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Partitions

A partition $\lambda = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_k$ is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers.

The Ferrers diagram of $\lambda$ is the set of lattice points

$$\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid 1 \leq b \leq k, 1 \leq a \leq \lambda_b\}.$$  

$\lambda = 86331$

The Young diagram of $\lambda$ is the set of unit squares (called cells) whose north-east corners are the points in the Ferrers diagram.
A partition is *triangular* if its Ferrers diagram consists of the points in $\mathbb{N}^2$ that lie on or below a line (called a *cutting line*).
Triangular partitions

**Definition**

A partition is *triangular* if its Ferrers diagram consists of the points in $\mathbb{N}^2$ that lie on or below a line (called a *cutting line*).

$\tau = 86531$

$\Delta(n) =$ set of triangular partitions of $n$

$\Delta = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \Delta(n)$
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History of triangular partitions

- In the context of combinatorial number theory, they first appeared in connection to *almost linear sequences* (Boshernitzan and Fraenkel ’81).

- They are closely related to *digital straight lines*, studied in computer vision (Bruckstein ’90).

- From a combinatorial perspective, they were first considered in 1999 by Onn and Sturmfels, who defined them in any dimension and called them *corner cuts*.

- Also in 1999, Corteel, Rémond, Schaeffer and Thomas gave a complicated expression for the generating function, and showed that there exist constants $C, C'$ such that

  $$ C n \log n < |\Delta(n)| < C' n \log n. $$

- In 2023, Bergeron and Mazin coined the term *triangular partitions* and studied some of their combinatorial properties.
2. Characterizations of triangular partitions
Given a partition $\lambda$, how can we tell if it is triangular?

Proposition (Bergeron, Mizian '23)

A partition $\lambda$ is triangular if and only if

$$\max_{c \in \lambda} \left( \text{leg}(c) + \text{arm}(c) + 1 \right) < \min_{c \in \lambda} \left( \text{leg}(c) + 1 \right).$$
Given a partition $\lambda$, how can we tell if it is triangular?

**Proposition (Bergeron, Mazin ’23)**

A partition $\lambda$ is triangular if and only if

$$\max_{c \in \lambda} \frac{\text{leg}(c)}{\text{arm}(c) + \text{leg}(c) + 1} < \min_{c \in \lambda} \frac{\text{leg}(c) + 1}{\text{arm}(c) + \text{leg}(c) + 1}.$$
We give a new characterization using convex hulls. For a set $S \subset \mathbb{N}^2$, let $\text{Conv}(S)$ denote its convex hull.
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We give a new characterization using convex hulls. For a set $S \subset \mathbb{N}^2$, let $\text{Conv}(S)$ denote its convex hull.

**Proposition (E., Galván ’23)**

A partition $\lambda$ is triangular if and only if $\text{Conv}(\lambda) \cap \text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \lambda) = \emptyset$. 
Addable and removable cells

**Definition**

A cell of a triangular partition $\tau$ is *removable* if removing it from $\tau$ yields a triangular partition.

$\tau = 86531$

A cell of the complement $N^2 \setminus \tau$ is *addable* if adding it to $\tau$ yields a triangular partition.

**Lemma (Bergeron, Mazin ’23)**

A nonempty triangular partition can have: one removable cell and two addable cells, two removable cells and one addable cell, or two removable cells and two addable cells.
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Addable and removable cells

**Definition**

A cell of a triangular partition $\tau$ is *removable* if removing it from $\tau$ yields a triangular partition. A cell of the complement $\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \tau$ is *addable* if adding it to $\tau$ yields a triangular partition.

$\tau = 86531$

**Lemma (Bergeron, Mazin ’23)**

A nonempty triangular partition can have: one removable cell and two addable cells, two removable cells and one addable cell, or two removable cells and two addable cells.
Finding removable and addable cells

Proposition (E., Galván ’23)

Two cells in a triangular partition $\tau$ are removable if and only if:

- they are consecutive vertices of $\text{Conv}(\tau)$, and
- the line passing through them does not intersect $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \tau)$.

$\tau = 75421$

two removable cells
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Proposition (E., Galván ’23)

Two cells in a triangular partition $\tau$ are removable if and only if:

- they are consecutive vertices of $\text{Conv}(\tau)$, and
- the line passing through them does not intersect $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \tau)$.

