A bijection for descent sets of permutations with only even and only odd cycles

Sergi Elizalde

Dartmouth

CMS Summer Meeting Québec City, June 2025

- in one-line notation, e.g. $\pi = 3176542$,
- in cycle notation, e.g. $\pi = (1, 3, 7, 2)(4, 6)(5)$.

Two ways to write a permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$:

- in one-line notation, e.g. $\pi = 3176542$,
- in cycle notation, e.g. $\pi = (1, 3, 7, 2)(4, 6)(5)$.

Define the following subsets of S_n :

• S_n^o = permutations all of whose cycles have odd length;

- in one-line notation, e.g. $\pi = 3176542$,
- in cycle notation, e.g. $\pi = (1, 3, 7, 2)(4, 6)(5)$.

Define the following subsets of S_n :

- S_n^o = permutations all of whose cycles have odd length;
- S_n^e = permutations all of whose cycles have even length,

- in one-line notation, e.g. $\pi = 3176542$,
- in cycle notation, e.g. $\pi = (1, 3, 7, 2)(4, 6)(5)$.

Define the following subsets of S_n :

- S_n^o = permutations all of whose cycles have odd length;
- S_n^e = permutations all of whose cycles have even length, except possibly for one cycle of length one (a fixed point).

- in one-line notation, e.g. $\pi = 3176542$,
- in cycle notation, e.g. $\pi = (1, 3, 7, 2)(4, 6)(5)$.

Define the following subsets of S_n :

- S_n^o = permutations all of whose cycles have odd length;
- S^e_n = permutations all of whose cycles have even length, except possibly for one cycle of length one (a fixed point).

Example

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_3^o &= \{(1,2,3), (1,3,2), (1)(2)(3)\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_3^e &= \{(1,2)(3), (1,3)(2), (2,3)(1)\}. \end{split}$$

Proposition

For every n,

$$|\mathcal{S}_n^o| = |\mathcal{S}_n^e|$$

Proposition

For every n,

$$|\mathcal{S}_n^o| = |\mathcal{S}_n^e| = \begin{cases} (n-1)!!^2 = (n-1)^2(n-3)^2 \dots 1^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ n(n-2)!!^2 = n(n-2)^2(n-4)^2 \dots 2^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition

For every *n*,

$$|\mathcal{S}_n^o| = |\mathcal{S}_n^e| = \begin{cases} (n-1)!!^2 = (n-1)^2(n-3)^2 \dots 1^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ n(n-2)!!^2 = n(n-2)^2(n-4)^2 \dots 2^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This can be easily proved using exponential generating functions.

Proposition

For every *n*,

$$|\mathcal{S}_n^o| = |\mathcal{S}_n^e| = \begin{cases} (n-1)!!^2 = (n-1)^2(n-3)^2 \dots 1^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ n(n-2)!!^2 = n(n-2)^2(n-4)^2 \dots 2^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This can be easily proved using exponential generating functions.

Alternatively, for even *n*, Bóna gave a bijective proof of $|S_n^o| = |S_n^e|$:

Proposition

For every *n*,

$$|\mathcal{S}_n^o| = |\mathcal{S}_n^e| = \begin{cases} (n-1)!!^2 = (n-1)^2(n-3)^2 \dots 1^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ n(n-2)!!^2 = n(n-2)^2(n-4)^2 \dots 2^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This can be easily proved using exponential generating functions.

Alternatively, for even *n*, Bóna gave a bijective proof of $|S_n^o| = |S_n^e|$:

• Write each cycle of $\pi \in S_n^o$ starting with its largest element, and order the cycles by increasing first element, e.g. $\pi = (4)(5,1,3)(7,2,6)(8)$.

Proposition

For every n,

$$|\mathcal{S}_n^o| = |\mathcal{S}_n^e| = \begin{cases} (n-1)!!^2 = (n-1)^2(n-3)^2 \dots 1^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ n(n-2)!!^2 = n(n-2)^2(n-4)^2 \dots 2^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This can be easily proved using exponential generating functions.

