

Descents on quasi-Stirling permutations

Sergi Elizalde

Dartmouth College

UVM Combinatorics Seminar
September 2021

Definition

Let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_r$ be a sequence of positive integers.

i is a **descent** of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = r$.

Definition

Let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_r$ be a sequence of positive integers.

i is a **descent** of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = r$.

$\text{des}(\pi)$ = number of descents of π .

Definition

Let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_r$ be a sequence of positive integers.

i is a **descent** of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = r$.

$\text{des}(\pi)$ = number of descents of π .

Example

$\text{des}(36522131) =$

Definition

Let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_r$ be a sequence of positive integers.

i is a **descent** of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = r$.

$\text{des}(\pi)$ = number of descents of π .

Example

$\text{des}(36 \cdot 5 \cdot 22 \cdot 13 \cdot 1 \cdot) =$

Definition

Let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_r$ be a sequence of positive integers.

i is a **descent** of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = r$.

$\text{des}(\pi) =$ number of descents of π .

Example

$$\text{des}(36 \cdot 5 \cdot 22 \cdot 13 \cdot 1 \cdot) = 5$$

Eulerian polynomials

$\mathcal{S}_n =$ set of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Eulerian polynomials

\mathcal{S}_n = set of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition

Eulerian polynomials:

$$A_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}$$

Eulerian polynomials

\mathcal{S}_n = set of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition

Eulerian polynomials:

$$A_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(t) &= t && 1 \cdot \\ A_2(t) &= t + t^2 && 12 \cdot, 2 \cdot 1 \cdot \\ A_3(t) &= t + 4t^2 + t^3 && 123 \cdot, 13 \cdot 2 \cdot, 2 \cdot 13 \cdot, 23 \cdot 1 \cdot, 3 \cdot 12 \cdot, 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot \\ A_4(t) &= t + 11t^2 + 11t^3 + t^4 && \dots \end{aligned}$$

Eulerian polynomials

\mathcal{S}_n = set of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition

Eulerian polynomials:

$$A_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(t) &= t && 1 \cdot \\ A_2(t) &= t + t^2 && 12 \cdot, 2 \cdot 1 \cdot \\ A_3(t) &= t + 4t^2 + t^3 && 123 \cdot, 13 \cdot 2 \cdot, 2 \cdot 13 \cdot, 23 \cdot 1 \cdot, 3 \cdot 12 \cdot, 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot \\ A_4(t) &= t + 11t^2 + 11t^3 + t^4 && \dots \end{aligned}$$

These polynomials appear in work of Euler from 1755.

Eulerian polynomials

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{1(p-1)}$$

$$\beta = \frac{p+1}{1 \cdot 2 (p-1)^2}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{pp+4p+1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 (p-1)^3}$$

$$\delta = \frac{p^3 + 11p^2 + 11p + 1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 (p-1)^4}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{p^4 + 26p^3 + 66p^2 + 26p + 1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 (p-1)^5}$$

$$\zeta = \frac{p^5 + 57p^4 + 302p^3 + 302p^2 + 57p + 1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 (p-1)^6}$$

$$\eta = \frac{p^6 + 120p^5 + 1191p^4 + 2416p^3 + 1191p^2 + 120p + 1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 (p-1)^7}$$

&c.

L. Euler, 1755.

Eulerian Polynomials

$$\frac{A_n(p)/p}{n!(p-1)^n} \quad (1 \leq n \leq 7)$$

Eulerian polynomials

Euler was considering the series

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} mt^m = \frac{t}{(1-t)^2}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^2 t^m = \frac{t + t^2}{(1-t)^3}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^3 t^m = \frac{t + 4t^2 + t^3}{(1-t)^4}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^4 t^m = \frac{t + 11t^2 + 11t^3 + t^4}{(1-t)^5} \quad \dots$$

Eulerian polynomials

Euler was considering the series

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m t^m = \frac{t}{(1-t)^2}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^2 t^m = \frac{t + t^2}{(1-t)^3}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^3 t^m = \frac{t + 4t^2 + t^3}{(1-t)^4}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^4 t^m = \frac{t + 11t^2 + 11t^3 + t^4}{(1-t)^5} \quad \dots$$

In general,

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^n t^m = \frac{A_n(t)}{(1-t)^{n+1}}.$$

Eulerian polynomials

Euler was considering the series

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m t^m = \frac{t}{(1-t)^2}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^2 t^m = \frac{t + t^2}{(1-t)^3}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^3 t^m = \frac{t + 4t^2 + t^3}{(1-t)^4}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^4 t^m = \frac{t + 11t^2 + 11t^3 + t^4}{(1-t)^5} \quad \dots$$

In general,

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^n t^m = \frac{A_n(t)}{(1-t)^{n+1}}.$$

This can be proved by induction on n , differentiating both sides.