There is an analogous characterization for pairs of addable cells.
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Proposition (E., Galván ’23)

A cell \( c \) in a triangular partition \( \tau \) is its only removable cell if and only if:

- \( c \) is a vertex of \( \text{Conv}(\tau) \),
- the line extending the edge of \( \text{Conv}(\tau) \) adjacent to \( c \) from the left intersects \( \text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \tau) \) to the right of \( c \),
- the line extending the edge of \( \text{Conv}(\tau) \) adjacent to \( c \) from below intersects \( \text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \tau) \) to the left of \( c \).

There is an analogous characterization for a single addable cell.
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Proposition (E., Galván ’23)

A cell $c$ in a triangular partition $\tau$ is its only removable cell if and only if:

- $c$ is a vertex of $\text{Conv}(\tau)$,
- the line extending the edge of $\text{Conv}(\tau)$ adjacent to $c$ from the left intersects $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \tau)$ to the right of $c$, and
- the line extending the edge of $\text{Conv}(\tau)$ adjacent to $c$ from below intersects $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \tau)$ to the left of $c$.

There is an analogous characterization for a single addable cells.

![One removable cell](image-url)
An algorithm to determine triangularity

**Proposition (E., Galván ’23)**

Let $\lambda \vdash n$ with $k$ parts. Using the above characterization, we can determine whether $\lambda$ is triangular (and if so, find its addable and removable cells) in time $O(k)$. 

**Sketch of the algorithm:**

1. Use Graham’s scan to find the vertices of $\text{Conv}(\lambda)$ and $\text{Conv}(N_2 \setminus \lambda)$.

2. Perform a binary search on the boundary of $\text{Conv}(\lambda)$ to look for a pair of removable cells. For each edge, finding a point in $N_2 \setminus \lambda$ that lies below the line extending the edge tells us in which direction to keep searching.

3. If no pair of removable cells is found, apply the same procedure to the boundary of $\text{Conv}(N_2 \setminus \lambda)$ to find a pair of addable cells.

For comparison, an algorithm based on the characterization of Bergeron-Mazin would take time $O(n)$ just to determine triangularity.
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Let $\lambda \vdash n$ with $k$ parts. Using the above characterization, we can determine whether $\lambda$ is triangular (and if so, find its addable and removable cells) in time $O(k)$.

Sketch of the algorithm:

1. Use Graham’s scan to find the vertices of $\text{Conv}(\lambda)$ and $\text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \lambda)$.
2. Perform a binary search on the boundary of $\text{Conv}(\lambda)$ to look for a pair of removable cells.
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For comparison, an algorithm based on the characterization of Bergeron–Mazin would take time $O(n)$ just to determine trianguularity.
3. The triangular Young poset
Bergeron and Mazin considered the poset $\mathcal{Y}_\Delta$ of triangular partitions ordered by containment of their Young diagrams:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
11111 & 21111 & 2211 & 321 & 42 & 51 & 6 \\
11111 & 2111 & 2211 & 321 & 42 & 51 & 6 \\
1111 & 211 & 2211 & 321 & 42 & 51 & 6 \\
111 & 211 & 2211 & 321 & 42 & 51 & 6 \\
11 & 211 & 2211 & 321 & 42 & 51 & 6 \\
1 & 211 & 2211 & 321 & 42 & 51 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\]
Bergeron and Mazin considered the poset $\mathcal{Y}_\Delta$ of triangular partitions ordered by containment of their Young diagrams:

Covering relations:

$\tau \preceq \nu \iff \tau$ is obtained from $\nu$ by removing one cell.
Bergeron and Mazin considered the poset $\mathcal{Y}_\Delta$ of triangular partitions ordered by containment of their Young diagrams:

Covering relations:

$\tau \triangleleft \nu \iff \tau$ is obtained from $\nu$ by removing one cell.

In particular, $\mathcal{Y}_\Delta$ is ranked by the size of the partitions.
Lemma (Bergeron–Mazin ’23)

The poset $\mathbf{Y}_\Delta$ has a planar Hasse diagram, and it is a lattice.
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To prove this, they define a moduli space of lines, where
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- the lines through a lattice point are represented by a hyperbola,
- the resulting regions are in bijection with triangular partitions.
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Lemma (Bergeron–Mazin ’23)

The poset $Y_\Delta$ has a planar Hasse diagram, and it is a lattice.

To prove this, they define a moduli space of lines, where
- each point $(r, s)$ represents the line $L_{r,s}$,
- the lines through a lattice point are represented by a hyperbola,
- the resulting regions are in bijection with triangular partitions.
The join and the meet in $\mathcal{Y}_\Delta$

**Definition**

A poset is a *lattice* if every pair of elements $\tau$ and $\nu$ has:
- a least upper bound, denoted by $\tau \lor \nu$ (called the join), and
- a greatest lower bound, denoted by $\tau \land \nu$ (called the meet).