Alternatively, for even *n*, Bóna gave a bijective proof of $|S_n^o| = |S_n^e|$:

- Write each cycle of $\pi \in S_n^o$ starting with its largest element, and order the cycles by increasing first element, e.g. $\pi = (4)(5,1,3)(7,2,6)(8)$.
- Once the last element of the 1st cycle to the end of the 2nd cycle, the last element of the 3rd cycle to the end of the 4th cycle, etc., e.g.

$$(4)(5,1,3)(7,2,\mathbf{6})(8)\mapsto (5,1,3,4)(7,2)(8,\mathbf{6})\in \mathcal{S}_n^e.$$

Definition

For $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$,

- its descent set is $Des(\pi) = \{i : \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\},\$
- its ascent set is $Asc(\pi) = \{i : \pi_i < \pi_{i+1}\}.$

Definition

For $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n \in \mathcal{S}_n$,

- its descent set is $Des(\pi) = \{i : \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\},\$
- its ascent set is $Asc(\pi) = \{i : \pi_i < \pi_{i+1}\}.$

Theorem (Adin, Hegedűs, Roichman '25)

For any n and any subset $J \subseteq [n-1]$,

$$|\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^o : \operatorname{Asc}(\pi) = J\}| = |\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^e : \operatorname{Des}(\pi) = J\}|.$$

A surprising refinement

$$|\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^o : \operatorname{Asc}(\pi) = J\}| = |\{\pi' \in \mathcal{S}_n^e : \operatorname{Des}(\pi') = J\}|$$

Example (n = 4)

$\pi\in\mathcal{S}_4^o$	$\operatorname{Asc}(\pi) = \operatorname{Des}(\pi')$	$\pi' \in \mathcal{S}_4^{e}$
(1,2,4)(3) = 2431	{1}	(1, 4, 3, 2) = 4123
(1, 4, 2)(3) = 4132	$\{2\}$	(1, 2, 4, 3) = 2413
(1, 3, 4)(2) = 3241	$\{2\}$	(1,3)(2,4) = 3412
(1,4,3)(2) = 4213	$\{3\}$	(1, 2, 3, 4) = 2341
(2,3,4)(1) = 1342	$\{1, 2\}$	(1, 4, 2, 3) = 4312
(2,4,3)(1) = 1423	$\{1, 3\}$	(1, 3, 4, 2) = 3142
(1, 2, 3)(4) = 2314	$\{1, 3\}$	(1,2)(3,4) = 2143
(1,3,2)(4) = 3124	$\{2, 3\}$	(1, 3, 2, 4) = 3421
(1)(2)(3)(4) = 1234	$\{1, 2, 3\}$	(1,4)(2,3) = 4321

Our goal is to provide a bijective proof.

Our goal is to provide a bijective proof.

Unfortunately, Bóna's bijection $S_n^o \to S_n^e$ does not behave well with respect to Asc and Des.

Our goal is to provide a bijective proof.

Unfortunately, Bóna's bijection $S_n^o \to S_n^e$ does not behave well with respect to Asc and Des.

For any *n* and any subset $S \subseteq [n-1]$, we will construct an explicit bijection

$$\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^o : \operatorname{Asc}(\pi) \subseteq S\} \longleftrightarrow \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^e : \operatorname{Des}(\pi) \subseteq S\}.$$

Structure of the bijection

$$\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^o : \operatorname{Asc}(\pi) \subseteq S\}$$



$$\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^e : \operatorname{Des}(\pi) \subseteq S\}$$

Multisets of odd, distinct necklaces

Multisets of even necklaces

Let $A = \{a, b, c, ... \}$, W = finite words over A.

Let $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$, W = finite words over A.

A word $u \in W$ is primitive if it is not of the form $u = r^j$ for $j \ge 2$.

Example: ababa is primitive, but $abab = (ab)^2$ is not.

Let $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$, W = finite words over A.

A word $u \in W$ is primitive if it is not of the form $u = r^j$ for $j \ge 2$.

Example: ababa is primitive, but $abab = (ab)^2$ is not.

A necklace is an equivalence class of primitive words under cyclic rotation.

Example: $(a, b, a, b, a) = (b, a, b, a, a) = (a, b, a, a, b) = \dots$

Let $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$, W = finite words over A.