Generating function for Eulerian polynomials

Let

$$A(t, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n(t) \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

be the exponential generating function (EGF) for the Eulerian polynomials.

Generating function for Eulerian polynomials

Let

$$A(t, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n(t) \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

be the exponential generating function (EGF) for the Eulerian polynomials.

We have

$$A(t, z) = \frac{1 - t}{1 - te^{(1-t)z}}.$$

Definition

The Stirling number of the second kind $S(n, k)$ is the number of partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into k blocks.

Definition

The Stirling number of the second kind $S(n, k)$ is the number of partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into k blocks.

Example: $S(3, 2) = 3$. $\{1, 2\} \cup \{3\}$, $\{1, 3\} \cup \{2\}$, $\{2, 3\} \cup \{1\}$.

Definition

The Stirling number of the second kind $S(n, k)$ is the number of partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into k blocks.

Example: $S(3, 2) = 3$. $\{1, 2\} \cup \{3\}$, $\{1, 3\} \cup \{2\}$, $\{2, 3\} \cup \{1\}$.

In 1978, Gessel and Stanley considered the series

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+1, m) t^m = \frac{t}{(1-t)^3}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+2, m) t^m = \frac{t + 2t^2}{(1-t)^5}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+3, m) t^m = \frac{t + 8t^2 + 6t^3}{(1-t)^7}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+4, m) t^m = \frac{t + 22t^2 + 58t^3 + 24t^4}{(1-t)^9} \quad \dots$$

Stirling numbers

Definition

The Stirling number of the second kind $S(n, k)$ is the number of partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ into k blocks.

Example: $S(3, 2) = 3$. $\{1, 2\} \cup \{3\}$, $\{1, 3\} \cup \{2\}$, $\{2, 3\} \cup \{1\}$.

In 1978, Gessel and Stanley considered the series

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+1, m) t^m = \frac{t}{(1-t)^3}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+2, m) t^m = \frac{t + 2t^2}{(1-t)^5}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+3, m) t^m = \frac{t + 8t^2 + 6t^3}{(1-t)^7}$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+4, m) t^m = \frac{t + 22t^2 + 58t^3 + 24t^4}{(1-t)^9} \quad \dots$$

What are the polynomials in the numerator? Positive coefficients?

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

Stirling permutations

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

In other words, Stirling permutations $\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_{2n}$ satisfy that, if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_i = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$.

Stirling permutations

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

In other words, Stirling permutations $\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_{2n}$ satisfy that, if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_i = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$.

\mathcal{Q}_n = set of Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Stirling permutations

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

In other words, Stirling permutations $\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_{2n}$ satisfy that, if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_i = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$.

\mathcal{Q}_n = set of Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

13324421

Stirling permutations

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

In other words, Stirling permutations $\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_{2n}$ satisfy that, if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_i = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$.

\mathcal{Q}_n = set of Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$13324421 \in \mathcal{Q}_4$,

Stirling permutations

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

In other words, Stirling permutations $\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_{2n}$ satisfy that, if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_i = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$.

\mathcal{Q}_n = set of Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

13324421 $\in \mathcal{Q}_4$, 312321

Stirling permutations

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

In other words, Stirling permutations $\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_{2n}$ satisfy that, if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_i = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$.

\mathcal{Q}_n = set of Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$13324421 \in \mathcal{Q}_4$, $312321 \notin \mathcal{Q}_3$,

Stirling permutations

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

In other words, Stirling permutations $\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_{2n}$ satisfy that, if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_i = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$.

\mathcal{Q}_n = set of Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$13324421 \in \mathcal{Q}_4$, $312321 \notin \mathcal{Q}_3$, $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{1122, 1221, 2211\}$.

Stirling permutations

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

In other words, Stirling permutations $\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_{2n}$ satisfy that, if $i < j < k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_k$, then $\pi_j > \pi_i$.

\mathcal{Q}_n = set of Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$13324421 \in \mathcal{Q}_4$, $312321 \notin \mathcal{Q}_3$, $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \{1122, 1221, 2211\}$.

We have $|\mathcal{Q}_n| = (2n - 1) \cdot (2n - 3) \cdot \dots \cdot 3 \cdot 1$, since every permutation in \mathcal{Q}_n can be obtained by inserting nn into one of the $2n - 1$ spaces of a permutation in \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} .