Proposition (E., Galván '23)

For any $\tau, \nu \in \mathcal{Y}_\Delta$,

- $\tau \lor \nu = N_2 \cap \text{Conv}(\tau \cup \nu)$,
- $\tau \land \nu = N_2 \setminus (N_2 \cap \text{Conv}(\tau \cap \nu))$. 
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Definition

A poset is a *lattice* if every pair of elements $\tau$ and $\nu$ has:

- a least upper bound, denoted by $\tau \vee \nu$ (called the join), and
- a greatest lower bound, denoted by $\tau \wedge \nu$ (called the meet).

We can explicitly describe the join and the meet of elements of $\mathbb{Y}_\Delta$. 
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A poset is a *lattice* if every pair of elements $\tau$ and $\nu$ has:
- a least upper bound, denoted by $\tau \lor \nu$ (called the join), and
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For any $\tau, \nu \in \mathbb{Y}_\Delta$,
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Proposition (E., Galván ’23)

$$\tau \lor \nu = \mathbb{N}^2 \cap \text{Conv}(\tau \cup \nu),$$

$$\tau \land \nu = \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \left(\mathbb{N}^2 \cap \text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus (\tau \cap \nu))\right).$$

Example: 86531
The join and the meet in $\mathcal{Y}_\Delta$

**Proposition (E., Galván ’23)**

$$\tau \vee \nu = \mathbb{N}^2 \cap \text{Conv}(\tau \cup \nu),$$

$$\tau \wedge \nu = \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \left( \mathbb{N}^2 \cap \text{Conv} \left( \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus (\tau \cap \nu) \right) \right).$$

Example: $86531 \lor 433322111$. 
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Proposition (E., Galván ’23)

$$\tau \lor \nu = \mathbb{N}^2 \cap \text{Conv}(\tau \cup \nu),$$

$$\tau \land \nu = \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \left(\mathbb{N}^2 \cap \text{Conv}(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus (\tau \cap \nu))\right).$$

Example: $86531 \lor 433322111$. 
The join and the meet in $\mathcal{Y}_\Delta$

**Proposition (E., Galván ’23)**

\[
\tau \lor \nu = \mathbb{N}^2 \cap \text{Conv}(\tau \cup \nu),
\]
\[
\tau \land \nu = \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \left(\mathbb{N}^2 \cap \text{Conv} \left(\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus (\tau \cap \nu)\right)\right).
\]

**Example:** \(86531 \lor 433322111 = 876543211\).
Denote the Möbius function of $\mathcal{Y}_{\Delta}$ by $\mu$.

**Theorem (E., Galván ’23)**

Let $\tau, \nu \in \mathcal{Y}_{\Delta}$ such that $\tau \leq \nu$. Then

$$
\mu(\tau, \nu) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if either } \tau = \nu \text{ or there exist } \zeta^1 \neq \zeta^2 \text{ such that } \tau \lessdot \zeta^1, \zeta^2 \text{ and } \nu = \zeta^1 \lor \zeta^2, \\
-1 & \text{if } \tau \lessdot \nu, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$
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**Theorem (E., Galván ’23)**

Let $\tau, \nu \in \mathbb{Y}_\Delta$ such that $\tau \leq \nu$. Then

$$
\mu(\tau, \nu) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if either } \tau = \nu \text{ or there exist } \zeta^1 \neq \zeta^2 \text{ such that } \tau \lessdot \zeta^1, \zeta^2 \text{ and } \nu = \zeta^1 \lor \zeta^2, \\
-1 & \text{if } \tau \lessdot \nu, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

![Diagram of the Möbius function](image.png)
4. Encodings as balanced words and efficient generation
Balanced words

Definition

An binary word $w = w_1 \ldots w_\ell$ over $\{0, 1\}$ is balanced if in any two factors of $w$ of the same length, the number of 1s differs by no more than one;
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An binary word $w = w_1 \ldots w_\ell$ over $\{0, 1\}$ is balanced if in any two factors of $w$ of the same length, the number of 1s differs by no more than one; that is,

$$|(w_i + w_{i+1} + \cdots + w_{i+h-1}) - (w_j + w_{j+1} + \cdots + w_{j+h-1})| \leq 1$$

for any $h \leq \ell$ and $i, j \leq \ell - h + 1$. 