A word $u \in W$ is primitive if it is not of the form $u = r^j$ for $j \ge 2$.

Example: ababa is primitive, but $abab = (ab)^2$ is not.

A necklace is an equivalence class of primitive words under cyclic rotation.

Example: $(a, b, a, b, a) = (b, a, b, a, a) = (a, b, a, a, b) = \dots$

 \mathcal{M}_n = multisets of necklaces of total length n.

Let $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$, W = finite words over A.

A word $u \in W$ is primitive if it is not of the form $u = r^j$ for $j \ge 2$.

Example: ababa is primitive, but $abab = (ab)^2$ is not.

A necklace is an equivalence class of primitive words under cyclic rotation.

Example: $(a, b, a, b, a) = (b, a, b, a, a) = (a, b, a, a, b) = \dots$

 \mathcal{M}_n = multisets of necklaces of total length n.

The weight of $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is $\operatorname{wt}(M) = a^{\#a's}b^{\#b's}c^{\#c's}\dots$

Let $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$, W = finite words over A.

A word $u \in W$ is primitive if it is not of the form $u = r^j$ for $j \ge 2$.

Example: ababa is primitive, but $abab = (ab)^2$ is not.

A necklace is an equivalence class of primitive words under cyclic rotation.

Example: $(a, b, a, b, a) = (b, a, b, a, a) = (a, b, a, a, b) = \dots$

 \mathcal{M}_n = multisets of necklaces of total length n.

The weight of $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is $\operatorname{wt}(M) = a^{\#a's}b^{\#b's}c^{\#c's}\dots$

Example: $M = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathcal{M}_{10}$, $\operatorname{wt}(M) = \mathbf{a}^5 \mathbf{b}^3 \mathbf{c}^2$.

Let $A = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$, W = finite words over A.

A word $u \in W$ is primitive if it is not of the form $u = r^j$ for $j \ge 2$.

Example: ababa is primitive, but $abab = (ab)^2$ is not.

A necklace is an equivalence class of primitive words under cyclic rotation.

Example:
$$(a, b, a, b, a) = (b, a, b, a, a) = (a, b, a, a, b) = \dots$$

 \mathcal{M}_n = multisets of necklaces of total length n.

The weight of $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is $\operatorname{wt}(M) = a^{\#a's} b^{\#b's} c^{\#c's} \dots$

Example:
$$M = (a, b, a, b, a)(a, c)(a, c)(b) \in \mathcal{M}_{10}$$
, $\operatorname{wt}(M) = a^5 b^3 c^2$.

For a set
$$S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\} \subseteq [n-1]$$
 with $s_1 < \dots < s_k$, let $\alpha = (s_1, s_2 - s_1, \dots, n - s_k)$ and $\operatorname{wt}(S) = a^{\alpha_1} b^{\alpha_2} c^{\alpha_3} \dots$

Example: If $S = \{2,3\} \subseteq [5]$, then $\alpha = (2,1,3)$ and $\operatorname{wt}(S) = a^2 bc^3$.

In 1993, Gessel and Reutenauer described a bijection

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \mathrm{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n : \mathrm{wt}(M) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$$

that preserves the cycle structure:

In 1993, Gessel and Reutenauer described a bijection

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \mathrm{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n : \mathrm{wt}(M) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$$

that preserves the cycle structure:

Let
$$n = 8$$
 and $S = \{4, 7\}$, so $wt(S) = a^4 b^3 c$.
Take $\pi = 4567 \cdot 238 \cdot 1 \in S_n$, which has $Des(\pi) = S$.

In 1993, Gessel and Reutenauer described a bijection

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \mathrm{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n : \mathrm{wt}(M) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$$

that preserves the cycle structure:

• Write π in cycle form,

Let
$$n = 8$$
 and $S = \{4, 7\}$, so wt $(S) = a^4 b^3 c$.
Take $\pi = 4567 \cdot 238 \cdot 1 \in S_n$, which has $Des(\pi) = S$.
 $\pi = (3, 6)(2, 5)(1, 4, 7, 8)$

In 1993, Gessel and Reutenauer described a bijection

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \mathrm{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n : \mathrm{wt}(M) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$$

that preserves the cycle structure:

• Write π in cycle form, and replace entries $1, \ldots, s_1$ with a, entries $s_1 + 1, \ldots, s_2$ with b, etc.