Stirling polynomials

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

Stirling polynomials:

$$Q_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}$$

Stirling polynomials

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

Stirling polynomials:

$$Q_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}$$

Example

$$Q_1(t) = t \qquad 11\cdot$$

$$Q_2(t) = t + 2t^2 \qquad 1122\cdot, 122\cdot 1\cdot, 22\cdot 11\cdot$$

$$Q_3(t) = t + 8t^2 + 6t^3 \qquad \dots$$

Stirling polynomials

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

Stirling polynomials:

$$Q_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{Q}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}$$

Example

$$Q_1(t) = t \quad 11 \cdot$$

$$Q_2(t) = t + 2t^2 \quad 1122 \cdot, 122 \cdot 1 \cdot, 22 \cdot 11 \cdot$$

$$Q_3(t) = t + 8t^2 + 6t^3 \quad \dots$$

Theorem (Gessel–Stanley '78)

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+n, m) t^m = \frac{Q_n(t)}{(1-t)^{2n+1}}.$$

There is an extensive literature on Stirling permutations. Some work relevant to this talk:

- Bóna '08: $Q_n(t)$ also gives the enumeration of \mathcal{Q}_n by the number of **plateaus**, that is, positions i such that $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$.

There is an extensive literature on Stirling permutations. Some work relevant to this talk:

- Bóna '08: $Q_n(t)$ also gives the enumeration of \mathcal{Q}_n by the number of **plateaus**, that is, positions i such that $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$.
- Brenti '89, Bóna '08: $Q_n(t)$ has only real roots, and the distribution of des on \mathcal{Q}_n is asymptotically normal.

There is an extensive literature on Stirling permutations. Some work relevant to this talk:

- **Bóna '08**: $Q_n(t)$ also gives the enumeration of \mathcal{Q}_n by the number of **plateaus**, that is, positions i such that $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$.
- **Brenti '89, Bóna '08**: $Q_n(t)$ has only real roots, and the distribution of des on \mathcal{Q}_n is asymptotically normal.
- **Janson '08**: The joint distribution of ascents, descents and plateaus on \mathcal{Q}_n is asymptotically normal.


Literature on Stirling permutations

There is an extensive literature on Stirling permutations. Some work relevant to this talk:

- **Bóna '08**: $Q_n(t)$ also gives the enumeration of \mathcal{Q}_n by the number of **plateaus**, that is, positions i such that $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$.
- **Brenti '89, Bóna '08**: $Q_n(t)$ has only real roots, and the distribution of des on \mathcal{Q}_n is asymptotically normal.
- **Janson '08**: The joint distribution of ascents, descents and plateaus on \mathcal{Q}_n is asymptotically normal.
- The coefficients of $Q_n(t)$ are sometimes called second-order Eulerian numbers.


Stirling permutations and trees

\mathcal{I}_n = set of increasing edge-labeled plane rooted trees with n edges.



Stirling permutations and trees

\mathcal{I}_n = set of increasing edge-labeled plane rooted trees with n edges.




Theorem (Koganov '96, Janson '08)

There is a bijection $\varphi : \mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_n$ obtained by traversing the edges of the tree along a depth-first walk from left to right, and recording their labels.

Stirling permutations and trees

\mathcal{I}_n = set of increasing edge-labeled plane rooted trees with n edges.




Theorem (Koganov '96, Janson '08)

There is a bijection $\varphi : \mathcal{I}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_n$ obtained by traversing the edges of the tree along a depth-first walk from left to right, and recording their labels.

If we remove the increasing condition on the trees, what is the image of φ ?


Removing the increasing condition

\mathcal{T}_n = set of edge-labeled plane rooted trees with n edges.




Removing the increasing condition

$\mathcal{T}_n =$ set of edge-labeled plane rooted trees with n edges.



Removing the increasing condition

\mathcal{T}_n = set of edge-labeled plane rooted trees with n edges.



Theorem (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

φ is a bijection between \mathcal{T}_n and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ (to be defined in the next slide).

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

In other words, it does not have four positions $i < j < k < \ell$ with $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$.