Balanced words can also be defined as factors of Sturmian words. Let $B$ be the set of all balanced words, and $B_\ell$ the set of those of length $\ell$. 

Theorem (Lipatov ’82)

$$|B_\ell| = 1 + \ell \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\ell - i + 1) \phi(i),$$

where $\phi$ denotes Euler's totient function.
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### Definition

An binary word $w = w_1 \ldots w_\ell$ over $\{0, 1\}$ is *balanced* if in any two factors of $w$ of the same length, the number of $1$s differs by no more than one; that is,

$$|(w_i + w_{i+1} + \cdots + w_{i+h-1}) - (w_j + w_{j+1} + \cdots + w_{j+h-1})| \leq 1$$

for any $h \leq \ell$ and $i, j \leq \ell - h + 1$.

Balanced words can also be defined as factors of *Sturmian words*. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of all balanced words, and $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ the set of those of length $\ell$. 
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Balanced words

**Definition**

An binary word \( w = w_1 \ldots w_\ell \) over \( \{0, 1\} \) is *balanced* if in any two factors of \( w \) of the same length, the number of 1s differs by no more than one; that is,

\[
| (w_i + w_{i+1} + \cdots + w_{i+h-1}) - (w_j + w_{j+1} + \cdots + w_{j+h-1}) | \leq 1
\]

for any \( h \leq \ell \) and \( i, j \leq \ell - h + 1 \).

Balanced words can also be defined as factors of *Sturmian words*.

Let \( B \) be the set of all balanced words, and \( B_\ell \) the set of those of length \( \ell \).

**Theorem (Lipatov ’82)**

\[
|B_\ell| = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\ell - i + 1) \varphi(i),
\]

where \( \varphi \) denotes Euler’s totient function.
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Wide triangular partitions

Definition

A triangular partition is *wide* if it has a cutting line $L_{r,s}$ with $r > s$.

$\Delta_{\text{wide}} =$ set of wide triangular partitions.

Lemma

- *A triangular partition is wide if and only if its parts are distinct.*
- *For every triangular partition $\tau$, either $\tau$ or its conjugate are wide.*
  
  *Both are wide if and only if $\tau = k(k-1)\ldots21$ for some $k$ (staircase).*
First encoding of triangular partitions as balanced words

Given $\tau = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_k \in \Delta_{\text{wide}}$, define

$$\omega(\tau) = 10^{\tau_1-\tau_2-1}10^{\tau_2-\tau_3-1} \ldots 10^{\tau_{k-1}-\tau_k-1}10^{\tau_k-1}.$$
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First encoding of triangular partitions as balanced words

Given $\tau = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_k \in \Delta_{\text{wide}}$, define

$$\omega(\tau) = 10^{\tau_1-\tau_2-1}10^{\tau_2-\tau_3-1} \ldots 10^{\tau_{k-1}-\tau_k-1}10^{\tau_k-1}.$$ 

$$\omega(86531) = 10110101$$

Proposition (E., Galván ’23)

For every $k, \ell \geq 1$, the map $\omega$ is a bijection

$$\{\tau = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_k \in \Delta_{\text{wide}} \mid \tau_1 = \ell\} \rightarrow \{w = w_1 \ldots w_\ell \in B_\ell \mid w \text{ has } k \text{ ones and } w_1 = 1\}.$$
Second encoding of triangular partitions as balanced words

For $\tau = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_k \in \Delta_{\text{wide}}$ with $k \geq 2$, let

$$\min(\tau) = \tau_k,$$
$$D(\tau) = \{\tau_1 - \tau_2, \tau_2 - \tau_3, \ldots, \tau_{k-1} - \tau_k\},$$
$$\text{dif}(\tau) = \min D(\tau),$$
$$\text{wrd}(\tau) = w_1 \ldots w_{k-1},$$
where $w_i = \tau_i - \tau_{i+1} - \text{dif}(\tau)$ for all $i$. 

Example: $\tau = (12, 9, 7, 4, 1)$

$\min(\tau) = 1$

$D(\tau) = \{2, 3\}$

$\text{dif}(\tau) = 2$

$\text{wrd}(\tau) = 1011$
Second encoding of triangular partitions as balanced words

For $\tau = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_k \in \Delta_{\text{wide}}$ with $k \geq 2$, let

$$\min(\tau) = \tau_k,$$
$$D(\tau) = \{\tau_1 - \tau_2, \tau_2 - \tau_3, \ldots, \tau_{k-1} - \tau_k\},$$
$$\text{dif}(\tau) = \min D(\tau),$$
$$\text{wrd}(\tau) = w_1 \ldots w_{k-1},$$
where $w_i = \tau_i - \tau_{i+1} - \text{dif}(\tau)$ for all $i$.