Let
$$n = 8$$
 and $S = \{4, 7\}$, so $wt(S) = a^4 b^3 c$.
Take $\pi = 4567 \cdot 238 \cdot 1 \in S_n$, which has $Des(\pi) = S$.
 $\pi = (3, 6)(2, 5)(1, 4, 7, 8) \mapsto \Phi_S(\pi) = (a,)(a,)(a, a, ,).$

In 1993, Gessel and Reutenauer described a bijection

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \mathrm{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n : \mathrm{wt}(M) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$$

that preserves the cycle structure:

• Write π in cycle form, and replace entries $1, \ldots, s_1$ with a, entries $s_1 + 1, \ldots, s_2$ with b, etc.

Let
$$n = 8$$
 and $S = \{4, 7\}$, so $wt(S) = a^4 b^3 c$.
Take $\pi = 4567 \cdot 238 \cdot 1 \in S_n$, which has $Des(\pi) = S$.
 $\pi = (3, 6)(2, 5)(1, 4, 7, 8) \mapsto \Phi_S(\pi) = (a, b)(a, b)(a, a, b,)$.

In 1993, Gessel and Reutenauer described a bijection

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \mathrm{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n : \mathrm{wt}(M) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$$

that preserves the cycle structure:

• Write π in cycle form, and replace entries $1, \ldots, s_1$ with a, entries $s_1 + 1, \ldots, s_2$ with b, etc.

Let
$$n = 8$$
 and $S = \{4, 7\}$, so $wt(S) = a^4 b^3 c$.
Take $\pi = 4567 \cdot 238 \cdot 1 \in S_n$, which has $Des(\pi) = S$.
 $\pi = (3, 6)(2, 5)(1, 4, 7, 8) \mapsto \Phi_S(\pi) = (a, b)(a, b)(a, a, b, c)$.

In 1993, Gessel and Reutenauer described a bijection

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \mathrm{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n : \mathrm{wt}(M) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$$

that preserves the cycle structure.

To recover π from the multiset of necklaces:

From permutations to multisets of necklaces: $Des \subseteq S$

In 1993, Gessel and Reutenauer described a bijection

 $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \mathrm{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n : \mathrm{wt}(M) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$

that preserves the cycle structure.

To recover π from the multiset of necklaces:

• Replace each entry with the periodic sequence obtained by reading around the necklace.

Example

The multiset of necklaces (a, b)(a, b)(a, a, b, c) gives periodic sequences (abab..., baba...)(abab..., baba...)(abc..., abca..., bcaa..., caab...).

From permutations to multisets of necklaces: $Des \subseteq S$

In 1993, Gessel and Reutenauer described a bijection

 $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n : \mathrm{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n : \mathrm{wt}(M) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$

that preserves the cycle structure.

To recover π from the multiset of necklaces:

- Replace each entry with the periodic sequence obtained by reading around the necklace.
- Order these sequences lexicographically (breaking tikes consistently).

Example

The multiset of necklaces (a, b)(a, b)(a, a, b, c) gives periodic sequences (abab..., baba...)(abab..., baba...)(aabc..., abca..., bcaa..., caab...). We get $\pi = (3, 6)(2, 5)(1, 4, 7, 8)$.

From permutations to multisets of necklaces: $Des \subseteq S$

 $\mathcal{M}_n^e = \text{multisets of necklaces of even length,}$ except possibly for one necklace of length one.

$$\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^o : \operatorname{Asc}(\pi) \subseteq S\}$$

$$\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^e : \operatorname{Des}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\}$$
$$\downarrow \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}$$
$$\{M \in \mathcal{M}_n^e : \operatorname{wt}(M) = \operatorname{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}$$

In this bijection, the role of descents is played by ascents, and the role of the lexicographic order is played by the *alternating lexicographic order*.