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

In other words, it does not have four positions $i < j < k < \ell$ with $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ = set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

In other words, it does not have four positions $i < j < k < \ell$ with $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ = set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

322113

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

In other words, it does not have four positions $i < j < k < \ell$ with $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ = set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$322113 \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$,

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

In other words, it does not have four positions $i < j < k < \ell$ with $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ = set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$322113 \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3, \quad 312321$

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

In other words, it does not have four positions $i < j < k < \ell$ with $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ = set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$322113 \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3, \quad 312321 \notin \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3,$

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

In other words, it does not have four positions $i < j < k < \ell$ with $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ = set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$322113 \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$, $312321 \notin \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2 = \{1122, 1221, 2211, 2112\}$.

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

In other words, it does not have four positions $i < j < k < \ell$ with $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ = set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$322113 \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$, $312321 \notin \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2 = \{1122, 1221, 2211, 2112\}$.

The number of unlabeled plane rooted trees with n edges is the Catalan number C_n .

Quasi-Stirling permutations

Definition (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

A **quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

In other words, it does not have four positions $i < j < k < \ell$ with $\pi_i = \pi_k$ and $\pi_j = \pi_\ell$.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ = set of quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$.

Example

$322113 \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$, $312321 \notin \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2 = \{1122, 1221, 2211, 2112\}$.

The number of unlabeled plane rooted trees with n edges is the Catalan number C_n . It follows from the bijection that

$$|\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n| = n! C_n = \frac{(2n)!}{(n+1)!}.$$

Descents on quasi-Stirling permutations

Conjecture (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

The number of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = n$ is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Conjecture (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

The number of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = n$ is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Example

Set of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = 1$: {112233} 1

with $\text{des}(\pi) = 2$: 13

{112332, 113223, 113322, 122133, 122331, 133122, 211233, 221133, 223113, 223311, 233112, 311223, 331122}

with $\text{des}(\pi) = 3$: 16

{123321, 132231, 133221, 211332, 213312, 221331, 231132, 233211, 311322, 312213, 321123, 322113, 322311, 331221, 332112, 332211}

Conjecture (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

The number of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = n$ is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Example

Set of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = 1$: {112233} 1

with $\text{des}(\pi) = 2$: 13

{112332, 113223, 113322, 122133, 122331, 133122, 211233, 221133, 223113, 223311, 233112, 311223, 331122}

with $\text{des}(\pi) = 3$: 16

{123321, 132231, 133221, 211332, 213312, 221331, 231132, 233211, 311322, 312213, 321123, 322113, 322311, 331221, 332112, 332211}

Fact: For all $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$, we have $\text{des}(\pi) \leq n$.

Conjecture (Archer–Gregory–Pennington–Slayden '19)

The number of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = n$ is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Example

Set of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_3$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = 1$: {112233} 1

with $\text{des}(\pi) = 2$: 13

{112332, 113223, 113322, 122133, 122331, 133122, 211233, 221133, 223113, 223311, 233112, 311223, 331122}

with $\text{des}(\pi) = 3$: 16

{123321, 132231, 133221, 211332, 213312, 221331, 231132, 233211, 311322, 312213, 321123, 322113, 322311, 331221, 332112, 332211}

Fact: For all $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$, we have $\text{des}(\pi) \leq n$.

To prove this conjecture, we look at how descents are transformed by the bijection φ .

Lemma

If $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and $\pi = \varphi(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$, then

$$\text{des}(\pi) = \text{cdes}(T),$$

where $\text{cdes}(T)$ is obtained by adding the number of *cyclic descents* of the edge labels counterclockwise around each vertex of T .

Descents on quasi-Stirling permutations


Lemma

If $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and $\pi = \varphi(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$, then

$$\text{des}(\pi) = \text{cdes}(T),$$

where $\text{cdes}(T)$ is obtained by adding the number of *cyclic descents* of the edge labels counterclockwise around each vertex of T .

Example



Descents on quasi-Stirling permutations


Lemma

If $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and $\pi = \varphi(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$, then

$$\text{des}(\pi) = \text{cdes}(T),$$

where $\text{cdes}(T)$ is obtained by adding the number of *cyclic descents* of the edge labels counterclockwise around each vertex of T .

Example



Descents on quasi-Stirling permutations


Lemma

If $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and $\pi = \varphi(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$, then

$$\text{des}(\pi) = \text{cdes}(T),$$

where $\text{cdes}(T)$ is obtained by adding the number of *cyclic descents* of the edge labels counterclockwise around each vertex of T .

Example



Descents on quasi-Stirling permutations


Lemma

If $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and $\pi = \varphi(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$, then

$$\text{des}(\pi) = \text{cdes}(T),$$

where $\text{cdes}(T)$ is obtained by adding the number of *cyclic descents* of the edge labels counterclockwise around each vertex of T .