Example: $\tau = (12, 9, 7, 4, 1)$
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Second encoding of triangular partitions as balanced words

For $\tau = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_k \in \Delta_{\text{wide}}$ with $k \geq 2$, let

$$\min(\tau) = \tau_k,$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\tau) = \{\tau_1 - \tau_2, \tau_2 - \tau_3, \ldots, \tau_{k-1} - \tau_k\},$$

$$\text{dif}(\tau) = \min \mathcal{D}(\tau),$$

$$\text{wrd}(\tau) = w_1 \ldots w_{k-1}, \text{ where } w_i = \tau_i - \tau_{i+1} - \text{dif}(\tau) \text{ for all } i.$$ 

Let $\chi = (\min, \text{dif}, \text{wrd}).$

Example: $\tau = (12, 9, 7, 4, 1)$

$$\min(\tau) = 1$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\tau) = \{2, 3\}$$

$$\text{dif}(\tau) = 2$$

$$\text{wrd}(\tau) = 1011$$

$$\chi(\tau) = (1, 2, 1011)$$
Second encoding and efficient generation

Let $B^0$ denote the set of balanced words that contain at least one zero.

**Theorem (E., Galván ’23)**

The map $\chi = (\text{min}, \text{dif}, \text{wrd})$ is a bijection between the set of wide triangular partitions with at least two parts and the set

$$T = \{(m, d, w) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times B^0 \mid m \leq d + 1; \ w1 \in B^0 \text{ if } m = d + 1\}.$$
Let $\mathcal{B}^0$ denote the set of balanced words that contain at least one zero.

**Theorem (E., Galván ’23)**

The map $\chi = (\min, \text{dif}, \text{wrd})$ is a bijection between the set of wide triangular partitions with at least two parts and the set

$$
\mathcal{T} = \{(m, d, w) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{B}^0 \mid m \leq d + 1; \ w1 \in \mathcal{B}^0 \text{ if } m = d + 1\}.
$$

**Theorem (E., Galván ’23)**

There is an algorithm that finds $|\Delta(n)|$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$ in time $\mathcal{O}(N^{5/2})$. 
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Let $B^0$ denote the set of balanced words that contain at least one zero.

### Theorem (E., Galván ’23)

The map $\chi = (\min, \dif, \wrd)$ is a bijection between the set of wide triangular partitions with at least two parts and the set 

$$T = \{(m, d, w) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times B^0 \mid m \leq d + 1; \ w1 \in B^0 \text{ if } m = d + 1\}.$$ 

### Theorem (E., Galván ’23)

There is an algorithm that finds $|\Delta(n)|$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$ in time $O(N^{5/2})$.

1. Perform a depth first search through the tree of balanced words of length $\leq \lfloor \sqrt{2N} \rfloor$. The children of a word $w$ can be $w0$ and/or $w1$.
2. For each $w$ in the tree, search through the pairs $(m, d)$ such that $(m, d, w) \in T$ and the size of the corresponding partition is $\leq N$.
3. Each triplet $(m, d, w)$ accounts for two triangular partitions (conjugate of each other), unless it corresponds to the staircase partition.
The sequence $|\Delta(n)|$

This algorithm allows us to compute the first $10^5$ terms of the sequence $|\Delta(n)|$, compared to the 39 terms that had been previously computed.
The sequence $|\Delta(n)|$

This algorithm allows us to compute the first $10^5$ terms of the sequence $|\Delta(n)|$, compared to the 39 terms that had been previously computed.

The sequence $|\Delta(n)|$ and the bounds $C n \log n < |\Delta(n)| < C' n \log n$ given by Corteel–Rémond–Schaeffer–Thomas ’99.
The sequence $|\Delta(n)|/(n \log n)$ seems to oscillate between 0.42 and 0.45.
5. Generating functions
Theorem (Corteel, Rémond, Schaeffer, Thomas ’99)

