

# DEFINING EXPONENTIAL FUNCTIONS VIA LIMITS

STEVE FAN

ABSTRACT. In this short note we prove a few classical properties of the exponential function  $e^x$  from the simple definition

$$e^x := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n.$$

This note is a result of the author's curiosity in exploring and possibly teaching  $e^x$  from a different point of view.

## 1. THE EXPONENTIAL FUNCTION $e^x$

In standard calculus textbooks, the exponential function  $e^x$  is defined by

$$e^x := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \tag{1}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The continuity of  $e^x$  then follows from the uniform convergence of the power series that defines it on closed and bounded intervals. Similarly, one can show that  $e^x$  is differentiable everywhere. An alternative way of defining  $e^x$  is by considering the following initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} f(x) &= f(x), \\ f(0) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

One defines  $e^x$  to be the unique solution  $f(x)$  to this problem. One of the advantages of this approach is that one has the differentiability of  $e^x$  for free. However, there is a third method when it comes to defining  $e^x$ . It is well known that the constant  $e$  is commonly defined by

$$e := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n.$$

It is hence natural to define  $e^x$  by

$$e^x := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n. \tag{2}$$

This definition was introduced by L. Euler [2] who actually derived the power series expansion (1) from it (in a somewhat unrigorous way). Using Bernoulli's inequality that  $(1+x)^n > 1+nx$  holds for all positive integers  $n \geq 2$  and all nonzero  $x > -1$ , it is not hard to show that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , the sequence  $\{a_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  defined by

$$a_n(x) := \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n$$

is strictly increasing for  $n > \max(0, -x)$ . It is also not hard to prove that the sequence  $\{a_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, let us fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and denote by  $m$  the

least positive integer greater than or equal to  $|x|$ . Then for sufficiently large  $n$ , we have

$$|a_n(x)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{n}\right)^n \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{mn}\right)^{mn} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{mn},$$

where we have used the monotonicity of  $\{a_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Since

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = 2 + \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} \leq 2 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k!} < 2 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k(k-1)} = 3 - \frac{1}{n},$$

it follows that

$$|a_n(x)| \leq \left(3 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^m$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ . This proves that  $\{a_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here the treatment for  $(1 + 1/n)^n$  is classical. However, the author discovered a slightly different way to prove that the sequence  $\{a_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The starting point is another simple inequality due to Bernoulli:

$$(1+x)^n \leq \frac{1}{1-nx},$$

where  $n$  is a positive integer and  $x \in (-1, 1/n)$ . This inequality can be proved easily by induction. As a consequence of this inequality, we have

$$|a_n(x)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{n}\right)^n \leq \left(1 + \frac{2m}{2mn}\right)^{2mn} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{2mn} \leq 4^m$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ . This again proves that  $\{a_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now it follows from the monotone convergence theorem that  $\{a_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is convergent for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This justifies Euler's definition (2). Moreover, the monotonicity of  $\{a_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  implies that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , the inequality

$$e^x > \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n$$

holds for all  $n > \max(0, -x)$ . In particular, this yields  $e^x > \max(0, 1+x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , by Bernoulli's inequality. It is also clear from (2) that  $e^x$  is increasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## 2. THE CONTINUITY AND DIFFERENTIABILITY OF $e^x$

Now we derive from (2) the fact that  $e^x$  is continuous everywhere. Fixing  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^x - e^{x_0} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n - \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{n}\right)^n \right] \\ &= (x - x_0) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{n-1-k} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{n}\right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|x - x_0| < \epsilon$ , then

$$\left(1 + \frac{x_0 - \epsilon}{n}\right)^n \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{n-1-k} \left(1 + \frac{x_0}{n}\right)^k \leq \left(1 + \frac{x_0 + \epsilon}{n}\right)^n$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . It follows that

$$e^{x_0-\epsilon}|x-x_0| \leq |e^x - e^{x_0}| \leq e^{x_0+\epsilon}|x-x_0| \quad (3)$$

for all  $x \in (x_0 - \epsilon, x_0 + \epsilon)$ . This proves that  $e^x$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . Since  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $e^x$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now that we have established the continuity of  $e^x$ , it follows immediately from (3) and the monotonicity of  $e^x$  that  $e^x$  is differentiable with

$$\frac{d}{dx}(e^x) = e^x > 0$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $e^x$  is strictly increasing and  $e^x \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . From this the power series expansion (1) of  $e^x$  follows naturally from Taylor's theorem. If  $f(x)$  is a differentiable function satisfying  $f'(x) = f(x)$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{f(x)}{e^x} \right) = \frac{f'(x) - f(x)}{e^x} = 0$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This implies  $f(x) = Ce^x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. Hence  $e^x$  is the unique solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}f(x) &= f(x), \\ f(0) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus shown that the definition (2) implies both the power series definition and the differential equation definition of  $e^x$ . One advantage of this approach is that  $e^x$  defined by (2) provides an explicit and elementary solution to the initial value problem in consideration, from which the uniqueness follows naturally as we saw above.