Example



Descents on quasi-Stirling permutations


Lemma

If $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and $\pi = \varphi(T) \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$, then

$$\text{des}(\pi) = \text{cdes}(T),$$

where $\text{cdes}(T)$ is obtained by adding the number of *cyclic descents* of the edge labels counterclockwise around each vertex of T .

Example



Quasi-Stirling permutations with most descents

Theorem

The number of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = n$ is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Quasi-Stirling permutations with most descents

Theorem

The number of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = n$ is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Proof sketch.

Equivalent to counting $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ with $\text{cdes}(T) = n$,


Quasi-Stirling permutations with most descents

Theorem

The number of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = n$ is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Proof sketch.

Equivalent to counting $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ with $\text{cdes}(T) = n$, i.e., trees where the number of cyclic descents around each vertex is maximized.




Quasi-Stirling permutations with most descents

Theorem

The number of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = n$ is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Proof sketch.

Equivalent to counting $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ with $\text{cdes}(T) = n$, i.e., trees where the number of cyclic descents around each vertex is maximized. Such trees are in bijection with *unordered* trees:




Quasi-Stirling permutations with most descents

Theorem

The number of $\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ with $\text{des}(\pi) = n$ is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$.

Proof sketch.

Equivalent to counting $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ with $\text{cdes}(T) = n$, i.e., trees where the number of cyclic descents around each vertex is maximized. Such trees are in bijection with *unordered* trees:



By Cayley's formula, there are $(n+1)^{n-1}$ such trees. □

Quasi-Stirling polynomials

More generally, we are interested in the distribution of des on \overline{Q}_n .

Quasi-Stirling polynomials

More generally, we are interested in the distribution of des on $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$.

Define the **quasi-Stirling polynomials**

$$\overline{Q}_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}.$$

Quasi-Stirling polynomials

More generally, we are interested in the distribution of des on \overline{Q}_n .

Define the **quasi-Stirling polynomials**

$$\overline{Q}_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \overline{Q}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}.$$

Example

$$\overline{Q}_1(t) = t, \quad \overline{Q}_2(t) = t + 3t^2, \quad \overline{Q}_3(t) = t + 13t^2 + 16t^3, \quad \dots$$

Quasi-Stirling polynomials

More generally, we are interested in the distribution of des on $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$.

Define the **quasi-Stirling polynomials**

$$\overline{Q}_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}.$$

Example

$$\overline{Q}_1(t) = t, \quad \overline{Q}_2(t) = t + 3t^2, \quad \overline{Q}_3(t) = t + 13t^2 + 16t^3, \quad \dots$$

Define their EGF

$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{Q}_n(t) \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Quasi-Stirling polynomials

More generally, we are interested in the distribution of des on \overline{Q}_n .

Define the **quasi-Stirling polynomials**

$$\overline{Q}_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \overline{Q}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}.$$

Example

$$\overline{Q}_1(t) = t, \quad \overline{Q}_2(t) = t + 3t^2, \quad \overline{Q}_3(t) = t + 13t^2 + 16t^3, \quad \dots$$

Define their EGF

$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{Q}_n(t) \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Recall the Eulerian polynomials $A_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}$ and their EGF

$$A(t, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n(t) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{1-t}{1-te^{(1-t)z}}.$$

Theorem

The EGF $\overline{Q}(t, z)$ for quasi-Stirling permutations by the number of descents satisfies the implicit equation

$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = A(t, z\overline{Q}(t, z)),$$

that is,

$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = \frac{1-t}{1-te^{(1-t)z}\overline{Q}(t, z)}.$$

Theorem

The EGF $\overline{Q}(t, z)$ for quasi-Stirling permutations by the number of descents satisfies the implicit equation

$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = A(t, z\overline{Q}(t, z)),$$

that is,

$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = \frac{1-t}{1-te^{(1-t)z}\overline{Q}(t, z)}.$$

Its coefficients satisfy

$$\overline{Q}_n(t) = \frac{n!}{n+1} [z^n] A(t, z)^{n+1}.$$

Here $[z^n]F(z)$ denotes the coefficient of z^n in $F(z)$.

By the bijection φ ,


$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\pi \in \overline{Q}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} t^{\text{cdes}(T)} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Proof ideas

By the bijection φ ,

$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\pi \in \overline{Q}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} t^{\text{cdes}(T)} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Decompose trees in \mathcal{T}_n as




Proof ideas

By the bijection φ ,

$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\pi \in \overline{Q}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} t^{\text{cdes}(T)} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Decompose trees in \mathcal{T}_n as



and use that

$$\text{cdes}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^r (\text{cdes}(T_i) - 1) + \text{des}(a_1 a_2 \dots a_r).$$



The EGF for each piece T_i is $z\overline{Q}(t, z)$.



