

# $f$ -augmenting paths

## Definition

If  $f$  is a feasible flow, an  **$f$ -augmenting path** is a path  $P$  from  $s$  to  $t$  such that, for each  $e \in E(P)$ ,

- if  $P$  follows  $e$  in the forward direction, then  $f(e) < c(e)$ ,

# $f$ -augmenting paths

## Definition

If  $f$  is a feasible flow, an  **$f$ -augmenting path** is a path  $P$  from  $s$  to  $t$  such that, for each  $e \in E(P)$ ,

- if  $P$  follows  $e$  in the forward direction, then  $f(e) < c(e)$ ,
- if  $P$  follows  $e$  in the backward direction, then  $f(e) > 0$ .

# $f$ -augmenting paths

## Definition

If  $f$  is a feasible flow, an  **$f$ -augmenting path** is a path  $P$  from  $s$  to  $t$  such that, for each  $e \in E(P)$ ,

- if  $P$  follows  $e$  in the forward direction, then  $f(e) < c(e)$ ,  
(let  $\epsilon(e) = c(e) - f(e)$ )
- if  $P$  follows  $e$  in the backward direction, then  $f(e) > 0$ .  
(let  $\epsilon(e) = f(e)$ )

The **tolerance** of  $P$  is

$$\min_{e \in E(P)} \epsilon(e).$$

# $f$ -augmenting paths

## Definition

If  $f$  is a feasible flow, an  **$f$ -augmenting path** is a path  $P$  from  $s$  to  $t$  such that, for each  $e \in E(P)$ ,

- if  $P$  follows  $e$  in the forward direction, then  $f(e) < c(e)$ ,  
(let  $\epsilon(e) = c(e) - f(e)$ )
- if  $P$  follows  $e$  in the backward direction, then  $f(e) > 0$ .  
(let  $\epsilon(e) = f(e)$ )

The **tolerance** of  $P$  is

$$\min_{e \in E(P)} \epsilon(e).$$

An  $f$ -augmenting path leads to a feasible flow with larger value, by changing  $f$  on the edges of  $P$ .

# $f$ -augmenting paths

## Definition

If  $f$  is a feasible flow, an  **$f$ -augmenting path** is a path  $P$  from  $s$  to  $t$  such that, for each  $e \in E(P)$ ,

- if  $P$  follows  $e$  in the forward direction, then  $f(e) < c(e)$ ,  
(let  $\epsilon(e) = c(e) - f(e)$ )
- if  $P$  follows  $e$  in the backward direction, then  $f(e) > 0$ .  
(let  $\epsilon(e) = f(e)$ )

The **tolerance** of  $P$  is

$$\min_{e \in E(P)} \epsilon(e).$$

An  $f$ -augmenting path leads to a feasible flow with larger value, by changing  $f$  on the edges of  $P$ .

How can we know when our flow is maximum?

### Definition

A **source/sink cut**  $[S, T]$  consists of the edges from  $S$  to  $T$  (in this direction), where  $S$  and  $T$  partition the set of nodes (i.e.,  $T = \bar{S}$ ), with  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ .

### Definition

A **source/sink cut**  $[S, T]$  consists of the edges from  $S$  to  $T$  (in this direction), where  $S$  and  $T$  partition the set of nodes (i.e.,  $T = \bar{S}$ ), with  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ .

The **capacity** of  $[S, T]$ , denoted by  $\text{cap}(S, T)$ , is the sum of the capacities of the edges in  $[S, T]$ .

### Definition

A **source/sink cut**  $[S, T]$  consists of the edges from  $S$  to  $T$  (in this direction), where  $S$  and  $T$  partition the set of nodes (i.e.,  $T = \bar{S}$ ), with  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ .

The **capacity** of  $[S, T]$ , denoted by  $\text{cap}(S, T)$ , is the sum of the capacities of the edges in  $[S, T]$ .

A **minimum cut** is a source/sink cut with minimum capacity.



## Source/sink cuts

### Definition

A **source/sink cut**  $[S, T]$  consists of the edges from  $S$  to  $T$  (in this direction), where  $S$  and  $T$  partition the set of nodes (i.e.,  $T = \bar{S}$ ), with  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ .

The **capacity** of  $[S, T]$ , denoted by  $\text{cap}(S, T)$ , is the sum of the capacities of the edges in  $[S, T]$ .

A **minimum cut** is a source/sink cut with minimum capacity.

### Proposition

*If  $f$  is a feasible flow and  $[S, T]$  is a source/sink cut, then*

$$\text{val}(f) \leq \text{cap}(S, T).$$

# Source/sink cuts

## Definition

A **source/sink cut**  $[S, T]$  consists of the edges from  $S$  to  $T$  (in this direction), where  $S$  and  $T$  partition the set of nodes (i.e.,  $T = \bar{S}$ ), with  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ .

The **capacity** of  $[S, T]$ , denoted by  $\text{cap}(S, T)$ , is the sum of the capacities of the edges in  $[S, T]$ .

A **minimum cut** is a source/sink cut with minimum capacity.

## Proposition

*If  $f$  is a feasible flow and  $[S, T]$  is a source/sink cut, then*

$$\text{val}(f) \leq \text{cap}(S, T).$$

**Proof idea:** denoting by  $f^+(S)$  and  $f^-(S)$  the total flow on edges leaving  $S$  and entering  $S$ , respectively, we have

$$f^+(S) - f^-(S) = \sum_{v \in S} (f^+(v) - f^-(v)) = f^+(s) - f^-(s) = \text{val}(f).$$

# Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

As a consequence of the previous proposition:

value of a maximum flow  $\leq$  capacity of a minimum cut.

# Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

As a consequence of the previous proposition:

value of a maximum flow  $\leq$  capacity of a minimum cut.

## Theorem (Max-flow Min-cut Theorem)

*In every network, the value of a maximum flow equals the capacity of a minimum cut.*

# Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

As a consequence of the previous proposition:

value of a maximum flow  $\leq$  capacity of a minimum cut.

## Theorem (Max-flow Min-cut Theorem)

*In every network, the value of a maximum flow equals the capacity of a minimum cut.*

To prove this, we will give an algorithm that, for any given network, finds a feasible flow and a source/sink cut with the property that the value of the flow equals the capacity of the cut.

# Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm

**Input:** A feasible flow  $f$ .

**Output:** An  $f$ -augmenting path, or a source/sink cut with capacity equal to  $\text{val}(f)$ .

# Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm

**Input:** A feasible flow  $f$ .

**Output:** An  $f$ -augmenting path, or a source/sink cut with capacity equal to  $\text{val}(f)$ .

The idea is to find nodes reachable from  $s$  by paths with positive tolerance, and see if we can get to  $t$ .

# Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm

**Input:** A feasible flow  $f$ .

**Output:** An  $f$ -augmenting path, or a source/sink cut with capacity equal to  $\text{val}(f)$ .

The idea is to find nodes reachable from  $s$  by paths with positive tolerance, and see if we can get to  $t$ .

**Initialization:**  $R = \{s\}$  (reached nodes),  $S = \emptyset$  (searched nodes).



# Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm

**Input:** A feasible flow  $f$ .

**Output:** An  $f$ -augmenting path, or a source/sink cut with capacity equal to  $\text{val}(f)$ .

The idea is to find nodes reachable from  $s$  by paths with positive tolerance, and see if we can get to  $t$ .

**Initialization:**  $R = \{s\}$  (reached nodes),  $S = \emptyset$  (searched nodes).

**Iteration:** Choose  $v \in R \setminus S$ .

- For each exiting edge  $vw$  with  $f(vw) < c(vw)$  and  $w \notin R$ , add  $w$  to  $R$ .
- For each entering edge  $uv$  with  $f(uv) > 0$  and  $u \notin R$ , add  $u$  to  $R$ .

Add  $v$  to  $S$ .

# Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm

**Input:** A feasible flow  $f$ .

**Output:** An  $f$ -augmenting path, or a source/sink cut with capacity equal to  $\text{val}(f)$ .

The idea is to find nodes reachable from  $s$  by paths with positive tolerance, and see if we can get to  $t$ .

**Initialization:**  $R = \{s\}$  (reached nodes),  $S = \emptyset$  (searched nodes).

**Iteration:** Choose  $v \in R \setminus S$ .

- For each exiting edge  $vw$  with  $f(vw) < c(vw)$  and  $w \notin R$ , add  $w$  to  $R$ .
- For each entering edge  $uv$  with  $f(uv) > 0$  and  $u \notin R$ , add  $u$  to  $R$ .

Add  $v$  to  $S$ . Consider three cases:

- If  $t \in R$ , then the path reaching  $t$  is an  $f$ -augmenting path.

# Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm

**Input:** A feasible flow  $f$ .

**Output:** An  $f$ -augmenting path, or a source/sink cut with capacity equal to  $\text{val}(f)$ .

The idea is to find nodes reachable from  $s$  by paths with positive tolerance, and see if we can get to  $t$ .

**Initialization:**  $R = \{s\}$  (reached nodes),  $S = \emptyset$  (searched nodes).

**Iteration:** Choose  $v \in R \setminus S$ .

- For each exiting edge  $vw$  with  $f(vw) < c(vw)$  and  $w \notin R$ , add  $w$  to  $R$ .
- For each entering edge  $uv$  with  $f(uv) > 0$  and  $u \notin R$ , add  $u$  to  $R$ .

