gallery.

Observation: size of a vertex cover in $G \ge$ size of a matching in G.

This is because no vertex can cover two edges of a matching.

Definition

A vertex cover of G is a set $Q \subseteq V(G)$ that contains at least one endpoint of every edge.

Think of security guards in an art gallery.

Observation: size of a vertex cover in $G \ge$ size of a matching in G.

This is because no vertex can cover two edges of a matching.

Notation:

 $\beta(G) = \text{minimum size of a vertex cover in } G$ $\alpha'(G) = \text{maximum size of a matching in } G$

Definition

A vertex cover of G is a set $Q \subseteq V(G)$ that contains at least one endpoint of every edge.

Think of security guards in an art gallery.

Observation: size of a vertex cover in $G \ge$ size of a matching in G.

This is because no vertex can cover two edges of a matching.

Notation:

 $eta(G) = ext{minimum size of a vertex cover in } G$ $lpha'(G) = ext{maximum size of a matching in } G$

For every graph G,

$$\beta(G) \geq \alpha'(G)$$
.

Definition

A vertex cover of G is a set $Q \subseteq V(G)$ that contains at least one endpoint of every edge.

Think of security guards in an art gallery.

Observation: size of a vertex cover in $G \ge$ size of a matching in G.

This is because no vertex can cover two edges of a matching.

Notation:

$$\beta(G)$$
 = minimum size of a vertex cover in G $\alpha'(G)$ = maximum size of a matching in G

For every graph G,

$$\beta(G) > \alpha'(G)$$
.

Obtaining a matching and a vertex cover of the same size proves that both are optimal!

Vertex covers and matchings of bipartite graphs

There are graphs for which $\beta(G) > \alpha'(G)$.

Vertex covers and matchings of bipartite graphs

There are graphs for which $\beta(G) > \alpha'(G)$.

Theorem (König-Egerváry)

If G is bipartite, then

$$\beta(G) = \alpha'(G).$$

Vertex covers and matchings of bipartite graphs

There are graphs for which $\beta(G) > \alpha'(G)$.

Theorem (König-Egerváry)

If G is bipartite, then

$$\beta(G) = \alpha'(G).$$

This is an example of a min-max relation.

In general, we have a maximization problem and a minimization problem, and any solution to the first has a smaller size than any solution to the second.

If we find solutions with the same value, then they are both optimal.

Recall that an independent set is a set of mutually non-adjacent vertices.

Recall that an independent set is a set of mutually non-adjacent vertices.

Definition

An edge cover of G is a set $L \subseteq E(G)$ such that every vertex of G is incident to some edge in L.

Recall that an independent set is a set of mutually non-adjacent vertices.

Definition

An edge cover of G is a set $L \subseteq E(G)$ such that every vertex of G is incident to some edge in L.

Observation:

size of an edge cover in $G \ge$ size of an independent set in G.

Recall that an independent set is a set of mutually non-adjacent vertices.

Definition

An edge cover of G is a set $L \subseteq E(G)$ such that every vertex of G is incident to some edge in L.

Observation:

size of an edge cover in $G \ge$ size of an independent set in G.

This is because no edge can cover two edges of an independent set.

Recall that an independent set is a set of mutually non-adjacent vertices.

Definition

An edge cover of G is a set $L \subseteq E(G)$ such that every vertex of G is incident to some edge in L.

Observation:

size of an edge cover in $G \ge$ size of an independent set in G.

This is because no edge can cover two edges of an independent set.

Notation:

- $\alpha(G) = \text{maximum size of an independent set in } G$ (called independence number)
- $\beta'(G) = \text{minimum size of an edge cover in } G$

Recall that an independent set is a set of mutually non-adjacent vertices.

Definition

An edge cover of G is a set $L \subseteq E(G)$ such that every vertex of G is incident to some edge in L.

Observation:

size of an edge cover in $G \ge$ size of an independent set in G.

This is because no edge can cover two edges of an independent set.

Notation:

 $\alpha(G) = \text{maximum size of an independent set in } G$ (called independence number)

 $\beta'(G) = \text{minimum size of an edge cover in } G$

For every graph G,

$$\beta'(G) \geq \alpha(G)$$
.

```
\begin{array}{l} \alpha(G) = \text{maximum size of an independent set in } G \\ \alpha'(G) = \text{maximum size of a matching in } G \\ \beta(G) = \text{minimum size of a vertex cover in } G \\ \beta'(G) = \text{minimum size of an edge cover in } G \end{array}
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha(G) = \text{maximum size of an independent set in } G \\ \alpha'(G) = \text{maximum size of a matching in } G \\ \beta(G) = \text{minimum size of a vertex cover in } G \\ \beta'(G) = \text{minimum size of an edge cover in } G \end{array}$

Some relations we have discussed so far:

$$\beta(G) \geq \alpha'(G)$$

(with equality if G is bipartite)

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha(G) = \text{maximum size of an independent set in } G \\ \alpha'(G) = \text{maximum size of a matching in } G \\ \beta(G) = \text{minimum size of a vertex cover in } G \\ \beta'(G) = \text{minimum size of an edge cover in } G \end{array}$

Some relations we have discussed so far:

$$\beta(G) \geq \alpha'(G)$$

(with equality if G is bipartite)

$$\beta'(G) \ge \alpha(G)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha(G) = \text{maximum size of an independent set in } G \\ \alpha'(G) = \text{maximum size of a matching in } G \\ \beta(G) = \text{minimum size of a vertex cover in } G \\ \beta'(G) = \text{minimum size of an edge cover in } G \end{array}$

Some relations we have discussed so far:

$$\beta(G) \geq \alpha'(G)$$

(with equality if G is bipartite)

$$\beta'(G) \geq \alpha(G)$$

$$\beta'(G) \ge \frac{n(G)}{2} \ge \alpha'(G)$$