The EGF for each piece T_i is $z\overline{Q}(t, z)$.

Combining the pieces while keeping track of cdes and using the Compositional Formula, we get

$$\overline{Q}(t, z) = A(t, z\overline{Q}(t, z)).$$



The EGF for each piece T_i is $z\bar{Q}(t, z)$.

Combining the pieces while keeping track of cdes and using the Compositional Formula, we get

$$\bar{Q}(t, z) = A(t, z\bar{Q}(t, z)).$$

Finally, extracting its coefficients using Lagrange inversion gives

$$\bar{Q}_n(t) = \frac{n!}{n+1} [z^n] A(t, z)^{n+1}.$$

Recall the formulas:

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^n t^m = \frac{A_n(t)}{(1-t)^{n+1}} \quad (\text{Eulerian})$$

Recall the formulas:

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^n t^m = \frac{A_n(t)}{(1-t)^{n+1}} \quad (\text{Eulerian})$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+n, m) t^m = \frac{Q_n(t)}{(1-t)^{2n+1}} \quad (\text{Stirling})$$

Recall the formulas:

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^n t^m = \frac{A_n(t)}{(1-t)^{n+1}} \quad (\text{Eulerian})$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+n, m) t^m = \frac{Q_n(t)}{(1-t)^{2n+1}} \quad (\text{Stirling})$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} ??? t^m = \frac{\bar{Q}_n(t)}{(1-t)^{2n+1}} \quad (\text{quasi-Stirling})$$

Consequences

Recall the formulas:

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} m^n t^m = \frac{A_n(t)}{(1-t)^{n+1}} \quad (\text{Eulerian})$$

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} S(m+n, m) t^m = \frac{Q_n(t)}{(1-t)^{2n+1}} \quad (\text{Stirling})$$

Theorem

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{m^n}{n+1} \binom{m+n}{m} t^m = \frac{\bar{Q}_n(t)}{(1-t)^{2n+1}} \quad (\text{quasi-Stirling})$$

Properties of quasi-Stirling polynomials

Recall: i is a **descent** of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = r$,
 i is an **ascent** of π if $\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = 0$,
 i is a **plateau** of π if $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$.

Properties of quasi-Stirling polynomials

Recall: i is a **descent** of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = r$,
 i is an **ascent** of π if $\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = 0$,
 i is a **plateau** of π if $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$.

Theorem (Bóna '08)

On average, Stirling permutations in \mathcal{Q}_n have $(2n + 1)/3$ ascents, $(2n + 1)/3$ descents, and $(2n + 1)/3$ plateaus.

Properties of quasi-Stirling polynomials

Recall: i is a **descent** of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = r$,
 i is an **ascent** of π if $\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$ or $i = 0$,
 i is a **plateau** of π if $\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}$.

Theorem (Bóna '08)

On average, Stirling permutations in \mathcal{Q}_n have $(2n + 1)/3$ ascents, $(2n + 1)/3$ descents, and $(2n + 1)/3$ plateaus.

Theorem

On average, quasi-Stirling permutations in $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$ have $(3n + 1)/4$ ascents, $(3n + 1)/4$ descents, and $(n + 1)/2$ plateaus.

Properties of quasi-Stirling polynomials

Theorem (Frobenius)

The roots of the Eulerian polynomials $A_n(t)$ are real, distinct, and nonpositive.

Properties of quasi-Stirling polynomials

Theorem (Frobenius)

The roots of the Eulerian polynomials $A_n(t)$ are real, distinct, and nonpositive.

Theorem (Brenti '89, Bóna '08)

The same holds for the Stirling polynomials $Q_n(t)$.

Properties of quasi-Stirling polynomials

Theorem (Frobenius)

The roots of the Eulerian polynomials $A_n(t)$ are real, distinct, and nonpositive.

Theorem (Brenti '89, Bóna '08)

The same holds for the Stirling polynomials $Q_n(t)$.

Theorem

The same holds for the quasi-Stirling polynomials $\overline{Q}_n(t)$.

Properties of quasi-Stirling polynomials

Theorem (Frobenius)

The roots of the Eulerian polynomials $A_n(t)$ are real, distinct, and nonpositive.

Theorem (Brenti '89, Bóna '08)

The same holds for the Stirling polynomials $Q_n(t)$.