Add  $v$  to  $S$ . Consider three cases:

- If  $t \in R$ , then the path reaching  $t$  is an  $f$ -augmenting path.
- If  $R = S$ , then  $[S, \bar{S}]$  is a source/sink cut with capacity  $\text{val}(f)$ .

# Ford–Fulkerson Algorithm

**Input:** A feasible flow  $f$ .

**Output:** An  $f$ -augmenting path, or a source/sink cut with capacity equal to  $\text{val}(f)$ .

The idea is to find nodes reachable from  $s$  by paths with positive tolerance, and see if we can get to  $t$ .

**Initialization:**  $R = \{s\}$  (reached nodes),  $S = \emptyset$  (searched notes).

**Iteration:** Choose  $v \in R \setminus S$ .

- For each exiting edge  $vw$  with  $f(vw) < c(vw)$  and  $w \notin R$ , add  $w$  to  $R$ .
- For each entering edge  $uv$  with  $f(uv) > 0$  and  $u \notin R$ , add  $u$  to  $R$ .

Add  $v$  to  $S$ . Consider three cases:

- If  $t \in R$ , then the path reaching  $t$  is an  $f$ -augmenting path.
- If  $R = S$ , then  $[S, \bar{S}]$  is a source/sink cut with capacity  $\text{val}(f)$ .
- Otherwise, iterate.

# Proof of Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

## Theorem (Max-flow Min-cut Theorem)

*In every network, the value of a maximum flow equals the capacity of a minimum cut.*

# Proof of Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

## Theorem (Max-flow Min-cut Theorem)

*In every network, the value of a maximum flow equals the capacity of a minimum cut.*

**Proof:** Apply the Ford–Fulkerson algorithm repeatedly, starting from the zero flow ( $f(e) = 0$  for every  $e$ ).

# Proof of Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

## Theorem (Max-flow Min-cut Theorem)

*In every network, the value of a maximum flow equals the capacity of a minimum cut.*

**Proof:** Apply the Ford–Fulkerson algorithm repeatedly, starting from the zero flow ( $f(e) = 0$  for every  $e$ ).

As long as the algorithm returns an  $f$ -augmenting path, use it to increase the value of the flow, and apply the algorithm again.

# Proof of Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

## Theorem (Max-flow Min-cut Theorem)

*In every network, the value of a maximum flow equals the capacity of a minimum cut.*

**Proof:** Apply the Ford–Fulkerson algorithm repeatedly, starting from the zero flow ( $f(e) = 0$  for every  $e$ ).

As long as the algorithm returns an  $f$ -augmenting path, use it to increase the value of the flow, and apply the algorithm again.

Eventually, the algorithm returns a source/sink cut  $[S, T]$ , which satisfies

$$\text{val}(f) = f^+(S) - f^-(S) = \text{cap}(S, T),$$

so we have found a maximum flow and a minimum cut.



# Proof of Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

## Theorem (Max-flow Min-cut Theorem)

*In every network, the value of a maximum flow equals the capacity of a minimum cut.*

**Proof:** Apply the Ford–Fulkerson algorithm repeatedly, starting from the zero flow ( $f(e) = 0$  for every  $e$ ).

As long as the algorithm returns an  $f$ -augmenting path, use it to increase the value of the flow, and apply the algorithm again.

Eventually, the algorithm returns a source/sink cut  $[S, T]$ , which satisfies

$$\text{val}(f) = f^+(S) - f^-(S) = \text{cap}(S, T),$$

so we have found a maximum flow and a minimum cut.

**Caveat:** If the capacities are irrational, we could get augmenting paths forever!

# Proof of Max-flow Min-cut Theorem

## Theorem (Max-flow Min-cut Theorem)

*In every network, the value of a maximum flow equals the capacity of a minimum cut.*

**Proof:** Apply the Ford–Fulkerson algorithm repeatedly, starting from the zero flow ( $f(e) = 0$  for every  $e$ ).

As long as the algorithm returns an  $f$ -augmenting path, use it to increase the value of the flow, and apply the algorithm again.

Eventually, the algorithm returns a source/sink cut  $[S, T]$ , which satisfies

$$\text{val}(f) = f^+(S) - f^-(S) = \text{cap}(S, T),$$

so we have found a maximum flow and a minimum cut.

**Caveat:** If the capacities are irrational, we could get augmenting paths forever!

There is a way to fix the algorithm so that this never happens.

# Integrality Theorem

## Corollary

*If all capacities are integers, then there exists a maximum flow assigning integer values to all edges.*