### 3. THE ADDITION LAW FOR $e^x$

The addition law for  $e^x$  states that  $e^{x+y} = e^x e^y$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is not immediately clear how the addition law follows from (1), but it can be easily verified once we know  $e^x$  is differentiable with derivative  $e^x$ . Indeed, we have by the chain rule that

$$\frac{d}{dx}(e^{c-x}e^x) = \frac{d}{dx}(e^{c-x})e^x + e^{c-x}\frac{d}{dx}(e^x) = -e^{c-x}e^x + e^{c-x}e^x = 0$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. Thus  $e^{c-x}e^x$  is constant. Since its value at  $x = 0$  is  $e^c$ , we find that  $e^{c-x}e^x = e^c$  for all  $x, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Taking  $c = x + y$  yields the addition law. Without doubt, this classical argument [1, §3.1] is neat and elegant.

On the other hand, the author found a way to derive the addition law without using the differentiability of  $e^x$ . Note first that

$$e^x e^{-x} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n \left(1 - \frac{x}{n}\right)^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right)^n.$$

By Bernoulli's inequality we have

$$1 - \frac{x^2}{n} \leq \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right)^n \leq 1$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right)^n = 1.$$

Hence  $e^x e^{-x} = 1$ . More generally, suppose that  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  are arbitrary. Then

$$e^x e^y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{x+y}{n} + \frac{xy}{n^2}\right)^n.$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$\left|\frac{xy}{n^2}\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ . It follows that

$$\left(1 + \frac{x+y-\epsilon}{n}\right)^n < \left(1 + \frac{x+y}{n} + \frac{xy}{n^2}\right)^n < \left(1 + \frac{x+y+\epsilon}{n}\right)^n.$$

Thus we have

$$e^{x+y-\epsilon} \leq e^x e^y \leq e^{x+y+\epsilon}.$$

Since  $e^x$  is continuous and  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we have  $e^x e^y = e^{x+y}$ .

#### 4. THE NATURAL LOGARITHM

The natural logarithm, denoted by  $\log x$  or  $\ln x$ , is defined to be the inverse function of  $e^x$ , namely,  $e^{\log x} = x$ . It is strictly increasing on its domain  $(0, +\infty)$ . We now show that  $\log x$  is continuous everywhere. Fix  $x_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon < x_0$ . As in Section 2, we have

$$x - x_0 = (\log x - \log x_0) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\log x}{n}\right)^{n-1-k} \left(1 + \frac{\log x_0}{n}\right)^k.$$

for all  $x > 0$ . If  $|x - x_0| < \epsilon$ , then

$$\left(1 + \frac{\log(x_0 - \epsilon)}{n}\right)^n \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\log x}{n}\right)^{n-1-k} \left(1 + \frac{\log x_0}{n}\right)^k \leq \left(1 + \frac{\log(x_0 + \epsilon)}{n}\right)^n$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Hence

$$|\log x - \log x_0|(x_0 - \epsilon) \leq |x - x_0| \leq |\log x - \log x_0|(x_0 + \epsilon).$$

This shows that  $\log x$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . Hence  $\log x$  is continuous on  $(0, +\infty)$ . Moreover, we have

$$\frac{1}{x_0 + \epsilon} \leq \frac{\log x - \log x_0}{x - x_0} \leq \frac{1}{x_0 - \epsilon}$$

for all  $x > 0$  with  $x \neq x_0$  and  $|x - x_0| < \epsilon$ . This implies that  $\log x$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  with

$$\left.\frac{d}{dx}(\log x)\right|_{x=x_0} = \frac{1}{x_0}.$$

Hence  $\log x$  is differentiable on  $(0, +\infty)$  with

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\log x) = \frac{1}{x}.$$

Now one can define  $a^x := e^{x \log a}$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a > 0$ . Then it is easy to see that  $a^{x+y} = a^x a^y$  and

$$(a^x)^y = e^{y \log a^x} = e^{xy \log a} = a^{xy}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a > 0$ .

## 5. EXTENSION TO THE COMPLEX EXPONENTIAL FUNCTION $e^z$

Both the power series expansion (1) and the differential equation approach [1, §3.1] can be extended to define the complex exponential function  $e^z$ . It is thus tempting to generalize (2) as well by defining

$$e^z := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^n \quad (4)$$

for  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ . Once the existence of the limit on the right-hand side is established for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , one can show as in Section 2 that  $e^z$  defined in this way is continuous on  $\mathbb{C}$ , but some extra effort is needed to show that  $e^z$  is holomorphic everywhere. The author found a way to prove that the sequence  $\{a_n(z)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  defined by

$$a_n(z) := \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^n$$

converges uniformly on every bounded subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $r > 0$  be a constant and let  $E \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq r\}$ . For any positive integers  $n > m \geq 2$  we have

$$|a_n(z) - a_m(z)| \leq \sum_{k=2}^m \left[ \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} - \binom{m}{k} \frac{1}{m^k} \right] |z|^k + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{|z|^k}{n^k}.$$