In this bijection, the role of descents is played by ascents, and the role of the lexicographic order is played by the *alternating lexicographic order*.

Unfortunately, the resulting necklaces are not primitive in general.

In this bijection, the role of descents is played by ascents, and the role of the lexicographic order is played by the *alternating lexicographic order*.

Unfortunately, the resulting necklaces are not primitive in general.

However, those of *odd length* are primitive 😀

In this bijection, the role of descents is played by ascents, and the role of the lexicographic order is played by the *alternating lexicographic order*.

Unfortunately, the resulting necklaces are not primitive in general.

However, those of *odd length* are primitive $\stackrel{\scriptsize{\scriptsize{\mbox{\footnotesize empty}}}{\rightarrow}}{\rightarrow}$ and **distinct**! $\stackrel{\scriptsize{\scriptsize{\mbox{\scriptsize{\mbox{\footnotesize empty}}}}}{\rightarrow}$

In this bijection, the role of descents is played by ascents, and the role of the lexicographic order is played by the *alternating lexicographic order*.

Unfortunately, the resulting necklaces are not primitive in general.

However, those of *odd length* are primitive $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{=}$ and **distinct**! $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{=}$

 $\mathcal{M}_n^o =$ multisets of distinct necklaces of odd length.

We get a bijection

$$\Xi_{\mathcal{S}}: \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^o : \operatorname{Asc}(\pi) \subseteq \mathcal{S}\} \to \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n^o : \operatorname{wt}(M) = \operatorname{wt}(\mathcal{S})\}.$$

 $\mathcal{M}_n^e =$ multisets of necklaces of even length, except possibly for one necklace of length one.

 $\mathcal{M}_n^o =$ multisets of distinct necklaces of odd length.

 $\mathcal{M}_n^e = \text{multisets of necklaces of even length},$ except possibly for one necklace of length one.

 $\mathcal{M}_n^o =$ multisets of distinct necklaces of odd length.

$$\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^o : \operatorname{Asc}(\pi) \subseteq S \} \qquad \longleftrightarrow \qquad \{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^e : \operatorname{Des}(\pi) \subseteq S \} \\ \Xi_S \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \Phi_S \\ M \in \mathcal{M}_n^o : \operatorname{wt}(M) = \operatorname{wt}(S) \} \qquad \qquad \{M \in \mathcal{M}_n^e : \operatorname{wt}(M) = \operatorname{wt}(S) \}$$

We will interpret multisets of necklaces as words.

A Lyndon word is a primitive word that is lexicographically smaller than all of its cyclic rotations. Denote the set of Lyndon words by $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

Example: $aabab \in \mathcal{L}$, but $ababa \notin \mathcal{L}$.

A Lyndon word is a primitive word that is lexicographically smaller than all of its cyclic rotations. Denote the set of Lyndon words by $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

Example: $aabab \in \mathcal{L}$, but $ababa \notin \mathcal{L}$.

We identify necklaces with Lyndon words, e.g., $(a, b, a, b, a) \leftrightarrow aabab$.

A Lyndon word is a primitive word that is lexicographically smaller than all of its cyclic rotations. Denote the set of Lyndon words by $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

Example: $aabab \in \mathcal{L}$, but $ababa \notin \mathcal{L}$.

We identify necklaces with Lyndon words, e.g., $(a, b, a, b, a) \leftrightarrow aabab$.

Theorem (Lyndon '58)

Every $w \in W$ has a unique Lyndon factorization $w = \ell_1 |\ell_2| \dots |\ell_m|$ where $\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}$ for all *i*, and $\ell_1 \ge \ell_2 \ge \dots \ge \ell_m$ lexicographically.

A Lyndon word is a primitive word that is lexicographically smaller than all of its cyclic rotations. Denote the set of Lyndon words by $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

Example: aabab $\in \mathcal{L}$, but ababa $\notin \mathcal{L}$.

We identify necklaces with Lyndon words, e.g., $(a, b, a, b, a) \leftrightarrow aabab$.

