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## Theorem

If $G$ is 3-regular, then

$$
\kappa(G)=\kappa^{\prime}(G)
$$

## More about edge cuts

## Proposition <br> If $S \subseteq V(G)$, then
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$$

## More about edge cuts

Proposition
If $S \subseteq V(G)$, then

$$
|[S, \bar{S}]|=\sum_{v \in S} d(v)-2 e(G[S])
$$

An edge cut may contain another edge cut.
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## Proposition

Two blocks in a graph share at most one vertex.
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## Theorem

A graph is 2-connected if and only if it has an "ear decomposition".
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In fact,

$$
\kappa(G)=\min _{x, y \in V(G)} \kappa(x, y)
$$

## Menger's theorem

## Definition

A set of $x, y$-paths is called pairwise internally disjoint if no two paths share an internal vertex.

## Menger's theorem

## Definition

A set of $x, y$-paths is called pairwise internally disjoint if no two paths share an internal vertex.
$\lambda(x, y)=$ maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint $x, y$-paths.

## Menger's theorem

## Definition

A set of $x, y$-paths is called pairwise internally disjoint if no two paths share an internal vertex.
$\lambda(x, y)=$ maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint $x, y$-paths.

Note that $\kappa(x, y) \geq \lambda(x, y)$

## Menger's theorem

## Definition

A set of $x, y$-paths is called pairwise internally disjoint if no two paths share an internal vertex.
$\lambda(x, y)=$ maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint $x, y$-paths.

Note that $\kappa(x, y) \geq \lambda(x, y)$, since no vertex can cut two internally disjoint $x, y$-paths.

## Menger's theorem

## Definition

A set of $x, y$-paths is called pairwise internally disjoint if no two paths share an internal vertex.
$\lambda(x, y)=$ maximum size of a set of pairwise internally disjoint $x, y$-paths.

Note that $\kappa(x, y) \geq \lambda(x, y)$, since no vertex can cut two internally disjoint $x, y$-paths.

## Theorem (Menger '27)
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## Theorem (Menger '27)

If $x, y \in V(G)$ and $x y \notin E(G)$, then

$$
\kappa(x, y)=\lambda(x, y)
$$

## Corollary

A graph $G$ is $k$-connected if and only if, for every $x, y \in V(G)$, there are at least $k$ internally disjoint $u, v$-paths.
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## Theorem

If $x, y \in V(G)$, then

$$
\kappa^{\prime}(x, y)=\lambda^{\prime}(x, y)
$$
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The proof of the edge version of Menger's theorem uses the notion of line graphs.

## The line graph

The proof of the edge version of Menger's theorem uses the notion of line graphs.

## Definition

The line graph of $G$, denoted by $L(G)$, is the graph whose vertices are the edges of $G$, and with ef $\in E(L(G))$ if $e$ and $f$ are edges of $G$ that share an endpoint.
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A network is a weighted digraph $D$ with two distinguished vertices: the source $s$ and the sink $t$.
Each edge has a nonnegative label $c(e)$, called its capacity.

## Definition

A feasible flow is a function $f: E(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ that assigns to each edge a non-negative real number, such that

- $0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$ for all $e \in E(D)$; (capacity constraints)
- $f^{+}(v)=f^{-}(v)$ for all $v \in V(G) \backslash\{s, t\}$,
where $f^{+}(v)$ is the flow on edges leaving $v$, and $f^{-}(v)$ is the flow on edges entering $v$.
(conservation constraints)