Theorem

The same holds for the quasi-Stirling polynomials $\overline{Q}_n(t)$.

Corollary

- *The coefficients of $\overline{Q}_n(t)$ are unimodal and log-concave.*

Properties of quasi-Stirling polynomials

Theorem (Frobenius)

The roots of the Eulerian polynomials $A_n(t)$ are real, distinct, and nonpositive.

Theorem (Brenti '89, Bóna '08)

The same holds for the Stirling polynomials $Q_n(t)$.

Theorem

The same holds for the quasi-Stirling polynomials $\overline{Q}_n(t)$.

Corollary

- *The coefficients of $\overline{Q}_n(t)$ are unimodal and log-concave.*
- *The distribution of the number of descents on \overline{Q}_n is asymptotically normal.*

k -Stirling and k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Gessel and Stanley proposed the following generalization of Stirling permutations by allowing k copies of each element in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

k -Stirling and k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Gessel and Stanley proposed the following generalization of Stirling permutations by allowing k copies of each element in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **k -Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

k -Stirling and k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Gessel and Stanley proposed the following generalization of Stirling permutations by allowing k copies of each element in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A k -Stirling permutation is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

\mathcal{Q}_n^k = set of k -Stirling permutations.

k -Stirling and k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Gessel and Stanley proposed the following generalization of Stirling permutations by allowing k copies of each element in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A k -Stirling permutation is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

$Q_n^k =$ set of k -Stirling permutations.

Also studied by [Brenti '89, Park '94, Janson–Kuba–Panholzer '11].

k -Stirling and k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Gessel and Stanley proposed the following generalization of Stirling permutations by allowing k copies of each element in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A k -Stirling permutation is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

$\mathcal{Q}_n^k =$ set of k -Stirling permutations.

Also studied by [Brenti '89, Park '94, Janson–Kuba–Panholzer '11].

Definition

A k -quasi-Stirling permutation is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

k -Stirling and k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Gessel and Stanley proposed the following generalization of Stirling permutations by allowing k copies of each element in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **k -Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

\mathcal{Q}_n^k = set of k -Stirling permutations.

Also studied by [Brenti '89, Park '94, Janson–Kuba–Panholzer '11].

Definition

A **k -quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^k$ = set of k -quasi-Stirling permutations.

k -Stirling and k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Gessel and Stanley proposed the following generalization of Stirling permutations by allowing k copies of each element in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$:

Definition (Gessel–Stanley '78)

A **k -Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$ that avoids the pattern 212.

\mathcal{Q}_n^k = set of k -Stirling permutations.

Also studied by [Brenti '89, Park '94, Janson–Kuba–Panholzer '11].

Definition

A **k -quasi-Stirling permutation** is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^k, 2^k, \dots, n^k\}$ that avoids the patterns 1212 and 2121.

$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^k$ = set of k -quasi-Stirling permutations.

Note: $\mathcal{Q}_n^1 = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^1 = \mathcal{S}_n$, $\mathcal{Q}_n^2 = \mathcal{Q}_n$, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^2 = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n$.

Enumeration of k -Stirling and k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Counting k -Stirling permutations is easy, since every permutation in \mathcal{Q}_n^k can be obtained by inserting the string $n^k = nn \dots n$ into one of the $(n-1)k+1$ spaces of a permutation in \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^k , so

$$|\mathcal{Q}_n^k| = (k+1)(2k+1) \cdot \dots \cdot ((n-1)k+1).$$

Enumeration of k -Stirling and k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Counting k -Stirling permutations is easy, since every permutation in \mathcal{Q}_n^k can be obtained by inserting the string $n^k = nn \dots n$ into one of the $(n-1)k+1$ spaces of a permutation in \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}^k , so

$$|\mathcal{Q}_n^k| = (k+1)(2k+1) \cdots ((n-1)k+1).$$

Theorem

For $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$|\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_n^k| = \frac{(kn)!}{((k-1)n+1)!} = n! C_{n,k},$$

where

$$C_{n,k} = \frac{1}{(k-1)n+1} \binom{kn}{n}$$

is the n th k -Catalan number.

k -quasi-Stirling permutations and trees

Gessel'94 & Janson–Kuba–Panholzer'11 describe bijections between k -Stirling permutations and two kinds of decorated increasing trees.

k -quasi-Stirling permutations and trees

Gessel'94 & Janson–Kuba–Panholzer'11 describe bijections between k -Stirling permutations and two kinds of decorated increasing trees.