Theorem (Lyndon '58)

Every $w \in W$ has a unique Lyndon factorization $w = \ell_1 | \ell_2 | \dots | \ell_m$ where $\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}$ for all *i*, and $\ell_1 \ge \ell_2 \ge \dots \ge \ell_m$ lexicographically.

Example

w = dedccedcdbdbdaabd

A Lyndon word is a primitive word that is lexicographically smaller than all of its cyclic rotations. Denote the set of Lyndon words by $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

Example: aabab $\in \mathcal{L}$, but ababa $\notin \mathcal{L}$.

We identify necklaces with Lyndon words, e.g., $(a, b, a, b, a) \leftrightarrow aabab$.

Theorem (Lyndon '58)

Every $w \in W$ has a unique Lyndon factorization $w = \ell_1 | \ell_2 | \dots | \ell_m$ where $\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}$ for all *i*, and $\ell_1 \ge \ell_2 \ge \dots \ge \ell_m$ lexicographically.

Example

w = dedccedcdbdbdaabd = de|d|ccedcd|bd|bd|aabd

A Lyndon word is a primitive word that is lexicographically smaller than all of its cyclic rotations. Denote the set of Lyndon words by $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$.

Example: aabab $\in \mathcal{L}$, but ababa $\notin \mathcal{L}$.

We identify necklaces with Lyndon words, e.g., $(a, b, a, b, a) \leftrightarrow aabab$.

Theorem (Lyndon '58)

Every $w \in W$ has a unique Lyndon factorization $w = \ell_1 | \ell_2 | \dots | \ell_m$ where $\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}$ for all *i*, and $\ell_1 \ge \ell_2 \ge \dots \ge \ell_m$ lexicographically.

Example

$$\begin{split} w = \texttt{dedccedcdbdbdaabd} &= \texttt{de}|\texttt{d}|\texttt{ccedcd}|\texttt{bd}|\texttt{bd}|\texttt{aabd} \\ & \leftrightarrow (\texttt{d},\texttt{e})(\texttt{d})(\texttt{c},\texttt{c},\texttt{e},\texttt{d},\texttt{c},\texttt{d})(\texttt{b},\texttt{d})(\texttt{b},\texttt{d})(\texttt{a},\texttt{a},\texttt{b},\texttt{d}). \end{split}$$

We identify multisets of necklaces with words.

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

Define the following sets of length-*n* words:

- \mathcal{W}_n^e = words all of whose Lyndon factors have even length, except possibly for one factor which has length one.
- $\mathcal{W}_n^o =$ words all of whose Lyndon factors have odd length and are distinct.

Define the following sets of length-*n* words:

- \mathcal{W}_n^e = words all of whose Lyndon factors have even length, except possibly for one factor which has length one.
- $\mathcal{W}_n^o =$ words all of whose Lyndon factors have odd length and are distinct.

Define the following sets of length-*n* words:

- \mathcal{W}_n^e = words all of whose Lyndon factors have even length, except possibly for one factor which has length one.
- $\mathcal{W}_n^o =$ words all of whose Lyndon factors have odd length and are distinct.

We want a weight-preserving bijection between \mathcal{W}_n^o and \mathcal{W}_n^e .

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

Given $w \in \mathcal{W}_n^o$ (suppose *n* is even), initially set O = w and E = -.

Given $w \in \mathcal{W}_n^o$ (suppose *n* is even), initially set O = w and E = -.

Repeat until O is empty:

• Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.

Given $w \in \mathcal{W}_n^o$ (suppose *n* is even), initially set O = w and E = -.

Repeat until O is empty:

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If |o_m| ≥ 2, write o_m = r;s where s is its lexicographically smallest proper suffix.