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} |\Delta(n)| z^n = \frac{1}{1 - z} + \sum_{\gcd(a,b) = 1} \sum_{0 \leq j < a} \sum_{1 \leq m < k} \sum_{0 \leq i < b} z^{N_\Delta(a,b,k,m,i,j)},
\]

where

\[
N_\Delta(a, b, k, m, i, j) = (k - 1) \left( \frac{(a + 1)(b + 1)}{2} - 1 \right) + \binom{k - 1}{2} ab + ij + i(k - 1)a + j(k - 1)b + T(a, b, j) + T(b, a, i) + m
\]

and \(T(a, b, j) = \sum_{r=1}^{j} (\lfloor rb/a \rfloor + 1)\).
Theorem (Corteel, Rémond, Schaeffer, Thomas ’99)

\[
\sum_{n \geq 0} |\Delta(n)| z^n = \frac{1}{1 - z} + \sum_{\text{gcd}(a,b)=1} \sum_{0 \leq j < a} \sum_{1 \leq m < k} \sum_{0 \leq i < b} z^{N_\Delta(a,b,k,m,i,j)},
\]

where

\[
N_\Delta(a, b, k, m, i, j) = (k - 1) \left( \frac{(a + 1)(b + 1)}{2} - 1 \right) + \binom{k - 1}{2} ab + ij
\]

\[
+ i(k - 1)a + j(k - 1)b + T(a, b, j) + T(b, a, i) + m
\]

and \(T(a, b) = \sum_{r=1}^{j}(\lfloor rb/a \rfloor + 1).\)

We can give similar generating functions for partitions with a given number (i.e. one or two) of removable and addable cells.
One removable vs two removable cells

Let $\Delta_1(n), \Delta_2(n) \subset \Delta(n)$ denote the subsets of partitions with one and two removable cells, respectively.

Open questions:

1. Is $|\Delta_2(n)| > |\Delta_1(n)|$ for all $n \geq 9$?
2. Do the local maxima of $|\Delta_1(n)|$ and the local minima of $|\Delta_2(n)|$ always occur when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$?
One removable vs two removable cells

Let $\Delta_1(n), \Delta_2(n) \subset \Delta(n)$ denote the subsets of partitions with one and two removable cells, respectively.

Open questions:
- Is $|\Delta_2(n)| > |\Delta_1(n)|$ for all $n \geq 9$?
One removable vs two removable cells
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- Is $|\Delta_2(n)| > |\Delta_1(n)|$ for all $n \geq 9$?
- Do the local maxima of $|\Delta_1(n)|$ and the local minima of $|\Delta_2(n)|$ always occur when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$?
6. Triangular partitions inside a rectangle
\( \Delta^{h \times \ell} = \text{set of triangular partitions whose Young diagram fits inside an } h \times \ell \text{ rectangle (i.e., with } \leq h \text{ parts and largest part } \leq \ell \text{).} \)
\[ \Delta^{h \times \ell} = \text{set of triangular partitions whose Young diagram fits inside an } h \times \ell \text{ rectangle (i.e., with } \leq h \text{ parts and largest part } \leq \ell). \]

**Theorem (E., Galván ’23)**

\[
|\Delta^{\ell \times \ell}| = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell - i + 2}{2} \phi(i).
\]

**Proof idea:**

Use our first encoding as balanced words.
Apply Lipatov’s enumeration formula for balanced words.
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- Use our first encoding as balanced words.
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We can also give a direct combinatorial proof:

- Construct a bijection between triangular partitions and
  \[ Q = \{(a, b, d, e) \in \mathbb{N}^4 \mid d < a, \gcd(d, e) = 1\}. \]

- Characterize the tuples \((a, b, d, e)\) coming from partitions in \(\Delta^{\ell \times \ell}\).

- For fixed \(d < e\) with \(\gcd(d, e) = 1\), the tuples of the form \((a, b, d, e)\) and \((a, b, e, e - d)\) are in bijection with the lattice points inside a certain triangle, which are counted by \(\binom{\ell-e+2}{2}\).
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The above argument also gives a new combinatorial proof of Lipatov’s enumeration formula for balanced words.
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The above argument also gives a new combinatorial proof of Lipatov’s enumeration formula for balanced words.

We have similar formulas for other rectangles:

\[
|\Delta^\ell \times (\ell-1)| = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\ell - i + 1)^2 \varphi(i),
\]

\[
|\Delta^\ell \times (\ell-2)| = 1 - \ell + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left( \binom{\ell - i + 1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \varphi(i).
\]

But not for the general case \( |\Delta^h \times \ell| \).
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- Triangular Young tableaux.

- Pyramidal partitions in higher dimensions (corner cuts).

- Convex and concave partitions.

Thank you!

Sergi Elizalde (Dartmouth College)