We have extended them to bijections between k -quasi-Stirling permutations and certain trees.


k -quasi-Stirling permutations and trees

Gessel'94 & Janson–Kuba–Panholzer'11 describe bijections between k -Stirling permutations and two kinds of decorated increasing trees.

We have extended them to bijections between k -quasi-Stirling permutations and certain trees.

Example

A bijection between *compartmented trees* and 3-quasi-Stirling permutations:



$$\phi \longrightarrow 6222663555377444711113$$

Ascents, descents and plateaus on k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Let $\text{asc}(\pi)$ and $\text{plat}(\pi)$ be the number of ascents and plateaus of π .

Ascents, descents and plateaus on k -quasi-Stirling permutations

Let $\text{asc}(\pi)$ and $\text{plat}(\pi)$ be the number of ascents and plateaus of π .

Define the multivariate k -quasi-Stirling polynomials

$$\overline{P}_n^{(k)}(q, t, u) = \sum_{\pi \in \overline{Q}_n^k} q^{\text{asc}(\pi)} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} u^{\text{plat}(\pi)},$$

and their EGF

$$\overline{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{P}_n^{(k)}(q, t, u) \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Ascents, descents and plateaus on k -quasi-Stirling permutations

This is the most general version of our main result:

Theorem

$\overline{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z)$ satisfies the implicit equation

$$\overline{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z) = 1 - q + \frac{q(q-t)}{q - te^{(q-t)z}(\overline{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z) - 1 + u)^{k-1}}.$$

Ascents, descents and plateaus on k -quasi-Stirling permutations

This is the most general version of our main result:

Theorem

$\overline{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z)$ satisfies the implicit equation

$$\overline{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z) = 1 - q + \frac{q(q-t)}{q - te^{(q-t)z}(\overline{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z) - 1 + u)^{k-1}}.$$

Its coefficients satisfy

$$\overline{P}_n^{(k)}(q, t, u) = \frac{n!}{(k-1)n+1} [z^n] \left(u - q + \frac{q(q-t)}{q - te^{(q-t)z}} \right)^{(k-1)n+1}.$$

Ascents, descents and plateaus on k -quasi-Stirling permutations

This is the most general version of our main result:

Theorem

$\bar{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z)$ satisfies the implicit equation

$$\bar{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z) = 1 - q + \frac{q(q-t)}{q - te^{(q-t)z}(\bar{P}^{(k)}(q, t, u; z) - 1 + u)^{k-1}}.$$

Its coefficients satisfy

$$\bar{P}_n^{(k)}(q, t, u) = \frac{n!}{(k-1)n+1} [z^n] \left(u - q + \frac{q(q-t)}{q - te^{(q-t)z}} \right)^{(k-1)n+1}.$$

The proof follows ascents, descents and plateaus through the bijection ϕ , and it uses a decomposition of compartmented trees.

Further research

Quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ avoid 1212 and 2121, so we can think of them as labeled *non-crossing* matchings.

Further research

Quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ avoid 1212 and 2121, so we can think of them as labeled *non-crossing* matchings.

In work in progress with Kassie Archer, we consider permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoid 1221 and 2112, which correspond to *non-nesting* matchings. We study the distribution of des on these.

Quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ avoid 1212 and 2121, so we can think of them as labeled *non-crossing* matchings.

In work in progress with Kassie Archer, we consider permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoid 1221 and 2112, which correspond to *non-nesting* matchings. We study the distribution of des on these.

- How about labeled matchings that avoid triples of crossing arcs (e.g. 123123) or triples of nesting arcs (e.g. 123321)?

Quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ avoid 1212 and 2121, so we can think of them as labeled *non-crossing* matchings.

In work in progress with Kassie Archer, we consider permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoid 1221 and 2112, which correspond to *non-nesting* matchings. We study the distribution of des on these.

- How about labeled matchings that avoid triples of crossing arcs (e.g. 123123) or triples of nesting arcs (e.g. 123321)?
- More generally, are there other interesting sets of pattern-avoiding multiset permutations?

Quasi-Stirling permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ avoid 1212 and 2121, so we can think of them as labeled *non-crossing* matchings.

In work in progress with Kassie Archer, we consider permutations of $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ that avoid 1221 and 2112, which correspond to *non-nesting* matchings. We study the distribution of des on these.

- How about labeled matchings that avoid triples of crossing arcs (e.g. 123123) or triples of nesting arcs (e.g. 123321)?
- More generally, are there other interesting sets of pattern-avoiding multiset permutations?

Thank you