Given $w \in \mathcal{W}_n^o$ (suppose *n* is even), initially set O = w and E = -. Repeat until *O* is empty:

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If |o_m| ≥ 2, write o_m = r;s where s is its lexicographically smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

Given $w \in \mathcal{W}_n^o$ (suppose *n* is even), initially set O = w and E = -. Repeat until *O* is empty:

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If |o_m| ≥ 2, write o_m = r;s where s is its lexicographically smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).
- Update (O, E) to

 $\begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{ if } o_m \text{ is splittable and } |s| \text{ is even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{ if } o_m \text{ is splittable and } |r| \text{ is even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{ if } o_m \text{ is not splittable}. \end{cases}$

Given $w \in \mathcal{W}_n^o$ (suppose *n* is even), initially set O = w and E = -. Repeat until *O* is empty:

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If |o_m| ≥ 2, write o_m = r;s where s is its lexicographically smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).
- Update (O, E) to

 $\begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ is splittable and } |s| \text{ is even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ is splittable and } |r| \text{ is even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ is not splittable}. \end{cases}$

Set $\Psi(w) = E$.

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example

۲

w = dadcdebccc

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

Descents of perm. with odd, even cycles CMS 2025 Summer Meeting 17 / 20

- Let $O = o_1 |o_2| \dots |o_m|$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$



- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	Е	
	<i>w</i> =	d adcde¦bccc	_	

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	E	
	<i>w</i> =	d adcde¦bccc	-	

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

•
$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	Е	
	<i>w</i> =	d adcde¦ <mark>bccc</mark>	_	
		d adcde	bccc	

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	E	
-	w =	d adcdebccc d adcde	_	
		d adcde	bccc	

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	Ε	
-	w =	d adcdebccc d <mark>ad¦cde</mark>	-	
		d ad¦cde	bccc	

۲

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	Ε	
-	w =	d adcdebccc d ad¦ <mark>cde</mark>	—	
		<mark>d</mark> ad¦ <mark>cde</mark>	bccc	

Descents of perm. with odd, even cycles

CMS 2025 Summer Meeting

17 / 20

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

•
$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	E	
	<i>w</i> =	dadcdebccc	_	
		d <mark> ad</mark> ¦cde d cde	bccc	
		d cde	adbccc	

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O,E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	E	
	w =	dadcdebccc	_	
		d adcde <mark>d cde</mark>	bccc	
		d cde	adbccc	

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	E	
	w =	dadcdebccc	_	
		d adcde d <mark>c¦de</mark>	bccc	
		d <mark>c¦de</mark>	adbccc	

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	E	
	<i>w</i> =	dadcdebccc	_	
		d adcde <mark>d</mark> c¦ <mark>de</mark>	bccc	
		<mark>d</mark> c¦ <mark>de</mark>	adbccc	

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example				
		0	E	
	<i>w</i> =	dadcdebccc	_	
		d adcde	bccc	
		d c¦de	adbccc	
		_	<mark>cded</mark> adbccc	

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

۲

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O,E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example					
		0	E		
	<i>w</i> =	dadcdebccc	_		
		d adcde	bccc		
		d cde	adbccc		
		_	cdedadbccc	$=\Psi(\mathbf{w})$	

Descents of perm. with odd, even cycles

CMS 2025 Summer Meeting

17 / 20

- Let $O = o_1 | o_2 | \dots | o_m$ be the Lyndon factorization of O.
- If $|o_m| \ge 2$, write $o_m = r$'s where s is its smallest proper suffix.
- Say that o_m is splittable if $s < o_{m-1}$ (where $o_{m-1} := \infty$ if m = 1).

$$(O, E) \mapsto \begin{cases} (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} r, sE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |s| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-1} s, rE) & \text{if } o_m \text{ splittable and } |r| \text{ even}, \\ (o_1 o_2 \dots o_{m-2}, o_m o_{m-1} E) & \text{if } o_m \text{ not splittable}. \end{cases}$$

Example					
		0	E		
	w =	dadcdebccc	_		
		d adcde	bccc		
		d cde	adbccc		
		_	cded adbccc	$=\Psi({\it w})$	

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

Theorem

The map $\Psi: \mathcal{W}_n^o \to \mathcal{W}_n^e$ is a weight-preserving bijection.

Theorem

The map $\Psi: \mathcal{W}_n^o \to \mathcal{W}_n^e$ is a weight-preserving bijection.