It is clear that uniformly for all  $z \in E$ , we have

$$\sum_{\sqrt[4]{m} < k \leq m} \left[ \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} - \binom{m}{k} \frac{1}{m^k} \right] |z|^k + \sum_{k=m+1}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{|z|^k}{n^k} < \sum_{\sqrt[4]{m} < k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{r^k}{n^k} < \sum_{k > \sqrt[4]{m}} \frac{r^k}{k!} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $2 \leq k \leq \sqrt[4]{m}$ , it follows by Bernoulli's inequality that

$$k! \left[ \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} - \binom{m}{k} \frac{1}{m^k} \right] < 1 - \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{l}{m}\right) < 1 - \left(1 - \frac{k}{m}\right)^k < \frac{k^2}{m} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$$

for sufficiently large  $m$ . Hence uniformly for all  $z \in E$ , we have

$$\sum_{2 \leq k \leq \sqrt[4]{m}} \left[ \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n^k} - \binom{m}{k} \frac{1}{m^k} \right] |z|^k < \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{k!} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . We have thus shown that  $a_n(z) - a_m(z) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for all  $z \in E$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By Cauchy's uniform convergence test, we conclude that  $\{a_n(z)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is uniformly convergent on  $E$ . This proves that  $\{a_n(z)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is uniformly convergent on every bounded subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . By a theorem of Weierstrass [1, Theorem 1, §5], we know that  $a_n(z)$  converges to an entire function, which we denote by  $e^z$ , with derivative

$$\frac{d}{dz}(e^z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{dz} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^{n-1} = e^z.$$

Having proved this, we obtain immediately the addition law  $e^{a+b} = e^a e^b$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . It is also clear that this approach allows one to define the exponential function in an arbitrary unital Banach algebra.

In the argument above, we used the fact that the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n!}$$

is convergent for every  $r \geq 0$ . This is almost trivial when  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . For  $r > 1$ , the convergence of this series follows from the fact that  $n! > r^{2n}$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . It is worth noting that in comparison to the power series definition of  $e^z$ , the definition (4) does not give clear clues to Euler's formula  $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ , though it does yield at once  $\overline{e^z} = e^{\bar{z}}$  and  $|e^z| = \sqrt{e^z e^{\bar{z}}} = \sqrt{e^{z+\bar{z}}} = e^x$  for any  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $e^{iy} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$  defines a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$  to the unit circle  $S^1$ , where both  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $S^1$  are considered as topological groups.

Now we give a proof of Euler's formula  $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ . Let us write

$$e^{ix} = f(x) + ig(x),$$

where

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n/2} (-1)^k \binom{n}{2k} \frac{x^{2k}}{n^{2k}},$$

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2} (-1)^k \binom{n}{2k+1} \frac{x^{2k+1}}{n^{2k+1}}.$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dx}(e^{ix}) = ie^{ix} = -g(x) + if(x),$$

we have  $f'(x) = -g(x)$  and  $g'(x) = f(x)$ . Therefore,  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are solutions to the following initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= -g(x), & g'(x) &= f(x), \\ f(0) &= 1, & g(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $f(x) = \cos x$  and  $g(x) = \sin x$ . Euler's formula makes it reasonable to define, for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , the complex trigonometric functions

$$\cos z := \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n/2} (-1)^k \binom{n}{2k} \frac{z^{2k}}{n^{2k}},$$

$$\sin z := \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2} (-1)^k \binom{n}{2k+1} \frac{z^{2k+1}}{n^{2k+1}}.$$

## 6. FINAL COMMENTS

There are other alternative ways of defining  $e^x$ . For instance, it is well known that the set of all positive continuous solutions to the Cauchy functional equation  $f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$  is  $\{a^x : a > 0\}$ . Thus we may define  $e^x$  to be the unique positive continuous solution to this Cauchy equation with its value at 1 given by  $e$ . On the other hand, we may first define  $\log x$  to be the the unique nonzero real-valued continuous solution to the Cauchy equation  $f(xy) = f(x) + f(y)$  on  $(0, +\infty)$  with its value at  $e$  given by 1, and then define  $e^x$  to be its inverse function. Of course, if one is willing to resort to the theory of integration, then  $\log x$  can be defined by the definite integral  $\int_1^x 1/t dt$ , though this is not as elementary as the ones suggested above.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis: An Introduction to the Theory of Analytic Functions of One Complex Variable*, 3rd ed., International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, 1979.
- [2] L. Euler and J. D. Blanton (transl.), *Introduction to Analysis of the Infinite*, Book I, Springer-Verlag New York, 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, HANOVER, NH 03755, USA

*Email address:* `steve.fan.gr@dartmouth.edu`