Composing the three maps, we obtain the desired bijection:

$$|\{w \in \mathcal{W}_n^o : \operatorname{wt}(w) = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots\}| = |\{w \in \mathcal{W}_n^e : \operatorname{wt}(w) = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots\}|$$

$$|\{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n}^{o} : \mathrm{wt}(w) = x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \dots\}| = |\{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n}^{e} : \mathrm{wt}(w) = x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \dots\}|$$

Proof:

• Write $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^o \sqcup \mathcal{L}^e$, separating Lyndon words of odd and even length.

$$|\{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n}^{o} : \operatorname{wt}(w) = x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \dots \}| = |\{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n}^{e} : \operatorname{wt}(w) = x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \dots \}|$$
Proof:

• Write $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^o \sqcup \mathcal{L}^e$, separating Lyndon words of odd and even length.

• GF for $\mathcal{W}^o = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{W}^o_n$ (words with odd and distinct Lyndon factors):

$$\sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}^{o}} \operatorname{wt}(w) = \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^{o}} \left(1 + \operatorname{wt}(\ell) \right).$$

$$|\{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n}^{o} : \operatorname{wt}(w) = x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \dots \}| = |\{w \in \mathcal{W}_{n}^{e} : \operatorname{wt}(w) = x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \dots \}|$$
Proof:

- Write $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^o \sqcup \mathcal{L}^e$, separating Lyndon words of odd and even length.
- GF for $\mathcal{W}^o = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{W}^o_n$ (words with odd and distinct Lyndon factors):

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathcal{W}^{o}}\mathrm{wt}(\boldsymbol{w})=\prod_{\ell\in\mathcal{L}^{o}}\left(1+\mathrm{wt}(\ell)\right).$$

• GF for $\mathcal{W}^e = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{W}^e_n$ (even Lyndon factors, except one of length 1):

$$\sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}^e} \operatorname{wt}(w) = (1 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^e} \frac{1}{1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)}.$$

$$|\{w \in \mathcal{W}_n^o : \operatorname{wt}(w) = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots\}| = |\{w \in \mathcal{W}_n^e : \operatorname{wt}(w) = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots\}|$$
Proof:

- \bullet Write $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}^{o}\sqcup\mathcal{L}^{e},$ separating Lyndon words of odd and even length.
- GF for $\mathcal{W}^o = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{W}^o_n$ (words with odd and distinct Lyndon factors):

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathcal{W}^{o}}\mathrm{wt}(\boldsymbol{w})=\prod_{\ell\in\mathcal{L}^{o}}\left(1+\mathrm{wt}(\ell)\right).$$

• GF for $\mathcal{W}^e = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{W}^e_n$ (even Lyndon factors, except one of length 1):

$$\sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}^e} \operatorname{wt}(w) = (1 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^e} \frac{1}{1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)}.$$

• Thus, what we want to prove is

$$\prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^o} \left(1 + \operatorname{wt}(\ell)\right) = \left(1 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots\right) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^e} \frac{1}{1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)},$$

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth)

or equivalently,

$$\prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^o} (1 + \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^e} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots$$

or equivalently,

$$\prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^{o}} (1 + \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^{e}} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) = 1 + x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots$$

• Substituting $x_i \mapsto -x_i$ for all *i*, this is equivalent to

$$\prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^o} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^e} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) = 1 - x_1 - x_2 - \dots,$$

or equivalently,

$$\prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^{o}} (1 + \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^{e}} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) = 1 + x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots$$

• Substituting $x_i \mapsto -x_i$ for all *i*, this is equivalent to

$$\prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^o} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^e} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) = 1 - x_1 - x_2 - \dots,$$

or

$$\prod_{\ell\in\mathcal{L}}\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{wt}(\ell)}=\frac{1}{1-x_1-x_2-\ldots}.$$

or equivalently,

$$\prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^o} (1 + \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^e} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots$$

• Substituting $x_i \mapsto -x_i$ for all *i*, this is equivalent to

$$\prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^o} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^e} (1 - \operatorname{wt}(\ell)) = 1 - x_1 - x_2 - \dots,$$

or

$$\prod_{\ell\in\mathcal{L}}\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{wt}(\ell)}=\frac{1}{1-x_1-x_2-\ldots}.$$

But this holds because every word has a unique Lyndon factorization!